

Disclosures		W
• No disclosures.		
	2	

Acknowledgments|





Ehsan Samei Francesco Ria Wanyi Fu Rachel Tian Jeremiah Sanders Olav Christianson Aiping Ding Yakun Zhang James Winslow

3

Outline | Utilization and Reduction

- 1. Utilization and Reduction
 - a. Justification
 - b. Appropriateness Criteria
 - c. Tube Current Modulation
 - d. Iterative Reconstruction
- 2. Monitoring
 - a. Mandate
 - b. Software Solutions, Basics
 - c. Components of Solutions
- 3. Optimization

2



Utilization | RRLs

- There is a wide range of radiation exposures associated with different diagnostic procedures, relative radiation levels (RRLs) have been included for most imaging examinations.
- RRLs are based on effective dose

RRL	Adult Eff. Dose (mSv) Estimate Range	Ped Eff. Dose (mSv) Estimate Range	Example Examinations	
0	0	0	Ultrasound; MRI	
۲	<0.1	<0.03	Chest radiograph; Hand radiograph	
	0.1-1	0.03-0.3	Pelvis radiograph; Mammography	
	1-10	0.3-3	Abd CT; Nuclear medicine bone scan	
	10-30	3-10	Abd CT w/o w/; Whole body PET	
	30-100	30-100	CTA CAP w/; TIPPS	
ropriateness Criteria® R	adiation Dose Assessment Introduc	tion	à	

ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Radiation Dose Assessment Introduction

	Variant 1:	Penetrati	ng neck	injury. Clinica	l soft injury signs			
2017 ACP	Radiolo	ogic Procedure		Rating		Comments	RR	tL*
propriateness	CTA neck with IV c	CTA neck with IV contrast		9	This procedure is the imaging study of choice. See references [2,5,6,8,11-17]			20
Criteria X-ray neck US neck				7	Use this procedure to screen and prior to MRI/MRA in gunshot wounds and in some stab wounds if there is any question as to the integrity of the weapon.		÷	•
		5 See references [4,		4,13-15,22,23].	(2		
Variant 2:	Penetrating neck	injury. Norma	l or equ	iivocal CTA. Co	ncern for vascula	r injury.	(C
Radiolo	gic Procedure	Rating		Comr	ments	RRL* e	/- @@	2 2
Arteriography neck		8	See references [2,6,8,20,21].		ହହହ			
MRA neck without and with IV contrast		5	See references [4,10,13,15,23].		0	and and a		
MRA neck without IV contrast 4		4				0	()
US neck 4 See r		references [4,13-	15,22,23].	0	*Rel Radiatio	ative on Leve		
Rating Scale: 1,2,3 Usual	lly not appropriate; 4,5,6 M	ay be appropriate;	7,8,9 Us	ually appropriate		*Relative		

Dose Metrics | CT Radiation

 $\mathrm{CTDI}_{\mathrm{vol}}$, DLP



 $- SSDE = CTDI_{vol} \cdot f(size)$





Effective Dose • $ED = DLP \cdot k(anatomy)$



ICRP Publication 103 [ICRP 2007]: "Effective dose is calculated for a Reference Person and not for an individual." ... "Effective dose is not a real radiation dose to a person per se, but rather is a computed number representing an approximate measure of stochastic risk applied to a representative model."

AAPM TG 204 Li et al. Medical Physics 2010 Fisher and Fahey, Health Physics, 2017

TCM | Tube Current Modulation



Challenge

 Image noise dominated by projections with least signal (i.e., most attenuation) for a fixed mA. Result: wasted dose Internet Z-axis position

600

500

300

100

Solutions

- Patient-Size: Change mA based on patient weight/size
- Z-axis: Reduce mA for lower attenuation regions along the patient (e.g., neck, lungs) and increase mA for regions with high attenuation (e.g., shoulders, pelvis)
- Angular: Reduce mA for projection angles with lower attenuation and increase mA for angles with higher attenuation

McCollough, RadioGraphics, 2006



TCM | Organ

- Purpose: Organ Tube Current Modulation (OTCM) reduces radiation dose for superficial, radiosensitive tissues, such as breasts and orbits.
- TBD: mA reduction is limited. What is the impact on image quality? Would shields be as effective? Would optimized protocols be as effective?



TCM | Adaptability and Consistency

- Dose, size, and noise are not mutually exclusive.
- TCM adjusts dose based on size to achieve target noise level(s).

How well does your TCM perform at adapting and consistently achieving the noise targets across your patients?

- Metric to simultaneously incorporate image noise and radiation dose across a patient population to
 - 1. Quantify how CT scanners balance image quality and radiation dose
 - 2. Assess the consistency and adaptability

The point of th

Ţ

TCM | Adaptability and Consistency



2. RMSE calculated in the residuals' plots as a consistency metric of system adaptability.



Scanner- Protocol Fit RMSE (HU ^{1/2})						
CT1 A&P	0.0334					
CT1 Chest 0.0344						
CT2 A&P	0.0385					
CT2 Chest 0.0361						
CT3 A&P 0.0277						
CT3 Chest 0.0215						
Manufacturers/Models have varying degrees of reproducibility of noise- dose values across sizes with TCM.						

Ria et al., Medical Physics, 2017.

Iterative Reconstruction | Overview

"...iterative reconstruction may result in slightly longer reconstruction time but also in substantially less image noise from the same raw data through more complex modeling of detector response and of the statistical behavior of measurements."

Shuman, Image Wisely, 2016



Iterative Reconstruction | The Promise

- You will be promised dose reduction allowed by reduced noise when enabling iterative recon algorithms.
- However, iterative recon may also degrade your low contrast detectability to varying degrees due to kernels, regularization/priors, and strength.
- So, you might be able to achieve substantial noise AND dose reduction, illustrated with select image cases no doubt.
- But at what cost(s)?

Iterative Reconstruction | Implementation

- It's going to look different...
- "Proper implementation of iterative reconstruction is a big project because CT protocol review is an indispensable part of the process."
- "You'll need to establish benchmark levels for radiation dose and adopt a healthy suspicion of your old protocols to get the most out of your IR software."





AuntMinnie.com International Editor, Eric Barnes.

16

IJ

17

Outline | Monitoring

- 1. Utilization and Reduction
 - a. Justification
 - b. Appropriateness
 - c. Tube Current Modulation
 - d. Iterative Reconstruction

2. Monitoring

- a. Mandate
- b. Software Solutions, Basics
- c. Components of Solutions
- 3. Optimization

Mandate | Concerned / Informed Users



Cedars-Sinai investigated for significant radiation overdoses of 206 patients http://articles.latimes.com/2009/oct/10/local/me-cedars-sinai10

Huntsville Hospital notifying 60 patients of possible radiation overdose from brain scan http://blog.al.com/breaking/2009/12/huntsville_hospital_notifying.html



Radiation overdoses from CT scans lead to maladies in patients http://www.cleveland.com/nation/index.ssf/2010/08/radiation_overdoses_from_ct_sc.html

Radiation overdoses point up dangers of CT scans

http://www.nytimes.com/2009/10/16/us/16radiation.html

https://itunes.apple.com/us/app/xcatdose/id783266196?mt=8 http://www.tidalpool.ca/radiationpassport/

Mandate | CA, FDA, CMS, XR-29

California SB 1237 (July 2012)

 "... hospitals and clinics, as specified, that use computed tomography (CT) X-ray systems for human use to record, if the CT systems are capable, the dose of radiation on every CT study produced during the administration of a CT examination, as specified."

FDA, Initiative to Reduce Unnecessary Radiation Exposure from Medical Imaging

- "Implement quality control procedures to ensure that dosing protocols are followed every time, and that the planned amount of radiation is administered."
- "Tracking adverse events can **establish trends** and allow **prospective correction** of possible radiation safety problems related to equipment or operator training. ..."

CMS, A-0537: 482.26(b)(2) Condition of Participation: Radiological Services

• "Any adverse events related to over- or under-dosing must be identified and addressed."

NEMA XR-29, HR 4302 SEC. 21 Quality Incentives for CT Diagnostic Imaging and Promoting Evidence-based Care

- "... equipment that is not consistent with the CT equipment standard, the payment amount for such service shall be reduced"
- NEMA XR-29, "attributes include DICOM Dose Structured Reporting, incorporation of the features and functionality that conform to NEMA XR-25 Computed Tomography Dose Check, various forms of automatic exposure control, and reference pediatric and adult protocols."

w.fda.gov/Radiation-EmittingProducts/RadiationSafety/RadiationDoseReduction/ucm2007191.htm, www.leginfo.ca.gov/pub/0910/bill/sen/sb_12011250/sb_1237_bill_20100929_chaptered.html

Mandate | TJC

10

TJC, Diagnostic Imaging Requirements, PC.01.03.01 – 25,26, PI.02.01.01 - 6

- "... establishes or adopts diagnostic computed tomography (CT) imaging **protocols** based on current standards of practice, which address key criteria including **clinical indication**, contrast administration, age (to indicate whether the **patient is pediatric or an adult**), patient **size** and **body habitus**, and the **expected radiation dose index range**."
- "... protocols are reviewed and kept current with input from an interpreting radiologist, medical physicist, and lead
 imaging technologist to make certain that they adhere to current standards of practice and account for changes in CT
 imaging equipment."
- "... reviews and analyzes incidents where the radiation dose index ... exceeded expected dose index ranges identified in imaging protocols. These incidents are then compared to external benchmarks.
- "CTDI_{vol}, DLP, and SSDE are useful indicators for monitoring radiation dose indices from the CT machine, they do not represent the patient's radiation dose."





Mandate | Image Wisely

Pledge for Imaging Facility, 2017

3. Radiation doses are optimized to levels assuring that only the necessary amounts of radiation are used to produce **images tailored** to patient **size** and the diagnostic **task**.

8. ... monitor dose indices for common examinations, compare these indices with established benchmarks (Diagnostic Reference Levels), and evaluate outliers on a timely basis to prevent unnecessary exposure to patients or images with insufficient diagnostic information.

9. ... participates in a **dose index registry** (local, regional or national) that includes routine evaluation of examination performance and dose indices.

http://www.imagewisely.org/Pledge/Facility-Pledge

Mandate | It Just Makes Sense.

- Consistent clinical operation
 - Doses, protocols, users: assurance against repeated errors by evaluating outliers
- Establish appropriate dose ranges for patients based on trends
- Benchmark dose levels against peers
- Dose estimates that are personalized, meaningful, accurate, precise









Specifications	Pricing	Install Base & Integration
Server:	Model(s):	How many sites live?
OS is Physical or Virtual	• License (per site, per equipment)	
Hardware:	• SaaS	Sites comparable to our institution?
Provided by Vendor or Institution	• per Exam	
Location:	Tiered model	Proven Integration:
Local intranet/firewall	Maintenance	• EHR
Remote/Cloud	Number of users	• PACS
Dataflow:	Additional Costs:	Dictation
PACS to server	Operational	
Modality to server	Hardware	
Server to dose registries	 IT Support (internal and external) 	
Data types receive/send:	Training	
Dose reports, (P-)RDSR, MPPS, HL7, IHE	 3rd party integration 	
REM, Manual, etc. Configurable APIs.	 Data and protocol review services Education 	

of radiation dose index monitoring systems," Gress et al. JACMP 2017; 18:4:12–22.

8/3/2017

Solutions | 4 Examples



V

	Specifications	Pricing	Install Base & Inte	gration
Server	Software installed on physical or virtual server on-site		# Sites / Comparable to Duke	Some / Few
Dataflow	PACS to server (also MPPS from modality)	Capital &	EHR	None.
Cloud	Currently testing in small markets.	5005	Dictation	50% of sites.
Server	Software installed on virtual server, on-site.	License &	# Sites / Comparable to Duke	Many / Several
Dataflow	Modality directly to server.	Maintenance	EHR	None.
Cloud	No current plan.		Dictation	1 WIP
Server	Server hardware (vendor) installed on-site.		# Sites / Comparable to Duke	Very few / 1
Dataflow	Modality, preferred, or PACS to Server; info sent to cloud	SaaS &	EHR	1 WIP
Cloud	Exclusively cloud hosted: software, analytics, & data		Dictation	Some
Server	Software installed on a physical or virtual server on-site	Tiered &	# Sites / Comparable to Duke	Many / Several
Dataflow	PACS or modality to server.	per Exam	EHR	3
Cloud	No current plan.		Dictation	Many





Applications | Outliers IJ Size-based Threshold 4.0 Outliers (\cdot) **TOTAL DLP OF STUDY (GY*CM)** 0.0 5.7 0.7 1.0 1.7 1.0 Monitoring radiation dose Size-based Dose Thresholds irrespective of patient size is *misleading*. Combined *dose and size* tracking is *essential* for right-sizing personalized CT operation. 45 **EFFECTIVE DIAMETER (CM)** 27

Applications | Establishing Thresholds



Where do those thresholds come from?

- 1. Scatter plot and fit 18-months of historic data
 - Linear (size-independent, Routine Brain)
 - Exponential (size-dependent, abd pelvis)
- 2. Remove residuals > 3 st. dev.
 - Avoid outliers when setting thresholds
- 3. Re-fit "cleaned data"
 - Set confidence intervals (95%, 99%)
- 4. Fit coefficients are stable until protocol change

Another Approach: Chen et al. "Tracking and Resolving CT Dose Metric Outliers Using Root-Cause Analysis," JACR 2016.



Solutions | What's Missing?*

Data curation and maintenance

• Wrong information will be entered. How do you identify and clean it?

Selective parameter retention

- "Kill them all and let God sort them out." –Amalric, or, you don't know today what you'll want tomorrow.
- Vendor (meta)data neutrality, dependency, and customization?

User-customizable data visualization

• User-defined reports and visualizations in the solution versus data export?

Alert thresholds

- Global are standard, but provide limited value
- Naïve to system model, system capabilities and patient size, age, gender

Portability

• Can you get all your data "out" in a usable, non-proprietary format?

Event tracking

- Software/hardware updates to the modality
- Software/algorithm updates to the RDIM
- Integration of new metrics and standards

*An incomplete list. ³⁰



Dose Metrics | Patient-specific Dose

(СТ	Flu	oro	
Metric	Units	Metric	Units	
CTDI _{vol}	mGy	Fluoro Time	sec	
SSDE	mGy	KERMA	Gy	
DLP	mGy.cm	DAP	Gy.cm ²	
Size-adjusted DLF	mGy.cm	Peak Skin Dose	Gy	Standardized Dose
Effective Dose	mSv	Effective Dose	mSv	Analytics
Risk Index	incidents/cohort	Risk Index	incidents/cohort	Standardized dose
Organ Dose	mGy	Organ Dose	mGy	matrice will be the
Radio	Radiography		nmo	essence of meaningful
Metric	Units	Metric	Units	monitoring
Rel. X-ray		AGD	mGy	internet.
Exposure	Unitless	Effective Dose	mSv	
DAP	Gy ⋅ cm ²	Risk Index	incidents/cohort	
Effective Dose	mSv	Organ Dose	mGy	
Risk Index	incidents/cohort			
Organ Dose	mGy			32

Outline | Optimization

- 1. Utilization and Reduction
 - a. Justification
 - b. Appropriateness Criteria
 - c. Tube Current Modulation
 - d. Iterative Reconstruction
- 2. Monitoring
 - a. Mandate
 - b. Software Solutions, Basics
 - c. Components of Solutions
- 3. Optimization

Optimization | Getting There from Here...

- Balancing (versus min-max) image quality tradeoffs that dose reduction strategies have been promising/selling
- Patient-specific protocols: Personalized/Precision healthcare
- Improving performance and consistency of clinical operations

Going to need

- ➢ Patient-specific, meaningful dose metrics
- Patient-specific image quality metrics
- Comprehensive, integrated platform





Dose Metrics | Patient-Specific Organ Dose CAP Abdomen-pelvis Abdomen Chest Pelvic Kidney-to-bladder 1 15 2 25 0.5 1 1.5 0.5 1 15 2 1 1.5 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1 1 1.5 2



O. Christianson, J. Winslow, D. P. Frush, E. Samei, "Automated Technique to Measure Noise in Clinical CT Examinations", AJR, 2015.

IJ

Image Metrics | Noise Reference Level

Recommended image quality range, such as NRL, toward achieving consistency in clinical operations.

Chest w/o

- 1159 patients
- 2 scanners (2 vendors)
- Standard clinical protocols
 - kVp, AEC, slice thickness, pitch

Proposed Noise Reference Level Interval = Median Noise ± 20%

Recommended | Resources

- "Dispelling myths and making adjustments: How the physicist should account for size and age when designing CT protocols," Frank Ranallo, AAPM 2017.
- "Joint Commission Diagnostic Imaging Requirements: Survey Results Update," Andrea Browne, AAPM 2017.
- "Tube Current Modulation Approaches: Overview, Practical Issues and Potential Pitfalls," Michael McNitt-Gray, AAPM 2011

Summary|

- Manufacturers/Models have varying degrees of reproducibility of noise-dose values across sizes with TCM.
- Beyond Dose: Dose monitoring CAN and SHOULD extend beyond dose. RDIM can be a portal into operational consistency.
- Standardized Dose Analytics: Standardized dose metrics will be the essence of meaningful monitoring.
- Comprehensive, Integrated Health Analytics: Dose is a place holder until we devise more comprehensive operational auditing that incorporates big data analytics integrated with EHRs.