Creative Simulation:

A Flexible Hands-on Approach to Building a Deeper Understanding of Critical Concepts in Radiation Physics

Shahid A. Naqvi, Ph.D. Saint Agnes Cancer Institute Baltimore, MD



AAPM 2016, Washington, D

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

None

Evolution of Teaching method

Teaching method evolved over time with the experience of teaching and one to one interaction with

- Radiation oncology residents
- Dosimetry school students
- Postdocs from secondary field
- Starting medical physicists

at University of Maryland, Baltimore from 2001-2010

Students attitudes to learning Radiation Physics

- The teaching experience was also a lear experience.
- Some observations include: • Students showed a desire to understand the physics, and not just pass exams or be proficient in clinical calculations.
- trouble connecting the concepts in clinical physics with the underlying basic physics.
- would quickly get bored if we only focus on clinical problem solving without a clear mental picture of what is happening.



Some common misconceptions...

- "Electronic equilibrium is needed to measure dose.
- "Terma represents primary dose and kernels represent scatter dose
- "Penumbra is caused by scatter."
- Buildup in photon beams is due to
- "the fact that photons interact after some distance"
- "the fact that electrons deposit energy after some distance," or,
- "the fact that the highest energy electrons are released at the surf.

aller aller aller aller a

Practically all the misunderstandings are caused by

- not appreciating the role of secondary electrons in dose deposition
- Indiscriminate use of the word "Scatter!"

Monte Carlo as a teaching tool...

- To facilitate explicit visualization of radiation physics, and
- To enable students to make explicit connections with clinical physics
- * A Monte Carlo code ("Athena") is developed with medical physics education in mind.

Relating attenuation & photon interactions

- Photons can interact at random depth with the probability of surviving a journey of length *x* through the forest of atoms given by $e^{-\mu x}$
- Each interaction removes a primary photon, which reduces the photon intensity downstream (attenuation): $\frac{\varphi}{\varphi_0} = e^{-\mu x}$
- The diagram allows the students to "see" that interactions & beam attenuation are flip sides of the same coin.



Emphasizing the electrons!

- All dose is due to ionization and excitation produced by secondary electrons released by photons (indirectly ionizing).
- Electrons can travel a few cm from their release site.
- · Follow electrons to understand dose!



Interaction density, fluence & Primary Kerma, $K_{\rm p}$						
 Interaction density follows the fluence fewer photons available downstream produce fewer interactions. 	Primary Interaction points					
"Primary kerma" in box A counts energy imparted to electrons in primary photon interactions in A: regardless of where the electrons go. does not depend on electron transport.						
Exponential fall off in primary: fluence, kerma, interaction density.						

Primary dose, D

- Dose in "box A" counts energy deposited by electrons in A regardless of where they originate.
- Primary dose deposited electrons released in first photon interactions.
- * (D $_{\rm p}$ does not count interactions of scatter photons).
- (Label the order of the interaction in the MC code and display only 1st order interactions).



Scatter dose

- "Scatter" dose is due to electrons released in second or higher order photon interactions of • compton scatter photons, • bremstrahlung photons, • annihilation photons.
- e tracks are detached from the primary interaction sites.
- Scatter photons can carry energy far away from beam edge.
- But are not the reason for th physical penumbra





Physical penumbra vs. scatter tails

- Physical penumbra is present in the primary dose profile.
- Tails of the profile are made of scatter dose.
- Scatter does not affect physical penumbra much



Understanding photon buildup (regional analysis)

- Divide phantom into imaginar slabs.
- Find contribution of each slab.
- Note the shape of the dose distribution does not change
- Height changes due to exponential attenuation of fluence (or kerma)



Understanding Buildup: Two slabs...

- 2^{nu} slab receives upstream contribution from 1st slab.
- Keep adding slabs until we are beyond the range of the 1st slab electrons



Understanding Buildup: Five slabs...

- "Saturation" is reached.
- Electrons "lost" out of slab5 are essentially replaced by electrons from points upstream, (electronic equilibrium) and



Understanding buildup...

- In Summary: Dose at each point depends on electrons released in slabs upstream.
- Maximum track overlap is reached around d_{max}, which is one forward e-range away from the surface (~3cm)
- Upstream contribution beyond d_{max} stays constant except for decreasing strength due to attenuation.







6





Studying interface effects

- Students find it hard to understand why there are build-up and build-down effects.
- Overlaying the isodose lines on the electron tracks show how the increased e- spread in the low density region results in lateral disequilbrium.



Interface effects: (regional analysis)

- Buildup due to interactions in lung never reach the level of water since
 - Electrons lost outward are not compensated by electrons moving inward.
 - compensated by electrons moving inward
 lateral buildup is incomplete





How do kernels look in convolution/superposition

 Allow interactions at only few discrete points: 	₽	➡
 Illustrates kernel shapes in water and low density medium 	ρ=1 1 1	0 0 0 0 0 0
 Illustrates reduced weights downstream due to attenuation. 	$\rho = 0.5$	
 Illustrates density scaling of tracks and corresponding kernel stretching 		000
 Provides insights into convolution superposition method with addition of weighted kernels. 	***	
	* * *	0 0 0







Energy coding application: electron and photon beams

- Illustrates how electron energy spectrum changes with depth in electron beams.
- But photon beam electron spectrum remains essentially fixed.
- Explains need of water-air stopping power ratio in PDD measurement for ebeams with ion-chambers



 No correction generally needed for photons

Spectral corrections for detector in tail?

Corrections needed in the tail at large depths where e- energy is much lower?
Shall we "force" the model to match measurements everywhere?





Electron Beams: Where is the "Bragg peak"?

- End of track ionization density is higher as stopping power rises with decreasing energy.
- But increase rate of energy loss happens when there is not much energy left to deposit.



- Also all individual "bragg peaks" get smeared out due to excessive lateral scattering, which this results in no bragg peak!
- y energy loss rate

Conclusion

- In this work, it is shown
 Monte Carlo simulations can be used as an effective educational tool
 - help to elucidate the physics by breaking the physical processes into layers of complexity.
- Help in making explicit connections with clinical concepts
- Helps develops physical insight so that new situations can be evaluated with sound judgement.
- Could excite a sense of "discovery" where a desire for understanding for its own sake overcomes extrinsic motivation factors such as passing exams!
- Could make learning more fun, and leave a longer lasting understanding of crucial concepts in radiation physics