# CT Protocol Review University of Washington

Kalpana M. Kanal, PhD, DABR Director, Diagnostic Physics Section and Resident Education Professor, Department of Radiology

> University of Washington Medical Center Seattle, WA 98195



AAPM 2016 Washington DC



# OUTLINE

- UW Medicine System
- Diagnostic Physics Section
- How we did CT protocol review
- How it is done now

## **UW Medicine Network**



### University of Washington Diagnostic Physics Section



David Zamora, MS, DABR Medical Physicist dzamora@uw.edu



Jeff Moirano, MS, DABR Medical Physicist jmoirano@uw.edu



Kalpana M. Kanal, PhD, DABR, Director and Professor <u>kkanal@uw.edu</u>



Brent, K. Stewart, PhD, DABMP Professor <u>bstewart@uw.edu</u>



Michael Hoff, PhD, Assistant Professor <u>mnhoff@uw.edu</u>

### CT Protocol Review – How it was done

- Physicist initiated
- Email to the section chief for each section
- Very tedious and slow process
- Complication was trying to do this for across sites
- Physicist, radiologist and 2 lead CT techs, one from each site
- Review paper copies and make changes
- Lead CT supervisor implemented changes

## CT Protocol Review – How it is done now

- ACR QC manual used it to enforce CT protocol review more consistently
- In a letter to Radiology leadership, I highlighted the following:
- One of the responsibilities of the lead CT radiologist is to
  - Convene a team that includes the supervising radiologist, the medical physicist, and the lead CT technologist to design and review all new or modified CT protocol settings to ensure that both image quality and radiation dose are appropriate.
  - The benefits of reviewing protocols on a regular basis are:
  - ✓ Eliminating protocols not used
  - Reviewing protocols known to give high dose to ensure protocol optimization
  - ✓ Adding new protocols if needed
  - ✓ Modifying protocols based on feedback received
  - ✓ Consistent protocols used across sites (if possible)

## CT Protocol Review – How it is done now

- A directive was sent from the Radiology QA committee
- Response was very good
- Regular protocol review meetings which are initiated by the section chief
- Radiologist (s), lead CT tech/supervisor, physicist present at these meetings

## CT Protocol Review – How it is done now



<u>,                                     </u>		Kanal, Kalpana M
UW Medicine UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON MEDICAL CENTER UW Medical Center - Harborview Me ABOUT UWMC DEPARTMEN	Questions & Comme dical Center • UW Neighborhood Clinics • UW Physicians • Shared Services TS TOOLKITS MY EMPLOYEE SERVICES QUALITY & SAFETY	ents   🚖 Add this page to My Links
UWMC Radiology/Imaging Ser	rices	
Related Business Units	Radiology/Imaging Services	Department Information
<ul> <li>Clinical Engineering</li> <li>Center for Clinical Excellence</li> <li>Employee Health</li> </ul>	Welcome to UWMC Department of Radiology. We provide the full range of state of the art technology for diagnostic. interventional, and therapeutic services for patients on behalf of	UWMC Radiology Front Desk Phone: 206-598-6200
<ul> <li>Infection Control</li> <li>Interpreter Services</li> </ul>	referring providers. Our primary focus is that <i>Patients Are First</i> . Our world class faculty,	Fax: 206-598-7690
Schvironmental Services     Gift Shop, Espresso and Tea Room     Patient Care Services     Emergency Management (Disaster     Preparedness)     Accreditation Readiness     More >	every patient and their family every time.	Roosevelt Radiology Front Desk Phone: 206-598-6868 Fax: 206-598-2847 Box Number: 354755 Hours of Operation: Monday-Friday 7 a.m 5:30
Radiology Policy and Procedures	5/26/2016 Message from Geoff Austin	p.m.
Radiology IT Help Website	Announcements Other News UWMC Info	Outpatient Appointment
Radiology Disaster Plan Radiology Unit Leader Disaster	Excellence in Action-Employee of the Month-June 2016-Christina Popchoi	Scheduling Phone: 206-598- 7200
Response Checklist Department of Radiology Contact Phone Numbers	Radiology Ice Cream Social June 30th from 12p-3pm	Fax: 206-598-7690 Box Number: 359426 Hours of Operation:
Radiology Transporters Phone	Excellence in Action-Employee of the Month-April 2016-Chandra Pelton Congratulations, Chandra Pelton!	Monday-Friday 8 a.m 5 p.m.
Screening Forms	Radiology Patient Satisfaction Survey Survey starts April 18th	For Consults
Request Order Forms	Excellence in Action-Employee of the Month-Feb 2016-Anne Gause	If you are a clinician and need to



### UWMC CT Protocols

To learn more about the CT Protocols, select from the links below

- <u>GU</u>
- Liver / Pancreas
- <u>Neuro</u>
- Vascular
- Abdomen
- <u>Chest</u>
- <u>MSK</u>
- Pediatric
- Dual Energy Body

### VCT Protocols

- GU VCT
- Liver / Pancreas VCT
- Abdomen VCT
- Vascular VCT

### Post Processing Check Sheets

- <u>CVA10</u>
- TAVR
- <u>CTA Chest</u>



©UW and Kalpana Kanal, PhD

12

#### Test dose 15cc Visi 5cc/sec, 25cc saline chaser 3cc/sec

Scan Type	Start Loc	End Loc	# of images	Thick Speed	Interval	Gantry Tilt	SFOV	Kv	Ma	Time	DFOV	Recon1	Recon2
Axial 1.0sec	Carina	Carina	14	5.0 1i	0.00	SO	Large	120	50	3.0	To Visualize Pulm A.	Std	None



		L'OCAL													
Scan Type	Start Loc	End Loc	# of images	Thick Speed	Interval	Gantry Tilt	SFOV	kv	ma	Time	DFOV	Recon1	Recon2	Recon3	Recon4
0.4sec to 0.8sec Helical	Lung bases	Lung apex		40mm Detector 0.984:1	0.625	SO	Large Body	120 For BMI >20 100 For BMI <20	NI 35	Peak +5sec	Adjust To cover necessary anatomy	0.625 Std Send to AW server ASIR 30%	1.25mm bone Send to PACS	1.25mm std Send to PACS ASIR 30%	2.5mm std Send to PACS ASIR 30%
												Coronal Dmpr Chest			

CT CHANGE CONTROL		Im	plemen	tation:		
Submission Date: 05/11/2016 Proposal: Reduction of max-face doses by 20%	Who is responsible for this: IMPLEMENTATION STEPS: (An empty box assumes "N/A")					
			Date	Completed by:	How was this done?	
Proposal Padrice our may face protocols by 2004		Communication to Leads				
Toposal. Reduce our max race protocors by 2076		Communication to Techs				
<b>Reason for Change</b> . To be within the 75 percentile of ACR recommendation		Communication to Radiologists				
icuson for change. To be whill are 75 percentile of rick recommendation		Comm. to Residents / Fellows				
		Communication to Physicists				
• <b>Requested By</b> : Kalpana		Change on Scanner(s)				
With development Detine		Discussion with Coding /Billing				
who does this potential change impact: Patients		Protocols Updated				
		Other				
Need discussion at CSIC? Yes No						
	o Comments:					
When finished with the proposed form, place the document in the "Awaiting Approva	l" folder	1				
in Hemingway, and distribute via Ctchangecontrol@u.washington.edu	Completion:					

Approval:	
This proposal was: Approved Not Approved	<ul> <li>Completed by:</li> </ul>
• Approved by:	O Completion Date:
• Date:	• Reported to CSIC:
• Reason for Denial:	
Who will implement this proposal:	When completed, convert this Word document to a .pdf document, and attach it to an email addressed to <u>Ctchangecontrol@u.washington.edu</u> for circulation.
Target Date for Implementation: ASAP Routine	Then move the document to the "Implementation Complete" folder, and update the Excel spreadsheet.

### Other Avenues for CT Protocol Review

- Protocol Manager
  - We are a beta site for one vendor who has a CT protocol manager software installed at our site
  - Has potential but still in infancy
  - Advantage would be to compare protocols online for different scanners, review, make changes and hopefully implement from manager on to the scanner

- Another vendor doing a demo at our site in August

### Other Avenues for CT Protocol Review

### **Dose Watch**

CT

				Reason for Notificat	ion			
This alert is triggered by	y the following event(	s):						
Examination CT	DIvol is over CTDIvol	threshold						
Alert Type	Series		Target region	Measure	Value	Wa	arning	Alert
Protocol	3		Head	CTDIvol (mGy)	60.50	5	1.10	66.93
				Study Information	n			
Date / Time:				2016-07-	-10 - 21:34			
Device:				erctl				
Model:					Reason for Notificatio	n		
	This	alert is triggered	by the following event	:(s):				
		<ul> <li>Examination C</li> </ul>	TDI <sub>vol</sub> is over CTDI <sub>vol</sub>	1 threshold				
		Alert Type	Series	Target region	Measure	Value	Warning	Alert
		Protocol	4	Chest	CTDI <sub>vol</sub> (mGy)	16.25	14.00	15.16
					Study Information			
	Dat	e / Time:			2016-07-2	9 - 10:14		
	Dev	ice:			rtct			
	vIo	del:			LightSpeed	d RT16		

©UW and Kalpana Kanal, PhD

Modality:

)

### **CT Protocol Review**

### Using ACR CT Dose Index Registry

### 2015 Q1Q2

N	RPID	
7030	CT HEAD BRAIN WO IVCON	
1702	CT C SPINE WO IVCON	
1513	CT ABDOMEN PELVIS W IVCON	
730	CT NECK ANGIO WO THEN W IVCON	
594	CT CHEST PULMONARY ARTERIES W IVCON	
440	CT CHEST WO IVCON	
392	CT L SPINE WO IVCON	
379	CT HEAD ANGIO WO THEN W IVCON	
347	CT HEAD MAXILLOFACIAL WO IVCON	
317	CT CHEST ABDOMEN PELVIS W IVCON	
309	CT T SPINE L SPINE WO IVCON	
217	CT PELVIS WO IVCON	
212	CT NECK W IVCON	
209	CT ABDOMEN WO IVCON	
191	CT ABDOMEN PELVIS WO IVCON	
155	CT CHEST W IVCON	
133	CT HEAD MAXILLOFACIAL W IVCON	
128	CT T SPINE WO IVCON	
117	CT CHEST ABDOMEN PELVIS WO THEN W IVCON	
109	CT ABDOMEN PELVIS ANGIO	

N	RPID
9056	CT HEAD BRAIN WO IVCON
1680	CT ABDOMEN PELVIS W IVCON
1401	CT C SPINE WO IVCON
700	CT NECK ANGIO WO THEN W IVCON
639	CT CHEST PULMONARY ARTERIES W IVCON
610	CT CHEST WO IVCON
479	CT HEAD MAXILLOFACIAL WO IVCON
428	CT L SPINE WO IVCON
357	CT CHEST ABDOMEN PELVIS W IVCON
320	CT T SPINE L SPINE WO IVCON
282	CT HEAD ANGIO WO THEN W IVCON
242	CT CHEST W IVCON
235	CT ABDOMEN WO IVCON
227	CT PELVIS WO IVCON
217	CT NECK W IVCON
196	CT ABDOMEN PELVIS WO IVCON
150	CT T SPINE WO IVCON
141	CT HEAD MAXILLOFACIAL W IVCON
140	CT ABDOMEN PELVIS ANGIO
119	CT LE FOOT WO IVCON

©UW and Kalpana Kanal, PhD © UW and Kalpana M. Kanal, PhD, DABR

2015 Q3Q4

### **CT** Protocol Review

### Using ACR CT Dose Index Registry

2015 Q3Q4

![](_page_17_Figure_3.jpeg)

### **CT Protocol Review**

## Using ACR CT Dose Index Registry

			All ACR	
Ν	RPID	HMC	Sites	All Trauma
9056	CT HEAD BRAIN WO IVCON	45	50	49
1680	CT ABDOMEN PELVIS W IVCON	7	12	12
1401	CT C SPINE WO IVCON	26	21	22
700	CT NECK ANGIO WO THEN W IVCON	15	19	19
639	CT CHEST PULMONARY ARTERIES W IVCON	8	12	10
610	CT CHEST WO IVCON	10	9	10
479	CT HEAD MAXILLOFACIAL WO IVCON	50	27	23
428	CT L SPINE WO IVCON	19	24	23
357	CT CHEST ABDOMEN PELVIS W IVCON	9	14	12
320	CT T SPINE L SPINE WO IVCON	15	23	24
282	CT HEAD ANGIO WO THEN W IVCON	45	51	51
242	CT CHEST W IVCON	10	11	11
235	CT ABDOMEN WO IVCON	9	14	13
227	CT PELVIS WO IVCON	14	16	16
217	CT NECK W IVCON	21	15	16
196	CT ABDOMEN PELVIS WO IVCON	11	13	13
150	CT T SPINE WO IVCON	16	21	19
141	CT HEAD MAXILLOFACIAL W IVCON	52	31	30
140	CT ABDOMEN PELVIS ANGIO	14	14	12
119	CT LE FOOT WO IVCON	15	12	12

# Deep Dive – Max Face WO IV

![](_page_19_Figure_1.jpeg)

# SUMMARY

- Directive to review and optimize protocols initially came from physicist
- After ACR released its new QC manual, directive from QA committee instead of physicist.
- Protocol review and optimization team includes radiologist(s), technologist (s) and physicist (s).
- Meet once a month and review by section
- Changes implemented by technologist and protocols updated on scanner and online
- Separate meeting to discuss implementation of new technology Dual Energy and Iterative Reconstruction
- Also use Dose Watch and ACR CT Dose Index Registry to look for problematic protocols

### THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION

![](_page_21_Picture_1.jpeg)

Cruise Ship docked in Skagway, Alaska - Image provided by Kanal