

Imaging For Clinical Trials and Adaptive Radiation Therapy (ART) Clinical Trials: A Physician's Perspective

Feng-Ming (Spring) Kong, MD, PhD, FACR Professor of Radiation Oncology and Medical & Molecular Genetics Director of Clinical Research, Department of Radiation Oncology Co-leader of Thoracic Oncology Program Indiana University School of Medicine

AAPM Annual Meeting, Denver, 8-2-2017

Outline

- Overview imaging in clinical trial
- Clinical trial decision
 - Post-treatment response assessment >As a biomarker to predict long-term outcome
- Imaging for adaptive RT trial
 - > Motivation of ART: during-RT changes in tumor and normal tissue
 - > Process of ART and Imaging for ART
 - > A clinical trial of Biological imaging guided ART (BigART)

INDIANA UNIVERSITY

Outline

- - >Post-treatment response assessment
 - > As a biomarker to predict long-term outcome
- Imaging for adaptive RT trial
 - > Motivation of ART: during-RT changes in tumor and normal tissue
 - Process of ART and Imaging for ART > A clinical trial of Biological imaging guided ART (BigART)

IIT INDIANA UNIVERSITY

2-26-2010 Kong

Imaging for Clinical Trial Decision

- Imaging is essential for almost all diseases in oncology
- Advanced imaging like PET functional imaging has been used as the state of art modality for cancer diagnosis and management
- Clmaging is needed for work-up or care for almost all solid tumors enrolling in clinical trial decision

Imaging for Clinical Trial Conduct

- CImaging are study variables associated with trial outcome
 - Disease, tumor location, size, stage and comorbid considerations
- Imaging for trial endpoint assessment
 - ≻Treatment response
 - >Local tumor control and distant disease spread

INDIANA UNIVERSITY

ging for clinical trial and ART, Kong AAPM 2017

Imaging Modality for Response

Assessment

- 2D X-ray, ultrasounds...
- CT is applicable for most conditions
- MRI or PET functional imaging depending on the
- organs of origin and tumor types
- MRI for brain, liver, pancreas
- PET for head and neck, cervical cancer, lymphoma... lung

INDIANA UNIVERSITY

2-26-2010 Kong

CT Imaging Response Assessment

WHO Criteria: AxB cm2



The WHO criteria were introduced in 1979 and use bidimensional measurements of target lesions

RECIST, introduced in 2000 and revised in 2009, use unidimensional measurements of the longest diameters of target

Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors (RECIST) Criteria: A cm only Nichino M et al. Academic Radiology 2011

INDIANA UNIVERSITY

Assessing Tumor Response

- World Health Organization (WHO)
- Partial Response (PR) > 50% decrease in sum of products
- Progressive Disease (PD) > 25% increase

Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors (RECIST)

- Partial Response (PR) > 30% decrease in longest diameter
- Progressive Disease (PD) > 20% increase in diameter

From Wahl, RSNA

<section-header><section-header><list-item><list-item><list-item><section-header><list-item><list-item><list-item>

2-26-2010 Kong































	PERCIST
Complete metabolic response	SUL normalization of all lesions to less than the mean liver SUV and equal to normal surrounding tissue
Partial metabolic respon	se 230% decrease in the SUL peak Verification with follow-up study if anatomic criteria indicate disease progression
Progressive metabolic disease	>305 increase in the SUL peak 75% increase in TG of the 5 most active lesions Yable increase in extent of FDG uptake Netroscience in the follow up tautaly if anatomic criteria indicate complete or partial response
Stable metabolic disease	Neither partial nor progressive disease
Wahl RL et al, From RECI solid tumors. J Nucl Med.	IST to PERCIST: evolving considerations for PET response criteria in 2009;50 Suppl 1:122S-50S.
INDIANA UNIVERSITY SCHOOL OF MEDICINE	Imaging for clinical trial and ART, Kong AAPN







	Mu	tation		
Table 4 – Relation	ship between EG	FR mutation ar	nd ¹⁸ F-FDG upta	ake in adenocar
		Preoperativ	e primary site	
¹⁸ F-FDG uptake		High (n=14)	Low (n=21)	p-Value
EGFR	Mutant Wild-type	2 12	14 9	0.015
Abbreviation: EGFR, ep	oidermal growth rec	eptor factor.		
	K	Kaira et al, Resp	iratory Investiga	tion, 2014, 52 (2) 121-128
NA UNIVERSITY			Imaging for cli	nical trial and ART Konn AAPI







Outline

- Overview imaging in clinical trial
 - Clinical trial decision
 - Post-treatment response assessment
 - > As a biomarker to predict long-term outcome
- Imaging for adaptive RT trial
 - Motivation of ART: during-RT changes in tumor and normal tissue
 - Process of ART and Imaging for ART
 A clinical trial of Biological imaging guided ART (BigART)

INDIANA UNIVERSITY

The Greater Role of Imaging in RT Trial

More than RT decision post RT monitoring

CRT planning: simulation, target definition, conform radiation to the target and normal tissue sparing

CRT delivery: position/localization the patient, and monitor the changes in anatomy, density and Biology during the course of RT

INDIANA UNIVERSITY

aging for clinical trial and ART, Kong AAPM 2017



2-26-2010 Kong

Changes During RT CPatient changes: weight, shape, thickness Tumor changes: size, shape, texture, function... Organs at risk: organ fullness (stomach), function (atelectasis),fluid collection (pleural effusion)... CLocation and spacial relationships between tumor and normal tissue

U INDIANA UNIVERSITY

Changes in Head and Neck Cancer on CT



U INDIANA UNIVERSITY



2-26-2010 Kong





































Summary Ci	hanges During Kr
both tumor	r and normal tissues
Set-up errors	
Target and orga	an motion
CAnatomic chang size	ges in location and
	
Biologic function	onal changes
Without ART, one may the patients by over de	miss the tumor or harm osing the normal tissues.
IT INDIANA UNIVERSITY	Imaging for clinical trial and ART, Kong AAPM 201



INDIANA UNIVERSITY

2-26-2010 Kong

What Is ART?

- It is not just IGRT
- It uses IGRT for guidance and takes motion into consideration
- CART applies adaptive plan to patient-specific changes that are unaccounted for in initial plan.

ART Original Concept (2D)

- Traditionally, "Adaptive radiation therapy is a closed-loop radiation treatment process where the treatment plan can be modified us a systematic feedback of measurements." EPID
- C By adjusting the patients' position and MLC shapes, the mean systematic error was 4 mm with a range of 2 to 7 mm before adjustment. It was reduced to 0.5 mm with a range of 0.2 to 1.4 mm after adjustment.
- By decreasing margin, dose may be escalated safely.

U INDIANA UNIVERSITY



Systemic and random errors

Advanced Image Guided ART: Evolving ART Concept

- 2D-EPID guided ART to individually adapt the PTV margin
- C3D-CBCT, CT-on-rail, MVCT guided ART for offline, online replanning
- 3D, 4D online MRI guided ART for online/realtime adoption
- 5D: Biological, functional imaging guided ART~ BigART

2-26-2010 Kong







Essential Components for Modern ART

- Modern pretreatment imaging
- Real time imaging to detect the changes
- Evaluation the changes in tumor and OARs
- Precise image registration (deformable)
- Model based segmentation, automatic recontouring (ideally)
- Accurate dose computation (deformable)
- Rapid automatic treatment planning (ideally)

2-26-2010 Kong



PET Guided BigART Improves

Tumor Control

UMCC2007123

≻ART escalated doses to 86Gy while kept lung NTCP at 17.2%

- 82% 2-year tumor control, versus

 34% historical control from UM
 65% from RTOG617
- Mature results also show a potential to improve survival Kong et al. JAMA Oncology, 2017

INDIANA UNIVERSITY

IIT INDIANA UNIVERSITY



2-26-2010 Kong









- □ Tumor dose can be escalated by 19% more if the lung normal tissue complication probability (NTCP) is kept same □ Lung NTCP could be decreased by 18% if the tumor dose is unchanged

Example:

U INDIANA UNIVERSITY

- □Pt # Mr. B, keep lung NTCP unchanged (this case was 9%) □Re-simulation at 40 Gy, start boost RT at 50 Gy

 - GTV reduced by 50%
 Total dose escalated by 11 Gy

Total dose escalated by 12 Gy
 Code dose decreased by 12 Gy
 Feng (Kong), Red Journal, 2009
 Total dose decreased by 12 Gy

2-26-2010 Kong





	· ·	• •		-					
(Uris	rinal	Dos	ie In	IAK		rm		
	C	,							
	Mean				Adaptive	Adaptive			
	Lung	Initial	Fractions	Physical	Phase	Phase	# Fraction	Total	
	Dose for	Dose	for ~50 Gv	Dose	Max Dose	Physical	for ART	Physical	
			5000	at this					
	74 GY	pertx	EQU2	point	pertx	Max Dose		Max Dose	
	dose	(Gy)	Dose	(Gy)	(Gy)	(Gy)	(Gy)	(Gy)	
	<13.5	2.85	17	48.45	2.85	37.05	13	85.5	
	13.5	2.85	17	48.45	2.85	37.05	13	85.5	
	13.9	2.80	17	47.6	2.9	37.7	13	85.3	
	14.3	2.75	18	49.5	3	36	12	85.5	
	14.7	2.70	18	48.6	3.05	36.6	12	85.2	
	15.1	2.65	18	47.7	3.15	37.8	12	85.5	
	15.5	2.60	19	49.4	3.25	35.75	11	85.2	
	16.0	2.55	19	48.45	3.3	36.3	11	84.8	
	16.5	2.50	19	47.5	3.4	37.4	11	84.9	
	17.0	2.45	20	49	3.55	35.5	10	84.5	
	17.6	2.40	20	48	3.65	36.5	10	84.5	
	18.1	2.35	21	49.35	3.85	34.65	9	84.0	
	18.7	2.30	21	48.3	3.9	35.1	9	83.4	
	19.3	2.25	22	49.5	4.15	33.2	8	82.7	
INDIANA UN	20.0	2.20	22	48.4	4.25	34	8	82.4	
INDIANA UN	>20	2.20	22	49.4	4.26	24		02.4	and ART, Kong AAPM 201











Mandates of Imaging Radiation Technology

3DCRT/IMRT

PET scanner must be ACRIN credentialed Precise imaging registration (rigid) is mandatory 04DCT motion assessment is essential 0fGRT is mandatory for adaptive phase of RT 0PET metabolic target was the primary target for ART 0PET based adaptive design is essential

This is the first RTOG trial in stage III NSCLC requiring all of these modern technologies for daily fractionated RT®

INDIANA UNIVERSITY

2-26-2010 Kong

Credentialing R	equirements
ACRIN crede	ntialing
≻Institution	
>PET scannei	r
RTOG creder	ntialing:
≻lGRT and im	aging registration
≻lMRT if you v	would like to use
≻Motion mana	agement
≻Dry-run case registration	e for target, OARs, imaging and RT planning
http://atc.wustl.e	du/protocols/rtog/1106/1106.html
INDIANA UNIVERSITY SCHOOL OF MEDICINE	Imaging for clinical trial and ART, Kong AAPM 2



Three dry run planning studies were performed through 12-14 centers.

U INDIANA UNIVERSITY





2-26-2010 Kong













Members Participated 1st Case

ersity of Michigan/AAVA: Feng-Ming Kong MD PhD, Cassandra Brooks CMD, Tim Ritter

- stern University Hospital: Mitchell Machtay MD, Jason Sohn PhD
- as Jefferson Hospital: Maria Werner-Wasik MD, Ying Xiao PhD, Joyce Keil RTT, Nitin
- Cleveland Clinic: Gregory Videtic MD, Nicole Vassil CMD, Diana Mattson, CMD Stanford University: Billy Loo MD, Peter Maxim PhD
- Medical College of Wisconsin: Elizabeth Gore MD, An Tai PhD, Dan Grimm MS Penn State Hershey Cancer Center: John Variotto MD, Jamie Knipple CMD

- Point State Pressing Cancer Center: Joint varioto MC, Jamie Anappie CMC McGill Hospital: Senjo Faria, MC, Jointy Mon PAD Mofffit Cancer Center: Thomas Dilling ND, Mark Russell CMD, Mary Lou DeMarco CMD Princess Margaret Hospital: Alexander Sun ND, Jane Higgins CMD University of Texas Medical Branch: Todd Swanson ND, E.J. Endess CMD

ller MS

- Peking Union Medical College: Luhus Wang MD, Bo Chen MD MD Anderson Cancer Center: Ritsuko Komaki MD, Steph Bilton CMD
- Washington University at St Louis: Jeffrey Bradley MD, Lindsey Appe

U INDIANA UNIVERSITY



2-26-2010 Kong





























Acknowledgement-1

	Fellows/postdocs/residents:
Medical physicists: × Randall Ton Haken × Mandall Ton Haken × Timothy Ritter × Yue Cao × Scott Hadey × Jean Moran × Dick Fraass Medical dosimetrists: × Daniel Tatro × Sorth Hammediter × Hondron Elevent × Statisticans: × Earthy Shuddhow × Hondron Elevent × Statisticans: × Statisticans: × Statisticans: × Hondron Elevent × Hondr	 Fellows/postdocs/residents: > Snawghu Yuan > Xuwel Cai > Jingbo Wang > Weili Wang > Positive Mahasifitiwat > Xone Meng > Lini San > Bing Xia > Jan Lin > Congring Xie > Loying Xu > Xiangreng Zheng > Yuping Xu > Chenbo Han > Jinawin Xue > Non Bi > Jin Qin
TTT INDIANA UNIVERSITY Schipper	 Matthew Stenmark Klaudia Hunter
SCHOOL OF MEDICINE	Paul Stanton Imaging for clinical trial and ART, Kong AAPM 2017

Acknowled	Igement-2
 > Gregory Kalemkerian > Nithya Rannath > Khaled Hassan > Dean Brenner > Komp Cease 	 Kuk Fris Leslie Quint Moran Piert Mition Gross Kakit Wong Reinered Brown
 >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>	 Kuran Brown Surgeons: Mark Orringer Andrew Chang Rishi Reddy Jules Lin
Landský schmadt Landský schmadt Labský schmadt David Lubman David Beers Višna School of MEDicine	Data management: Add Sharp Deta: Annold Michele Castle Michele Castle Kuther Mittender Kate Enring: Kate Enring:



2-26-2010 Kong

Department of Radiation Oncology • University of Michigan Health Systems

Sam #1: Which of the following is true for FDG-PET during the course of fractionated radiotherapy?

- >should not be performed as there will be radiation inflammation to cause confounding effects
- ➤Can be performed during the course of RT, but with significant noise from normal lung
- >Has limited role on adaptive treatment
- >*Can guide adaptive treatment to escalate RT dose without increasing doses to normal tissue

U INDIANA UNIVERSITY

Sam #2: Lung V/Q SPECT-CT

- V/Q SPECT can be used to map lung function during the course of fractionated radiation therapy. Which of the following is correct?

 A can be easily registered introspectively without a CF scan
 B. Changes little during the course of fractionated RT in vast majority of patients
 Compare an V/Q SPECT during the course of radiation may have significant impact on functional domining the non-existence of under the course of radiation therapy, the changes are unknown to radiation nonclogy community

Meng (Kong) et al, Int J RadiatOncol Biol Phys. 2014 Yuan (Kong) et al., Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2012

U INDIANA UNIVERSITY

2-26-2010 Kong