

Radiation therapy to ignite an anti-cancer

immune response Silvia Formenti, M.D. Weill Cornell Medical College New York Presbyterian Hospital New York, NY



#### DISCLOSURES

<u>Consultant/Speaker:</u> Bristol Myers Squibb, Varian, Elekta, Janssen, Regeneron, GlaxoSmithKline, Eisai, Dynavax, Astra Zeneca

#### Principal Investigator:

NCI R01CA161891-01 Immunomodulation of breast cancer via TLR7 agonist IMQ and RT

DOD BC100481 / W81XWH-11-1-0530 Multi-Team Award (MTA) Radiation-Induced Vaccination to Breast Cancer

13-A0-00-001870-01 Breast Cancer Research Foundation Targeting key inhibitory pathways to improve radiation-induced vaccination in breast cancer

NIH 1 S10 RR027619-01 Preclinical Research Irradiator

### Welli Cornell



Why are abscopal effects of radiation so rare?

	Contents lists available at ScienceDirect	Cancer
222.20	Curr Probl Cancer	and the second
ELSEVIER	journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/speancer	
Systematic abscopal e	review of case reports on the ffect	Counter
Yazan Abuode Sungjune Kin	h, MD, Puja Venkat, MD, MD, PhD	

- 1969-2014: 46 abscopal cases
- Median dose 31 Gy; median follow up 17.5 months
- Only one case single dose SBRT (2.1%): all others fractionated RT (wide range of dose and fractions)
- ~ 13% were VMAT/SBRT
- NON ABLATIVE DOSES



### IMMUNOSUPPRESSION DOMINATES IN ESTABLISHED TUMORS





#### Well Cornell Medicine

How to enable the pro-immunogenic effects of radiation:

Overcoming immunosuppressive microenvironment of established tumors	Overco
- enhancing cross priming	immu

- blocking immune checkpoints

Overcoming RT immunosuppressive effects
- overcoming RT-induced
immunosuppression (adenosine,TGFβ)

- mitigating RT-induced lymphopenia

### Well Cornell



Ionizing radiation stimulates anti-tumor immunity by generating an in situ





RT: 3.5 GyX10 GM-CSF: 125 μg/m<sup>2</sup> Daily X 14 days

27% ABSCOPAL ORR











AH1

Demaria et al., Clin Cancer Res 2005



### Clinical study design to test for abscopal responses

-Either a prospective randomized trial (IT+ RT versus IT) -Or a trial of radiation with an immunotherapy proven ineffective when used alone





Well Cornell Medicine

# Limited objective response rate to CTLA-4 Blockade (without and with chemo) in NSCLC

Reference	Stage	Study Design	# PTS	OR
Zatloukal et al ASCO 2009	LOCALLY ADV/METS	-TREMELIMUMAB (15 mg/kg) VERSUS BSC	87	4.5% (2 PRs)
Lynch et al JCO 2012	Stage III/IV	Carbo/Taxol vs Carbo/T with Ipi (10mg/kg) Carbo/T and Ipi sequential (10mg/kg)	204	NS PFS

No CRs in either studies

Progressing after 3 lines of chemo and chest RT: Multiple lung, bone а





RT to one liver met 6 Gy X 5 (TD 30 GY) V 4 avalaa

Golden et al Cancer Immunology Research, 2014

Same patient, response to RT+ ipilimumab









August 2012 PET/CT January 2013 PET/CT



Change in Nor

locion

-100

111

**Evaluable Patients** 

Clinical and radiological CR at one year: currently NED at 36 m





15



ապ



### Well Cornell



#### Well Cornell Medicine

How to enable the pro-immunogenic effects of radiation:

- overcoming RT-induced immunosuppression (adenosine,TGFβ)

nosuppressive effects of RT

ning immur

- mitigating RT-induced lymphopenia









#### Well Cornell Medicine

Adenosine-blockade promotes intratumoral infiltration of CD8 $\alpha$ + activated DCs, reduces Treg infiltration while promoting CD8+ T cell infiltration







Modulation of adenosine generation and/or uptake in the TME may facilitate the immunogenic effect of radiation







Therapeutic synergy of radiation and TGF $\beta$  blockade











Vanpouille –Box, Cancer Research 2015







TCR→p-ERK in CD4+ T cells PD-1- PD-1+ TCR→p-AKT in CD4+ T cells PD-1- PD-1+ Disease
 Healthy 4.0 3.5 1.6 1.5 3.0 2.5 2.0 1.4 1,3 1.2 1.0 0.5 1.0 0.0 ş

In vitro anti-PD-1 (pembrolizumab) partially restores TCR $\rightarrow$ p-ERK /p-AKT

 $\succ\,$  Basis for in vitro PD-1 patient selection marker for combination therapies

9

### The delicate balance of cross-presentation



Causer Invest 2013 February (31(2): 140-144, doi:10.310907333907.2012.762200

The Etiology of Treatment-related Lymphopenia in Patients w Malignant Glomas: Modeling Radiation Does to Circulating Lymphocytes Explains Clinical Observations and Suggests Methods of Modifying the Impact of Radiation on Immune Cell Search Yorkin, Learnox Stellindey<sup>1</sup>, East A. Gissenser<sup>2</sup>, Marieta Nersystem<sup>2</sup>, Toparment of Radiatio Recipio, Janis Takan Learnoxy Takata Materia Martine College States (Search College, Search College States), Search College Observation (Search College, Janis Takata College States), Search College Department of Radiation Recipio, Janis Takata College States), Search College States (Search College, Janis Takata College States), Search College States), Search College, Search College, Sea

8-cm tumor, 60 Gy/30 fractions modeled with Pinnacle™ radiation planning system Radiation doses to circulating cells (DCC) analyzed using MatLab™

> Circulating lymphocytes : D10 = 3 Gy D50 = ~2 Gy D90 = ~.5 Gy

A single radiation fraction delivered 0.5 Gy to 5% of circulating cells, after 30 fractions 99% of circulating blood had received ≥0.5 Gy

Naïve T cells are the most radiosensitive

### Impact of Number of fractions, Dose rate, Target Size





## Conclusions

- RT- induced signaling effects interacts with multiple immunological pathways, including adenosine, TGF-β,PD-1 etc.
- Success of combination of anti-CTLA-4 and radiation in metastatic NSCLC was independent from PD-L1 expression/blockade. Conversely, effectiveness of blocking TGF $\beta$  likely depends on overcoming PD-L1 expression
- Hypo-fractionated, short courses of RT to a small target to avoid lymphopenia is likely to be key to the success of RT and immunotherapy

When combined with Immunotherapy what is the best Radiation Source, Dose, Fractionation?



### Welli Cornell

Looking at the ICD of Protons, Deuterons, and Helium

- RARAF Columbia
- Prep Cells for the Track Segment Charged-Particle Accelerator
- Allows for irradiation of particles with Linear Energy Transfer (10-200KeV/mm).



http://raraf.org/tracksegment.html



### At 35kEV, 5Gy of deuterons ~20 Gy x-rays

#### Well Cornell Medicine

Fractionated but not single dose RT elicits an abscopal response in combination with anti-CTLA-4



Dewan et al. Clin Cancer Res. 2009











### Well Cornell

### IFN-I pathway activation in Hypo-Fx tumors











Well Cornell Medicine



Mice that developed durable remissions after radiation treatment were resistant to a second challenge with CT26 tumors due to developmentof systemic limumity that beame potent about 1 month after treatment. Second tumori inneurity was treatment continued to grow while systemic auron immunity was the systemic and the systemic auron immunity was likely prevented antitumor T-cell infilmation. Second tumor esasion may be overcome by enhancing the rapidity and potency of tumor immunity by combining SBRT with immunotherapy. On

Reject Second Challenge But concurrent second

tumors continue to grow!

No abscopal response demonstrated!



















Welli Cornell Medicine Survival 100 Endpoints: 80 Percent survival -Abscopal response 60--Survival 40-20-8 Gy X 3 superior to 승 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 Days post -TSA cells implantation single fraction 8/30 Gy 0Gy 0Gy+9H10 8Gy 8Gy+9H10 30Gy 30Gy+9H10 3x8Gy 3x8Gy+9H10

#### Well Cornell Medicine

### Dose and fractionation and RT source

- When combined with ICB tumor hypo-fractionated regimens are required for abscopal effects
- High LET radiation more likely to induce ICD



Radiation and Immunity Research Team

