Quantitative Imaging for Treatment Response Assessment

Amita Dave, Neelam Tyagi, Sang Ho Lee, Miria Crispin-Ortuzar, Jeho Jeong, John Humm, Milan Grkovski, Joe Deasy et al.



Thanks to

- Harini Veeraraghavan, PhD
- Jung Hun Oh, PhD
- Aditya Apte, PhD
- Maria Thor, PhD
- Mireia Crispin-Ortuzar, PhD
 Nancy Lee, MD
- Andreas Rimner, MD
- Matthew Hellman, MD
- Charles Rudin, MD
- Neelam Tyagi, PhD
 Nancy Lee, MD

John Humm, PhD

• Margie Hunt, MS

• Amita Dave, PhD

- Heiko Shoeder, MDSang Ho Lee, PhD
- Milan Grkovski, PhD
- And many more...!

(Funding from NIH, Varian, and Philips)

Predicting response to RT or chemo can be based on:

- Volumetrics
- Radiomics
- · Imaging relevant to drug bioavailability
- Imaging relevant to tumor microenvironment (e.g., hypoxia)
- Models of TCP that include imaging variables
- ...using PET, MRI, CT.

To dose or not to dose... Hypoxia response during XRT in H&N cancer FE THERAPY UBAIN TO THERAPY (After 5X 26) TO THE THE After 5X 26) TO THE 7X 26)

If hypoxia is resolved on F-MISO scan in two weeks...de-escalate to 30 Gy!

















Cellular State Simulations to Predict Response to Radiation Therapy

Jeho Jeong, Mireia Crispin-Ortuzar, Andrew Fontanella, and Joe Deasy

Simulation model: the basics

 We introduce a 'constant-resource' tumor response model (Jeong *et al.* PMB (2013) 58:4897)
 Loss



 Chemical supply is assumed constant over the course of RT



Assume re-compartmentalization: *this leads to reoxygenation*

After an (exaggerated) time step:

- Assume oxygen and glucose can 'feed' a constant number of cells
- Then re-distribution constantly occurs that assumes P is the preferred state, then I, then H.
- This implies a 'reoxygenation' process



Lung tumor cohort dose-response

- Dose response across different fractionation regimes: Mehta et al. (Pract. Radiat. Oncol. (2012) 2:288-295) (N=2189)
- Three additional cohorts (including WUSTL, NKI) (N=512)

(Jeong et al., Clinical Cancer Res, In press)











(Images courtesy John Humm)









Works well for about 60% of tumors studied thus far.

M. Crispin-Ortuzar, M. Grkovski, B. Beattie, J. Humm, N. Lee, N. Riaz.













Semi-quantitative Parametric Analysis in DCE-MRI: Preliminary Application to Mesothelioma & Non-small Cell Lung Cancer

Neelam Tyagi, Sang Ho Lee, Andreas Rimner, et al.



Semi-quantitative Parameters

Why semi-quantitative parameters?

- Because Gd flows and is not trapped in cells...
- ...therefore kinetic models that do not include intervoxel diffusion are unlikely to be realistic.
- Empirical parameters such as TTHP are likely to be robust with respect to imaging parameters
-and relevant to drug delivery as well as radiobiological microenvironmental conditions
- Hypothesis: histograms of TTHP might be predictive of drug or radiotherapy response











(Slide courtesy Neelam Tyagi and Sang Ho Lee)







Looking ahead [1/2]

- Need to organize and test relatively simple image biomarkers from
 - dynamic contrast measurements (e.g., TTHP)
 - ADC and related parameters
 - Caveat: diffusion parameter behavior during RT is site specific
- Such parameters ae probably relevant to both RT and cytotoxic drug response
- Could become a standard part of Phase I drug response analyses
- Could form a personalized ground for adaptation, as well as disease phenotype classification

Looking ahead [2/2]

- Many opportunities to not only better understand individual tumor physiology vs. response, but also many opportunities to monitor and adapt to variable response.
- The relatively empirical use of hypoxia imaging during RT to choose dose is already proving useful in H&N.
- There is the potential to use multi-modality imaging with tumor response modeling to predict tumor response and to identify radiobiological outliers