Quantitative Reconstruction in PET/CT and PET/MR

		111111111111111				
Georges El Fakhri, PhD, DABR						
Gordon Center for Medical Imaging						
Massachusetts General Hospital						
Harvard Medical School						
		and the stand of the second				
MASSACHUSETTS GENERAL HOSPITAL	Gordon Center for Medical Imaging					

Outline

- PET 101
- Tomography in medical imaging
- Projection imaging
- Sinogram
- Reconstruction-analytic
- Backprojection artifacts
- Reconstruction-iterative
- · Comparison of analytic and iterative reconstructions
- Simultaneous PET-MR





History of PET at MGH

The birthplace of Positron Emission Imaging was at MGH in 1952 in the Center for Radiological Sciences (Ancestor of the Gordon Center for Medical Imaging) where the first positronfinaging device was invented by Dr Gordon Brownell and used for the detection of brain tumors for neurosurgery by Dr Sweet (1953)



Coincidence (a) and "unbalance" (b) scans of a patient with recurring tumor (left) under previous operation site [Brownell and Sweet, 19



What is tomography?

- Greek translation:
 - tomos means slice, section
 graph means write
- 2-D representations of structures in a selected plane of a 3-D object
- Mathematical algorithms can be used to reconstruct the original 3-D object from the 2-D projections
- Used in medical imaging
 SPECT and PET-Emission computed
 - tomography
 - CT-Transmission computed tomography





History of PET at MGH

MGH is also the birthplace of filtered backprojection that is stil widely used in PET and in CT. Dr David Chesler (Brownell Lab) presented the first results about filtered backprojection at the Meeting of Tomographic Imaging in Nuclear Medicine (1972).



History







No





2

Why tomography over planar imaging?



Contrast (Planar) = (40 - 30)/30 = 0.33Contrast (Tomo) = (20 - 10)/10 = 1.00





Tomography in medical imaging





































Example: Typical Whole Body PET

Type of coincidence	Percentage (%)
Raw	100
Trues	38
Randoms	34
Scattered	28
Multiple	7
MGH	(a) Gardan Santar Kana Madical Imaging









Positron range and intrinsic resolution (2)							
	Isotope	Maximum positron energy (MeV)		Mean positron energy (MeV)	Range ir FHW (mm)	n water M	
	¹⁸ F	0.64	0.25		0.10		
	¹¹ C	0.96	0.39		0.19		
	¹³ N	1.19	0.49		0.28		
	¹⁵ O	1.70	0.74		0.50		
<	82Rb	3.15			1.6	>	
	MGH						Gordon Center fo Medical Imaging



















General concepts of tomography acquisition

Projection imaging





Projection imaging





General concepts of tomography acquisition





SPECT scanners



PET scanners





CT scanners







Displaying projection data: Sinogram



A sinogram is a representation of the projection data in a 2D matrix. Each slice will have its own 2D sinogram.







Sinograms are useful for detecting patient motion



Scanner coordinate system

- Object space (x,y) to scanner space (r,s):
 - $r = x\cos q + y\sin q$
 - $s = y \cos q x \sin q$
- Explains how radioactivity at location (x,y) contributes to signal recorded at location r acquired at rotation angle θ





Foundation of backprojection: Radon transform





Simple backprojection







How does simple backprojection work?





Simple backprojection with no filtering



Central slice theorem

- To solve the 1/r blurring problem of simple backprojection we can use the central slice theorem
- The Fourier transform of a projection of an object at angle, θ , equals a spoke through the 2D Fourier transform of the object that passes through the origin (fx = fy = 0) and is oriented at θ .





Steps for filtered backprojection (FBP)



Filtered Backprojection: Filters



- Filtering is used to remove the 1/r blurring found in simple backprojection
- Ramp is simplest
- Others are used to remove noise artifacts at high frequencies
 - Shepp-LoganHann
- Filtering is performed in spatial frequency space following a Fourier transform









Filtered Backprojection: Equation form

Steps for FBP

- 1. Acquire projection images p(r,q)
- 2. Compute the 1D Fourier transform of each profile (convert to frequency domain)
- 3. Apply the filter in the frequency domain
- 4. Compute the inverse Fourier transform to convert back to spatial domain
- 5. Perform backprojection
- 6. Then apply this procedure and sum over all projections

$$f(x,y) = \dot{\mathbf{0}}_{0}^{\rho} dQ \underbrace{\dot{\mathbf{0}}_{0}^{4} dW}_{\rho} W P(W) e^{2\rho iWr} \underbrace{\mathbf{0}}_{r=x\cos Q+y\sin Q}^{\mu}$$









Factors affecting image quality: Noise





Factors affecting image quality: Acquisition sampling







Factors affecting image quality: Reconstruction filter







Factors affecting image quality: Angular sampling



Reduction in acquired projection angles: Decrease acquisition

time Increase spoke-like artifacts



Factors affecting image quality: Angular sampling range





Factors affecting image quality: Full object coverage

 Incomplete coverage of the object during some or parts of the scan can lead to artifacts







Factors affecting image quality: Missing detector

· Instrumentation failure can cause artifacts due to missing data











Maximum likelihood expectation maximization (MLEM): example





Maximum likelihood expectation maximization (MLEM): Equation form

$$f_i^{(n+1)} = f_i^{(n)} \times \frac{1}{\mathring{a}_j a_{ij}} \mathring{a}_j \frac{g_j}{\mathring{a}_k a_{kj} f_k^{(n)}} a_{ij}$$

The current image estimation: $f_i^{(n)}$

The probability that activity emitted in voxel i is detected by detector j: a_{ij} , a_i can contain physical information such as effects of spatial resolution, Actual measured projectionar despite of the detection process

Current forward projection estimate: $\mathring{a}_k a_{kj} f_k^{(n)}$

Therefore, the ratio of the measured projection to the current projection is: $\frac{g_j}{\hat{a}_k^a a_{kj} f_k^{(n)}}$

Current backprojection of this ratio is: $\overset{a}{c}_{j} \frac{g_{j}}{\tilde{a}_{k}^{4} a_{ij} f_{k}^{(n)}} a_{ij}$ Which then acts upon the current estimate $f_{i}^{(m)}$ to form our new estimate $f_{i}^{(n+1)}$



Steps of maximum likelihood expectation maximization (MLEM): equation form

$$f_i^{(n+1)} = f_i^{(n)} \times \frac{1}{\mathring{a}_j a_{ij}} \mathring{a}_j \frac{g_j}{\mathring{a}_k a_{kj} f_k^{(n)}} a$$

1. The first $f_{\scriptscriptstyle i}^{\scriptscriptstyle (0)}$ is a guess and is typically uniform.

2. Forward project: Simulate the projection measurement $~~~{}^{\bullet}_{a_{kj}} f_{_k}^{_{(n)}}$ from the previous estimate

3. Compare the forward projected estimate to the actual measured projection $\frac{g_j}{\mathring{a}_{k} a_{kj} f_{k}^{(n)}}$

4. Next, update (improve) our estimated image using $f_i^{(n+1)} = f_i^{(n)} x \frac{1}{a_j^n a_{ij}} a_{ij}^n \frac{g_j}{a_{ij}} \frac{g_j}{a_{ij}}} \frac{g_j}{a_{ij}} \frac{g_j}{a_{ij}} \frac{g_j}{a_{ij}}} \frac{g_j}{a_{ij}} \frac{g_j}{a_{ij}} \frac{g_j}{a_{ij}} \frac{g_j}{a_{ij}} \frac{g_j}{a_{ij}}} \frac{g_j}{a_{ij}} \frac{g_j}{a_{ij}}} \frac{g_j}{a_{ij}} \frac{g_j}{a_{ij}} \frac{g_j}{a_{ij}} \frac{g_j}{a_{ij}} \frac{g_j}{a_{ij}} \frac{g_j}{a_{ij}} \frac{g_j}{a_{ij}}} \frac{g_j}{a_{ij}} \frac{g_j}{a_{ij}} \frac{g_j}{a_{ij}}} \frac{g_j}{a_{ij}} \frac{g_j}{a_{ij}} \frac{g_j}{a_{ij}} \frac{g_j}{a_{ij}}} \frac{g_j}{a_{ij}} \frac{g_j}{a_{i$

5. Repeat this until convergence is reached!





Maximum-likelihood, expectation maximization algorithm (MLEM)

- Correct for Poisson noise
- Positivity guaranteed
- Slow compared to FBP
- Acceleration of the process by the "Ordered Subsets (OSEM) approach": projections are divided into subsets, which are updated at each iteration
- Noise at high iteration numbers (approximation of a continuous function by a pixelated one) $\label{eq:second}$
- Noise can be reduced greatly by convolving the noisy image estimate with a gaussian kernel (regularization)



мсн



How do we know when to stop?



Cherry, S. R.; Sorenson, J. A.; Phelps, M. E. Physics in Nuclear Medicine; Elsevier, 2012.



- noise Too few iterations: no image detail and lack
- of convergence Too many iterations: image is noisy Solution: assure proper convergence and remove noise with a gaussian filter





Ordered subset expectation maximization

- · Solution to improve MLEM: Ordered-subset expectation maximization (OSEM)
- · At each step, project and backproject at only some angles (i.e. a subset)
- · Perform the steps in an ordered way to include all angles
- Data start to converge even before the 1st iteration is complete
- Convergence achieved in 3 10 iterations
- · Much guicker than MLEM





Iterative reconstruction can model the reality of emission tomography

- Attenuation
- Positron range
- Noncollinearity of photons (PET)
- Deadtime

•



Random coincidences

- · Physics of crystal: size, intercrystal scatter and penetration
- Noise





Scattered Coincidence

Random Coincidence





Advantages of iterative methods: •

- · The results must be better because the correct physics is included in the reconstruction: The reconstruction algorithm "knows" the physics
- · Attenuation correction
- · Reduction of streak artifact
- Overall quality
- · Disadvantages of iterative methods (MLEM)
 - · Slow convergence to the desired solution (e.g. tens hundreds of iterations)
 - · Computationally demanding number of iterations and inclusion of the physics





OSEM vs FBP

- Filtered Back-Projection
 - Fast
 - Robust
 - Subject to noise & streaks
- OSEM
 - Almost as fast Handles noise & streaks





Analytic vs iterative reconstructions





Analytic vs iterative reconstructions







Analytic vs iterative reconstructions



Analytic vs iterative reconstructions





Analytic vs iterative reconstructions

OSEM	, 10 min	emissic	n			1
-	< <u>8</u> 0	- <u>11</u> 00	-	0. R (0)	9. 8 00	
0.000	0.9850	9. 4 69	1.147.50		atter	
	N. TO	1. TO 10	10	1000 M	1. N. D.	
100	1000	a Carlo	1000	-	100	
1	100	1003	6. C. S.	100		Gordon Center for Medical Imaging















Nonhuman Primate Results (2/3)



















Summary

- PET 101
- Tomography in medical imaging
- Projection imaging
- Sinogram
- Reconstruction-analytic
- Backprojection artifacts
- Reconstruction-iterative
- Comparison of analytic and iterative reconstructions
- Simultaneous PET-MR





Quantitative Reconstruction in PET / CT and PET / MR

