Radiation Exposure and Risks to Patients and Staff During Selected Non-Cardiac Interventional Procedures: Pernambuco, Brazil Experiences

### Cari Borrás, D.Sc., FACR, FAAPM, FIOMP

#### **Chair, AAPM International Educational Activities Committee Washington DC, USA**

Helen Khoury, Neuri Lunelli, William J. Garzon and Gustavo Andrade

> Federal University of Pernambuco Recife, Pernambuco, Brazil

# Fluoroscopically-guided Interventions Interventional Radiology

- Cardiology
- Neurology
- Vascular Surgery
- Urology
- Orthopedic Surgery
- Obstetrics and Gynecology
- Gastroenterology and Hepato-biliary System
- Anesthesiology and Pain Management

#### **Studies Performed in Recife- PE**

Cerebral Angiography: 158 Adults 15 Children

**Cerebral Embolization: 70 Adults** 

Hepatic Chemoembolization: 55 adults (3 hospitals)

Prostatic Artery Embolization: 5 adults



# Dosimetric and Geometric Quantities for Determination of Patient Dose (ICRU 74, 2005)

 $P_{KA}$  represents the integral of air kerma across the entire x-ray beam emitted from the x-ray tube. Its units are Gy cm<sup>2</sup>.

The accuracy of the display can be checked directly or indirectly



#### PKA (DAP) Display on control



Calibration PKA chamber



-Ionization chamber

Film

 $P_{KA=k_a * K_Q * F_{P,T} * A}$ 

#### Pka Calibration Factor



#### (K<sub>a,r</sub>) "Interventional reference point", "Cumulative reference point air kerma", "Cumulative dose", "Patient entrance reference point"



The accuracy of the K<sub>a,r</sub> display is checked with an ion chamber

Tolerance  $\pm 35\%$  for > 100 mGy

K<sub>a,r</sub> approximates K<sub>a,e</sub> for patients undergoing body interventions such as cardiac and hepatic procedures, but overestimates it for patients in cerebrovascular interventions.

IEC 60601-2-43, 2000 & NCRP 168, 2010



 $P_{KA}$  Tolerance ± 35 % for > 2.5 Gy cm<sup>2</sup>

### Room Monitor Displays (Siemens System)



# Protection Dosimetry – Interventional Radiology Stochastic Effects P<sub>KA</sub>

**Diagnostic Reference Levels in terms of P**<sub>KA</sub>

• Deterministic Effects

• Maximum (Peak) Organ Dose

• Skin

• Eye Lens

#### **Patient Follow-up**

Peak Skin Dose: 3 Gy (ICRP, NCRP), 15 Gy (U.S. TJC) Cumulative Air Kerma: 5 Gy; P<sub>KA</sub>: 500 Gy cm<sup>2</sup>; Fluoroscopy Time: 60 min (NCRP)

### Threshold doses for approximately 1% morbidity incidence

Effect	Organ/tissue	Time to develop effect	Acute exposure (Gy)	Highly fractionated (2 Gy per fraction) or equivalent protracted exposures (Gy)	Annual (chronic) dose rate for many years (Gy y <sup>-1</sup> )
Main phase of skin reddening	Skin (large areas)	1-4 weeks	<3-6	30	NA
Skin burns	Skin (large areas)	2-3 weeks	5-10	35	NA
Temporary hair loss	Skin	2-3 weeks	~4	NA	NA
Late atrophy	Skin (large areas)	> 1 year	10	40	NA
Telangiectasia @ 5 years	Skin (large areas)	> 1 year	10	40	NA
Cataract (visual impairment)	Eye	>20 years	~0.5	~0.5	~0.5 divided by years duration

ICRP 118, 2012

#### • PATIENT DOSIMETRY

- $P_{KA}$  and  $K_{a,r}$ : showed at equipment display
- Radiochromic film: dose distribution estimated on patient's skin
- TLD dosimetry in some body regions

# Adult patient doses received during cerebral procedures performed in Recife



### Paediatric patient doses received during cerebral procedures performed in Recife



Distribution of the skin air kerma in the region of left and right eyes, forehead and thyroid

Patients: Data for 15 patients (7 female and 8 male), 3 to 15 years old.

Procedures: 13 patients cerebral angiographies and 2 patients
 embolization neuroradiology procedure



Reference cumulative dose for fluoroscopy , DSA and total neuroangiographic procedure

## P<sub>KA</sub> and K<sub>a,r</sub> Values for Cerebral Angiographies

#### **Pediatric Patient**

			Р <sub>КА</sub> (Gy.e	cm²)	ł	K <sub>a,r</sub> (mGy	)
		Min.	Mean	Max.	Min.	Mean	Max.
Mode of	Fluoroscopy (n = 13)	1,3	3,7	7,5	12	33	56
exposure	<b>DSA</b> (n = 11)	16,7	46,5	81,4	183	455	709
1	<b>DSA + 3D</b> (n = 2)	69,9	73,9	77,9	562	652	741
complete	procedure (n = 13)	18,8	53,6	88,3	203	519	796
		Ad	lult Patie	ent			
		Р	ка (Gy.cm <sup>2</sup>	<sup>2</sup> )	ł	K <sub>a,r</sub> (mGy)	
		Min.	Mean	Max.	Min.	Mean	Max.
Mode of	Fluoroscopy <sub>n = 158)</sub>	1,9	12,2	97,4	21	111	1180
exposure	<b>DSA</b> (n = 97)	14,3	57,9	237,5	212	626	1912
<b>r</b>	<b>DSA + 3D</b> (n = 61)	29,8	70,6	122,4	294	706	1649
comple	te procedure (n = 158)	16,3	75,1	251,7	233	768	2829

In Interventional Exams, Maximum Skin Dose and  $P_{KA}$  can be Determined with Film: Silver Halide and Radiochromic





**Film Position (Cardio)** 

## **Radiochromic films**









N. Lunelli, 2012



#### N. Lunelli, 2092

#### Maximum Skin Dose - Results Neuroradiology Interventions





Reaction in the patient – MSD=8030 mGy

#### N. Lunelli, 2012

#### **Maximum Skin Dose - Results**

### **Hepatic Artery Chemoembolization**



### **Hepatic Artery Chemoembolization Results**





H.J. Khoury et al, 2015

### Prostatic Artery Embolization Results

Fluoroscopy time, acquisition images, total and partial  $P_{KA}$  values per procedure.

		Acquisition images (runs)		$P_{\rm KA} ({\rm Gy} \cdot {\rm cm}^2)$			
Procedure	Fluoroscopy time (min)	DSA	3D CBCT	Fluoroscopy (%)	DSA (%)	CBCT (%)	Total
1	38.4	598 (21)	396 (2)	118.2 (16)	577.4 (77)	52.7 (7)	748.3
2	25.5	458 (25)	397 (2)	81.4 (13)	489.7 (79)	48.1 (8)	619.2
3	36	447 (18)	_	142.9 (27.1)	384.7 (72.9)	_	527.7
4	25.3	486 (13)		68.1 (17)	333.7 (83)		401.9
5	20.4	575 (23)		43.3 (13)	279.2 (87)		322.6
Mean	29.1	512.8 (20)	396.5 (2)	90.8 (17.2)	409 (79.8)	20.2 (3)	523.9

-CBCT mode not used.

### Prostatic Artery Embolization Results



Patient skin dose distribution and radiation field beam variation observed on a radiochromic film used during a PAE procedure.

### Prostatic Artery Embolization Results

PSD, cumulative air kerma, and DI for each PAE procedure in this study.

		Ka,r (mGy)						
Procedure	PSD (mGy)	Fluoroscopy (%	%) DSA (%)	CBCT (%)	Total	DI		
1	3008	1008 (17.7)	4496.9 (79.2)	169 (3)	5674	0.53		
2	3190	774.5 (15.7)	3995.5 (81.1)	154 (3.1)	4924	0.65		
3	2500	1299 (25.1)	3884 (74.9)	_	5183	0.48		
4	2380	810.7 (22)	2948.2 (78)		3758	0.63		
5	2293	522.4 (14)	3214.7 (86)	_	3737	0.61		
Mean	2674	882.9 (18.9)	3707.9 (79.8)	64.6 (1.2)	4655.2	0.58		

-CBCT mode not used.

# **Medical Staff Dosimetry**

TLD-100 chips attached next to the eyes, close to the thyroid (over apron-collar), on the thorax under the apron, at the hands in the region of the pulse, and at the feet.

The effective dose to the staff was estimated using the algorithm of von Boetticher .

 $E = 0.84H_{p,u}(10) + 0.051H_{p,o}(10)$ 

Where:  $H_{p,u}$  is the dosemeter reading under the apron

 $H_{\text{p},\text{o}}$  is the dosemeter reading over the apron

Monitored Regions	Operational Quantity
Eyes	Hp(0.07); Hp (3)
Neck (Over the thyroid shield) Thorax (Under the apron)	Hp(10)
Hands (pulse area) Knees Feet	Hp(0.07)



Position of the TLDs on the physician's body surface

### **Occupational Dosimetry Cerebrovascular Aneurysms & Embolizations**



### **Prostatic Artery Embolization**

Mean, minimum and maximum Hp(3), Hp(0.07) and Hp(10) values measured on the physician per location and procedure.

Hp(3) ( $\mu$ Sv)			Hp(0.07) (μSv)			Hp(10) (μSv)			
Monitored location	Glabella	Left eyebrow	Right eyebrow	Left wrist	Right wrist	Left foot	Right foot	Chest (under apron)	Neck (over collar)
Mean	441	478	196	720	357	2099	1167	7	246
Minimum	321	341	80	377	218	94	145	2	104
Maximum	673	798	367	1286	545	4026	1935	11	347

### Mean values of Hp (d) in several regions of the main physician and the medical staff



### Assistant physician

#### Hepatic Artery Chemoembolization

### Physician Effective Dose / Procedure Hepatic Artery Chemoembolization



W.J. Garzon 2015

# **Estimation of annual values of main physician's effective dose and equivalent dose**

• Considering that the doctor performs about 150 embolization per year

	Limit value	Annual Dose	(mSv)
	Annual	Garzon et al,2015	Kong et al., 2013
Efective dose	20	2.55	3.16
Lens of eyes	20	41.40	9.27
Hands	500	54.15	***
Feet	500	133.5	***

#### W.J. Garzon 2015



# Measurements with radiochromic film and TLDs are very time consuming. But the methodology can be used to validate the

# **DICOM Standards**

- DICOM Header
- DICOM Services
- e.g. modality performance procedure step (MPPS)
  Radiation Dose Structured Report (RDSR)
- Patient-RDSR (P-RDSR)

#### Skin Dose Maps

#### (JM Fernandez-Soto et al., 2016)

Sample of a radiochromic film image placed at the patient back in an interventional cardiology procedure (right) and two types of dose maps obtained from the DICOM RDSR for the same procedure. This also allows the estimation of the maximum dose at the skin entrance.







#### Patient Dose Determination: Data Flow Requirements



**D. Pelc, 2016** 32