New Challenges in SRS/SBRT

New features of Gamma Knife Icon pose challenges to the workflow management in a traditional Gamma Knife Clinic
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New features of Gamma Knife Icon™
GAMMA KNIFE Icon™

• Gamma Knife Icon™ (GKI)
  – Mask adapter for fractionated treatment
  – Onboard cone-beam computed tomography (CBCT) imaging and
  – Intrafraction motion management (IFFM).
GK Icon Immobilization System

- The thermoplastic mask system consisted of
  - A customized neck rest: thermoplastic-based or resin filled (activated with water)
  - A 3-point thermoplastic mask
  - The nose portion of the mask was cut out to allow placement of a single reflective optical marker on the patient’s nose
GK Icon Cone-beam computed tomography (CBCT)

- **Characteristics:**
  - SAD: 790mm, SDD: 1000mm
  - Recon. volume: 224 x 224 x 224mm³
  - Cone angle: 15°, Fan angle: 16°
  - Scan time: 30s, Flex < 0.2mm

- **Detector:**
  - Layers: CsI, TFT (amorphous Si)
  - 780 x 720 pixels, pixel size = 0.368 mm

- **X-ray tube:**
  - Energy range: 70-120 kVp.
  - Spot size: 0.6 mm
  - Weight: 17kg

Elekta white paper “Design and performance characteristics of a Cone Beam CT system for Leksell Gamma Knife® Icon™”
GK Icon CBCT-guided setup using re-locatable mask

- CBCT-guided setup
  - Translational corrections according to the actual patient position from CBCT
  - No mechanical rotations of the patient.
  - Iso-center invariant relative to the anatomy

- Dose validation:
  - The delivery characteristics, i.e. beam-on times and collimators, are identical to the plan.
  - Dose after translational corrections is calculated based on the CBCT-imaged anatomy
  - Both planned (dotted line) and corrected delivery dose (solid line) are shown.

Elekta white paper “Automatic positional delivery correction using a stereotactic CBCT in Leksell Gamma Knife® Icon™”
GK Icon High Definition Motion Management (HDMM)

• HDMM system consists of:
  - An infrared stereoscopic camera,
  - A set of reference markers
  - A marker attached to the noise of the patient.

• Motion management:
  - Tracks at a frequency of 20Hz
  - Markers define a reference coordinate system in which the patient movements are measured.
  - System will hold of the treatment if the patient motion exceed the preselected threshold.
  - Treatment resumed again when the patient returns to the initial position

Elekta white paper “High Definition Motion Management - enabling stereotactic Gamma Knife® radiosurgery with non-rigid patient fixations”
Technical challenges
Adoption of mask for immobilization poses challenges to many GK centers

- Simple and efficient
- No intra-fractional motion
- Minimal uncertainty

- Non invasive
- Flexible
- Allow fractionated treatment
Are the additional complexities worth doing?

- There is no definitive answer but
  - Dr. Goenka’s talk demonstrates some clinical benefits,
  - Flexible scheduling will improve patient satisfaction and
  - Allow more planning time for complex cases.

- Therefore, if you have GK Icon at your clinic:
  - At least some, if not all, GK cases will be done with mask, and
  - You will get a lot of technical questions from the GK team members who might love, hate or be neutral about this approach.
Cost for the additional flexibility using mask

• Originally we thought mask will be more flexible than frame.
• It turns out that this expectation is generally true but there are caveats
• Collision is still a concern:
  – The head rest or patient might collide with the machine
  – Only 90° gamma angle can be used (other angels not available)
• More limitations on planning:
  – External contour must be obtained from image segmentation, no manual measurement
  – Additional distance between shot and external contour needed to avoid shots that are not reachable
For mask cases, it is the responsibility of therapist/physicist to make sure targets are within treatable range

• Used to be neurosurgeon’s responsibility when placing the frame.
• If not done properly:
  – Collision
  – Non-reachable position
• Confirm this immediately after the CBCT acquisition:
  – Remake the mask and re-CBCT If needed
  – There is no workaround
Treatable range is still limited for mask cases

- Treatable range is the same as before $\sim(160, 180, 153)$ in mm.
- If frame is not done properly:
  - Collision
  - Unreachable shots
- Again, do not waste your time:
  - Remake the mask and re-CBCT If needed
  - There is no workaround
Apparatus accuracy of GKI CBCT is similar to frame

• Elekta white paper “Position accuracy analysis of the stereotactic reference defined by the CBCT on Leksell Gamma Knife® Icon™”
  – Major source uncertainty: couch sagging for very large patients (~0.18mm for a 210kg patient) and large temperature variations
  – Apparatus accuracy tested with 5 radiochromic films at various positions
  – Mean uncertainty < 0.2mm with a deviation < 0.1mm.
CBCT-MR registration accuracy is in general sub-mm

- Elekta white paper “Accuracy of co-registration of planning images with Cone Beam CT images”
- Mean Target Registration Error (TRE) for CBCT-MRI registration is ~0.3mm for an MRI resolution of 1mm³, higher for 1.5mm³.
- Large errors generally occur far from the center of the head
- CBCT-MR registration accuracy is less than for CT-MR registration

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Estimated TRE (std dev) [mm]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CBCT-MR</td>
<td>CT-MR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 1 (1 mm resolution)</td>
<td>0.35 (0.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 2</td>
<td>0.33 (0.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 3</td>
<td>0.31 (0.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 4</td>
<td>0.34 (0.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 5 (1.5 mm slice dist.)</td>
<td>0.54 (0.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Typical CBCT-CBCT/CT &lt; 0.1 mm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Co-registration results for 5 different patients.
System stability and inter-fractional motion

• System stability for one and a half years:
  – CBCT coordinate system: 0.04 ± 0.02 mm.
  – Deviation bt. radiation isocenter and center of patient support system: <0.1 mm
• End-to-end accuracy (i.e., inter-fractional motion): 0.5±0.6 mm

FIG. 5. Deviations between the radiation iso-center and the center of the patient positioning system.
Intra-fractional motion evaluated on a linac

• Li et al, Cureus 8 (3), e531-e531 (2016).
• Intra-fractional motion of the target:
  – 0.34±0.25 mm, and
  – Maximum 1.5 mm.
• Intra-fractional motion (0.34±0.25 mm) is not insignificant in comparison to inter-fractional motion 0.5±0.6 mm
• Both inter-fractional and Intra-fractional motion need to be included when considering the CTV-to-PTV expansion.
Intra-fractional fraction motion of infrared marker

- Li et al, Cureus 8 (3), e531-e531 (2016).
- Intra-fraction motion of the nose tip:
  - $0.41 \pm 0.36$ mm based on CBCT data
  - $0.56 \pm 0.51$ mm using IR tracking.
- Maximum motion
  - 1.7 mm using CBCT and
  - 3.2 mm using IR tracking.
- Intra-fractional motion of the marker is larger than that of target.
Workflow issues
Staffing, scheduling

• Fractionated treatment demands
  – More machine time and
  – More man power: MDs, nurses, therapists, physicists.
  – Things can easily get out-of-control if not planned ahead.

• Education & Training are critical:
  – Clinical procedure for mask-based treatment
  – CBCT setup for mask and frame patients
  – Additional QA for CBCT
  – New treatment planning functions
Case handover

• Fractionated SRT cases are covered by different people:
  – The same plan is treated multiple times.
  – The patient might be simulated on one day, and treated a few days later with
  – The treatment plan being developed in between.

• Can be a challenge to a GK program new to GK Icon
  – “Who should do what and when?”
  – How to avoid something falling into cracks?
Potential solutions

• Learn from how fractionated treatments are managed on linac
• Similar high-tech solutions can be used to manage GK treatments:
  – R&V: will increase the time between plan completion and treatment start
  – In-house electronic white board: need time and resources to develop
• Low-tech solutions work almost equally well:
  – Paper charts: we used to do it on linac.
  – Physical white board: cost ~$100, no software development

RCA (root cause analysis)

- A reactive process taking place after the harm has been done.
- Deal with actual failures
- Seeks to know the causal set of each of all possible effects.
- Looks backwards
- Commonly used in medicine to analyze serious adverse (e.g., sentinel) events

FMEA (failure mode and effects analysis)

• A proactive process aimed at predicting the adverse outcomes of various human and machine failures, and system states
• Deal with hypothetic failures
• Seeks to know the effects of each of all possible causal sets.
• Looks forward in time
• Less commonly used in medicine but are catching up

FMEA for GK procedures using Perfexion/4C

  - A FMEA team formed with members from all involved expertise.
  - Process tree
  - Failure mode table
  - Scores for probability occurrence
  - Risk priority number (RPN)

- 86 failure modes identified:
  - 40 modes are GK specific; 46 common for all external beam RT techniques
  - High score modes: imperfect frame adaptor attachment, bad fiducial box assembly, unsecured plugs/inserts, overlooked target areas, and undetected machine mechanical failure during the morning QA process
Failure modes and effects analysis for Gamma Knife Icon™ frameless radiotherapy

• Jakubovic et al., 2019 AAPM Spring Clinical Meeting Poster Session.
  - A FMEA team formed with members from all involved expertise.
  - Process mapping
  - Failure mode and effect analysis
  - Fault tree analysis
  - Update quality management program

• Three focus areas specific to the frameless workflow
  – Simulation (12 steps)
  – Treatment Planning (15 steps)
  – Treatment (17 steps)

• 20 steps overlapped with framed Gamma Knife workflow
Process Map of Gamma Knife Icon™ frameless radiotherapy

Jakubovic et al., 2019 AAPM Spring Clinical Meeting Poster Session.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Simulation</th>
<th>Treatment Planning</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Machine daily QA (PH)</td>
<td>1. Transfer MRI to TPS (PH)</td>
<td>1. Machine daily QA (PH)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. CBCT daily QA (PH)</td>
<td>2. Generate skull geometry from images (PH)</td>
<td>2. CBCT daily QA (PH)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Preheat oven (PH)</td>
<td>3. Verify/reconstruct skull shape (PH)</td>
<td>3. Nursing assessment and documentation (NC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Patient identification and chart review (NC, RO)</td>
<td>4. Define pre-plan reference (PH)</td>
<td>4. Prepare and transport patient to treatment room (PA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Nursing assessment and documentation (NC)</td>
<td>5. Import and register previous treatments (PH)</td>
<td>5. Set up the patient on the couch (TH, NC, RO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Patient setup (TH, PH)</td>
<td>6. Register pre-planning MRI to Simulation CBCT (PH, RO)</td>
<td>6. Confirm fraction number and treatment time (PH, TH, RO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Mask fabrication (TH, PH)</td>
<td>7. Contour the treatment volume and/or OARs (RO, NS, PH)</td>
<td>7. Align patient to left and right indices of the couch mattress from simulation (TH)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Deployment of infrared camera (TH)</td>
<td>8. Enter and sign prescription (RO)</td>
<td>8. Secure mask (TH)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. CBCT acquisition (6.3 mGy CTDI) (TH)</td>
<td>10. Planning (PH)</td>
<td>10. Deploy infrared camera and attach infrared reflector to patient’s nose (TH)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. CBCT registration and review (PH, RO)</td>
<td>11. Plan review 2nd check (PH)</td>
<td>11. CBCT (2.5 mGy CTDI) acquisition (TH)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Frameless Gamma Knife Workflow

- **Legend**
  - NC: Nurse coordinator
  - NS: Neurosurgeon
  - PH: Physics
  - PA: Patient assistant
  - TH: Therapist
  - CC: Consultant Coordinator

---

2019-AAPM Spring: TU-A-Osceola BRC-0
SRS/SBRT, 4/2/2019
Some key points

• Anatomical restrictions may limit ability of the HDMM system and should be tested at simulation
• Training of personnel is particularly important during the fabrication of the mask
• Patient comfort is crucial during simulation to ensure repeatability of patient positioning during treatment
• Use of CBCT and HDMM with the frameless introduces additional uncertainties which impact patient workflow and accuracy of treatment

Jakubovic et al., 2019 AAPM Spring Clinical Meeting Poster Session.
Conclusions

• GK Icon opens new opportunities for brain SRS/SBRT but also poses some challenges.
• There will be a learning curve when adopting GK Icon into clinic
• Physicists should have an open mind and be prepared to answer questions about this new technology.
• Workflow is much more complicated with GK Icon, which demands more clinical resources.
• A good QA program is critical.
Thank You!

“Make everything as simple as possible, but not simpler.”
—Albert Einstein