### 2018 ACR DM QC Manual

Dustin A. Gress, MS, DABR, DABSNM Senior Advisor for Medical Physics American College of Radiology

### Outline

- Why switch?
- Overview of phantom & select tests
- Transitioning & some key details
- Resources

### **Alternative standards**

- 2016: FDA approved ACR DM QC Manual as alternative standard...
- 2018: FDA approved supplemental DBT material to be integrated into ACR DM QC Manual

## **For ACR Members in Physics**

	0		Velcome, Dustin Gress	My ACR For Patients Media Ce 📜 Cart   📒 Catalog	nter Contact Us g   🍲 Join	About ACR	
Enter Your Sear	ch					Q	
Clinical Resources	Advocacy and Economics	Lifelong Learning and CME	Member Resources	Practice Management, Quality, Informatics	Research	Log Out	
Subm	it Use Case Idea	as Be	a probl	em solver.			
Proposals to assist ra detection	will be reviewed for their adiology professionals in characterization and tre	disease atment.	ntribute Radiolog	to Al			
<u>Get starte</u>	<u>d today I⊉</u>						
< 0.0	000)				6100 6110 1601 6100 6161 6110 6161 6110		

			Welcome, Dusti
Enter Your Search			
Clinical Resources	Advocacy and Economics	Lifelong Learning and CME	Meml Resou
Clinical Resources			
Accreditation 🖆			
ACR Appropriateness (	Criteria®		
Breast Imaging Resour	rces		
Clinical Decision Supp	ort	>	
Collaborative Guidelin	es		
Colon Cancer Screenir	ng Resources		
Contrast Manual			
Incidental Findings			
Interventional Radiolo	ogy Resources		
Lung Cancer Screening	g Resources	>	
Medical Physics Resou	irces		
Practice Parameters		>	
Quality and Safety Nev	WS		
Radiation Oncology Re	sources	>	

https://www.acr.org/Clinical-Resources/Medical-Physics-Resources

AMERICAN COLLEGE OF RADIOLOGY		Ŵ	elcome, Dustin Gress	My ACR For Patients Media Cei 📜 Cart   📒 Catalog	nter Contact U: ;   😵 Join	s About ACR
Enter Your Search						Q
Clinical Resources	Advocacy and Economics	Lifelong Learning and CME	Member Resources	Practice Management, Quality, Informatics	Research	Log Out

### Medical Physics Resources

These ACR resources, relevant to medical physicists, are both public and member-only and include ACR quality control manuals, ACR Accreditation resources, guidance documents, patient communication tools, and other helpful information.

Quality Control Manuals



### **Standardization**

### It's good.

### **Standardization**

- Expect cleaner MQSA inspections
- Standardization reduces errors
- No more chasing down mfr manual versions
- Current and future revisions will always be available
- Current and future forms will always be free

## **Standardizing:**

- Tests themselves
- Test frequencies
- Performance criteria
- Clinical relevance
- Operator-friendliness

## Paradigm emphasis

- 1. Radiologist leadership and oversight
- 2. MD-RT-MP teamwork
- 3. Medical physicist ownership

## **QA Committee (QAC)**

- "Should"
- LIP, RT, MP, mgr, sup. RT, QC RT, other radiology (e.g. nurse, desk attendant, etc.)
- Ideally owns Facility QC Review...

		Ima	ge Mode (	2D, 2D w/Add-	on DBT, DBT)				
Facility	Date of QC Mtg								
Contract Division Contract						Rev	viewed		
I. Review Medical Physics Survey	s and Kes	suits							
	Boom ID	Room 1	Room 2	Room 3	Room 4	Room 5	1		
Date of last Medical Physicist (#	/IP) survey								
MP DM QC Test Summary reviewed by r	adiologist?								
All MP corrective actions o ACR DM Phantom Average Glapitular D	ompleted?								
File Start Band Handler F	iber Score								
St	eck Score								
Review Tech OC	lass Score								
Test I	requency	Sun	nmary Comme	ents from Las	Quarter				
1. ACR DM Phantom Image Quality	Weekly								
		Room 1	Room 2	Room 3	Room 4	Room 5			
Scores of most recent	Date								
phantom image:	iber score								
Speck g	lass score								
2. CR Cassette Erasure (if app)	Weekly								
3. Compression Thickness Indicator	Monthly								
4. Visual Checklist	Monthly								
5. AW Monitor QC	Monthly								
6. RW Monitor QC	Monthly								
7. Film Printer QC	Monthly								
8. Viewbox Cleanliness (if app)	Monthly								
9. Facility QC Review	Quarterly								
10. Compression Force	Semiannua	I							
11. Manufacturer Calibrations (if app)									
Optional - Repeat Analysis	As Needed	% Reg	eats						
3. Review and verify completion of	f all "Corr	ective Ac	tion"						
4. Technique Chart review for eac	h room (s	ee MP rep	ort for reco	ommendati	ons) - (Annu	ually)			
5. Infection Control procedures for	llowed								
6. Offsite RW(s) & Film Printer(s)	QC review	ed							
7. Past and future service or servi	ce upgrad	les discus	ssed (if ap,p)	)					
8. Past and future State and/or M	SA insne	ctions dis	cureed /if	annl					

9. Facility Q	C Review (cont)		Quarterly
Facility		Date of QC Mtg	
10. Notable findings d	uring QC meeting		Follow-up Confirmed (# App.)
11. Items for quality in	nprovement from QC Meeting		
12. Other QC Notes			
		Overall De	
Lead Interpreting Radi	ologist Facility Manager (If App) signature	QC Techr signat	nologist wre
Action Limit: Rec	ulred: Lead interpreting radiologist and facility r The test passes if meeting held. ommended: Technologist and lead interpreting radiologist	nanager must review QC quarterly. ogist should review technique charts	at least annually for

#### Optional - Radiologist Image Quality Feedback

As Needed

(For Quality Improvement)

Action Limits

				Date				
T Procedure T T	This report is to be completed by the Interpreting Radiologist when asked to interpret sub-optimal cases equiring the patient to be called back. The form may also be used to provide feedback on excellent quality. The radiologists should complete this form as needed for each case.							
Objective	or the Radiologist	to provide routine	feedback to the	technologists a	nd manager or	the quality of im	lages.	
				Patient Identifier:				
			Tech	nologist's Name:				
				Date of Exam:				
and free and the second s								
rerail Assessment								
Excellent	Good	Needs impr	ovement, but do	not repeat	Sub-Op	imal, and should	be repeated	
age Evaluation								
litioning		Rec		PMLO	LMLO	Other View	Other View	
Meeing tiesue		_				-		
Missing ussue			-		-			
Destadad		_						
Modially		_	-					
Infectedu					-			
history		_						
Nipple not in profile		_	-					
Destaution and down	to DAII	_						
Pectoralis not down	ID PINL	_	_					
Name (recept (cam	ernose)	_						
Narrow concave per	coraits	_						
Intramammary toid								
Not open						-		
Centering not corre-								
chnical Issues	A	_						
Not enough comore	esion							
Exposure Too Low	Evoselus Noise)							
Exposure Too High	(Image Saturation					-		
Patient Motion	(image Saturation	,						
Artifacts								
74 0100010								
Incorrect Patient ID								
Incorrect Patient ID						-		
Incorrect Patient ID					1	1	1	
Incorrect Patient ID								
Incorrect Patient ID	eeded for Com	niete Breast Fu	valuation					

interpreting radiologist's request.

Not applicable

Patients should be called back for additional images if the quality is suboptimal according to th

## Radiologist feedback

	RCC	LCC	RMLO	
Positioning				
Missing tissue				
Laterally				
Posteriorly				
Medially				
Inferiorly				
Nipple not in profile				
Skin fold				
Pectoralis not down to PNL				
Tissue droopy (camel nose)				
Narrow/concave pectoralis				
Inframammary fold				
Not open				
Not shown				
Centering not correct				
Technical Issues				
Not enough compression				
Exposure Too Low (Excessive Noise)				
Exposure Too High (Image Saturation)				
Patient Motion				
Artifacts				
Incorrect Patient ID				
Other				

Medical D	hypipiet OC Lett	r for the Pedialogi	of		
	iysicist QC Lette		St	Medical Physicist QC S	Summary Letter for the Radiologist (cont)
			January 25, 2019		
Lead Rad, MD				Required Action Items	
Breast Center USA					
1234 Smith Road				Time Frame	Description
Los Angeles, CA. 10001					
Re: Medical Physicist Survey of	Room 1	Manf AA Unit BB	on January 25, 2019		
······					
Dear Lead Interpreting Radiologist,					
				<ul> <li><u>Recommended Action Items</u></li> </ul>	
The above mammography unit at your fac	lity recently underwent an Anni	ual Medical Physics Survey. Below is	s the relevant summary		
documentation from the service engineer	Please evaluate the ACR Digit	now-up on the Action items below an al Mammography Phantom image as	couired during the	Time Frame	Description
medical physicist testing (Image ID information	ation listed below) and see my	comments. If you have any question	s please don't hesitate to		
call.	,,	, ,,			
Image Quality					
Patient Name (Phantom):	Phantom	1		Comments on Maniferry Manif	
Patient ID (Phantom):	123			<u>Comments on Monitors, Monit</u>	or QC, & Viewing Conditions
Date:	1/25/19			Time Frame	Description
	ACR Digi	tal Mammography Phantom Scores			Description
		Room 1			
	2D DBT	Passing Criteria	Pass /Fail		
Fiber score	4.0 4.0	> 3.0	Pass		
Mass score	4.0 4.0	≥ 2.0	Pass 🔻		
Artifacts	None None	No Clinically Significant Artifacts	Pass		
		-		Comments on Tech QC	
Comments on phantom image:	1				
1	1				
				Time Frame	Description
				Time Frame	Description
					Description
Radiation Dose					Description
<u>Radiation Dose</u>	ACR Digital Ma	mmography Phantom Radiation Dose V:	alues		Description
<u>Radiation Dose</u>	ACR Digital Ma	mmography Phantom Radiation Dose V. Room 1	atues		Description
<u>Radiation Dose</u>	ACR Digital Ma	mmography Phantom Radiation Dose V. Room 1 Passing Criteria	alues Pass /Fail		Description
Radiation Dose     AGR Phantom Dose (mGy)	ACR Digital Ma 2D DBT 1.35 1.49 The above does to an estimate data	mmography Phantom Radiation Dose Vi Room 1 Passing Criteria \$3.0	Pass /Fail	If you have any questions, please do not hesi	Description
Radiation Dose     ACR Phantom Dose (mGy)     Note:	ACR Digital Ma 2D DBT 1.35 1.49 The above dose is an estimate det http://dx.dose.glandular/50% adjose a	mmography Phantom Radiation Dose Vi Room 1 Passing Criteria s 3.0 irmined with a phantom representing the F tandard breast. Doses will vary with patien	Pass /Fail DA-defined 4.2 cm t ize and density.	Time Frame	Description
Radiation Dose     ACR Phantom Dose (mGy)     Note:	ACR Digital Ma 2D DBT 1.35 1.49 The above dose is an estimate dete thick, 50% glandua/#50% adipose s Specific patient doses can be estim	mmography Phantom Radiation Dose V/ Room 1 9assing Criteria 53.0 mmined with a phantom representing the F tandrard breast. Doses will vary with patien ated by your medical physicist.	Pass /Fail DA-defined 4.2 cm t size and density.	Time Frame	Description
Radiation Dose     ACR Phantom Dose (mGy)     Note:	ACR Digital Ma 2D DBT 1.35 1.49 The above dose is an estimate dete thick, 50% glandular/50% adipose Specific patient doses can be estim	mmography Phantom Radiation Dose Vi Room 1 9assing Criteria 5.3.0 mmined with a phantom representing the F tandard breast. Doses will vary with patien ated by your medical physicist.	Pass /Fail DA-defined 4.2 cm t size and density.	Time Frame	Description
Radiation Dose     ACR Phantom Dose (mGy)     Note:     Comments on radiation dose:	ACR Digital Me 2D DBT 1.35 1.49 The above dose is an estimate dete thick, 50% glandular/50% adipose e Specific patient doses can be estim	mmography Phantom Radiation Dose V. Room 1 Passing Criteria 53.0 minned with a phantom representing the F tandard breast. Doses will vary with patien ated by your medical physicist.	Pass /Fail DA-defined 4.2 cm t size and density.	Time Frame	Description
Radiation Dose     ACR Phantom Dose (mGy)     Note:     Comments on radiation dose:	ACR Digital Ma 2D DBT 1.35 1.49 The above dose is an estimate dete thick, 50% glandular/50% adipose s Specific patient doses can be estim	mmography Phantom Radiation Dose Vi Room 1 Passing Criteria \$ 3.0 minned with a phantom representing the F tandard breast. Doses will vary with patien ated by your medical physicist.	Pass /Fall DAdefined 4.2 cm t size and density.	Time Frame	Description
Radiation Dose     ACR Phantom Dose (mGy)     Note:     Comments on radiation dose:	ACR Digital Ma 2D DBT 1.35 1.49 The above dose is an estimate det trick, 50% glandular50% adjose s Specific patient doses can be estim	mmography Phantom Radiation Dose Vi Room 1 Passing Criteria \$ 3.0 mmined with a phantom representing the F tandard breast. Doses will vary with patien ated by your medical physicist.	Pass /Fail DA-defined 4.2 cm t size and density.	Time Frame	Description

### **Demonstrate value**

- Re-establish relationships
- Be the go-to resource
- Direct lines of communication

### **Improved efficiency**

- Fewer QC tests than mfr
- Less total time spent on QC tests
- Excel and pdf forms available for free
- 2D and DBT both included, streamlined

### **Phantom**



## **Purchasing phantom**

- Must be from approved manufacturer
- Google "acr digital mammography qc manual resources"
- https://www.acraccreditation.org/resources/digitalmammography-qc-manual-resources
- Mfr list at bottom of page



### ACR Digital Mammography Phantom Scoring Key\*

Test Object	Full Point	Half Point
Fibers (6)	<ul> <li>Full length visible (≥8 mm long)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>At least half of length visible (≥5 and &lt;8 mm long)</li> </ul>
	Correct location	Correct location
	Correct orientation	Correct orientation
	<ul> <li>1 break allowed (must be ≤ width of fiber)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>1 break allowed (must be ≤ width of fiber)</li> </ul>
Speck	• 4 - 6 specks visible	• 2 - 3 specks visible
Groups (6)	Correct locations	Correct locations
Masses (6)	Density difference visible	Density difference visible
	<ul> <li>Border is continuous and generally circular (≥ ¾ border visible)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Border is not continuous or generally circular (≥ ½ and &lt; ¾ border visible)</li> </ul>
	Correct location	Correct location
Artifacts	Only fail for artifacts if they are in a location th significant. Fail if:	at could impact clinical interpretation <b>and</b> they are clinically
	Artifacts are as prominent as (or more promi	inent than) the visible test objects in the phantom image, or
	Artifacts obscure test objects in the phantom	i, or
	Artifacts could affect clinical interpretation	

#### Performance Criteria: ≥2 fibers, ≥3 speck groups, ≥2 masses, no clinically-significant artifacts

\* Consult the ACR 2018 Digital Mammography Quality Control Manual and the FAQs for complete information on scoring the phantom.





### Pass Criteria: Equivalent to SFM Phantom: 4 Fibers, 3 Specks, 3 Masses

### 2 Fibers, 3 Specks, 2 Masses



### Unit MP tests (annual)

- Phantom IQ & artifacts
- DBT Z-resolution
- Spatial resolution
- DBT volume coverage
- AEC
- Collimation (DBT only)
- AGD (HVL & output)



3. DBT Z Resolution



#### 5. DBT Volume Coverage Facility Name Breast Center USA MAP ID-Unit# (00000-00) 54321 - 01 Mfr & Model Manf AA Unit BB Room ID Room 1 January 25, 2019 Survey Date ACR DM Phantom, 2 sheets of 0.1 mm Al Phantom Setup: Equipment: Place ACR DM Phantom on breast support in the usual position Paddle size (IR size): Procedure Place Al sheets on top and bottom of DM phantom, diagonally across chest wall Paddle type (reg or flex): Acquire DBT image of phantom View reconstructed image and verify that both AI sheets are in focus within the volume Contact Mode Mag factor Contact Setup Techniques Target/filter W/Ag kVp 28 102 Results (Yes/No/ NA) Lower AI sheet in focus within the volume Yes Upper AI sheet in focus within the volume Yes Overall Pass/Fai Pass Required: Both sheets must be focused in volume Action Limits Timeframe: Failures must be corrected before clinical use.

### **MEE only**

- HVL
- kVp
- 2D collimation

ltem	Component	Major Repair	Medical Physicist Involvement							
Automatic Exposure	AEC replacement	Y	On-site							
Control (AEC)	AEC recalibration that effects dose	Y	On-site							
	AEC sensor replacement	Y	On-site							
	AEC circuit board replacement	Y	On-site							
	Density control - internal adjustment*	N	Oversight							
	Thickness compensation internal* adjustment	N	Oversight							
Bucky Replacement	AEC sensor also replace	Y	On-site							
	AEC sensor not replaced	N	Oversight							
	DM detector also replaced	Y	On-site							
	DM detector not replaced	N	Oversight							
Collimator	Replacement	Y	On-site							
	Reassembly with blade replacement	Y	On-site							
	Adjustment	N	Oversight		<ul> <li>Major Component</li> <li>Service Ungrado</li> </ul>					
Compression Device	Pressure adjustment	N	Optional							
	Thickness scale accuracy adjustment but only if it affects AEC performance	N	Oversight							
	Repair of auto decompression	N	Optional		Service, Upgrade,					
Compression Paddle	Paddle (new to facility)	N	Oversight							
	Deflection adjustment	N	Oversight		Replacement & Rep					
	Adjustment due to extension beyond allowable limit, or visible on images	N	Oversight							
X-ray Unit	Installation	Y	On-site							
	Reassembly	Y	On-site							
	X-ray tube replacement	Y	On-site							
	High voltage generator replacement	Y	On-site							
	Filter replacement	Y	On-site							
	Manufacturer's software upgrade or modifications	Ŷ	On-site							
	DM detector replacement or repair	Y	On-site							
	kVp, mA or time internal* adjustments	N	Oversight							
Display Devices	New installation or replacement	Y	On-site							
	New video card or software upgrade	Y	On-site							
	Relocation	N	Oversight							
Computed Radiography	New installation or replacement of CR reader	Y	On-site							
R) and Photostimulable Phosphor (PSP) Plates	Replacement of all PSP plates	Y	On-site							
i nospitor (i si / i dtes	One or 2 new PSP plates	N	Oversight		American College					



#### 6. Automatic Exposure Control System Performance

	-			20
Facility Name	Breast Center USA	MAP ID-Unit# (00		54321 - 01
Mfr & Model	Manf AA Unit BB	R	toom ID	Room 1
		Surv	ey Date	January 25, 2019
	Equipment: 2, 4, 6, 8 cm of BR-12, BR-50 or acrylic	Phantom Setup:	Paddle size (IR Si	ize):
	Install small paddle (reg or flex) (Use large if small not available)		Paddle type (reg or fi	iex):
	Use regular or flex paddle used for most clinical imaging		AEC cell position (if av	vail):
	Set thickness at actual thickness of phantom (2, 4, or 6 cm)		Mag sett	ting: 1.8
Transdura	Acquire images using clinical techniques		Mfr DC offset, if a	app: 50.000
rocedure	SNR data must be obtained from raw image		Other settin	ngs:
	Magnification stand, if used clinically for 2D			

#### AEC Thickness Tracking

\*This test may require evaluation downstream from AW if AW can't provide ROI capabilities



		Setup Tech	niques	R	esultant	Technique	s		Sign	al and Noise Me	asurements
Mode	Thick- ness (cm)	AEC Mode	Density setting	Target/ Filter	kVp	mAs	Other	Mean Bkgd Signal	Std Dev of Bkgd	DC Offset (if app)	SNR
Contact	2	Auto-Filter		W/Rh	25	49		320.00	4.50	50.00	60.00
Contact	4	Auto-Filter	**	W/Rh	28	85		332.00	4.90	50.00	57.55
Contact	6	Auto-Filter	**	W/Rh	31	192		365.00	5.10	50.00	61.76
Contact	8	Auto-Filter	**	W/Ag	32	237		550.00	5.30	50.00	94.34
Mag*	4	Auto-Filter	**	W/Rh	29	94		450.00	4.20	50.00	95.24
Analys	sis		_				$\searrow$	3	NK =	Std D	ev of Bkgd
Mode	Thick- ness (cm)	SNR	Lowe	MEE	and Ann	nual Pass/Fail		MEE SNR	Lo	Annual wer Upper mit Limit	SNR within ±15% of MEE (P/F)
Contact	2	60.0						58.0	49	9.3 66.7	Р
Contact	4	57.6		40.0		Р		61.0	51	1.9 70.2	Р
Contact	6	61.8						63.0	53	3.6 72.5	Р
Contact	8	94.3						96.0	81	1.6 110.4	Р
Mag*	4	95.2						92.0	78	3.2 105.8	Р
*2D only							X		Over	all Pass/Fail	Pass
		Required:	MEE and Annual: St	Annual: SNR NR must be v	must be a within ±15	≥ 40.0 for 4. % of MEE o	0 cm in co ver the cli	ntact mode. nically used p	phantom thi	ckness and imag	ing modes.



### AEC Performance Criteria

### MEE and Annual Surveys

The SNR *must* be  $\geq$ 40.0 for the 4.0 cm phantom in the DBT mode.

### Annual Surveys

The SNR must be within  $\pm 15\%$  of the last MEE's SNR for each thickness and mode tested. (This component of the test does not apply to MEEs.)

American College of Radiology

**2D** 

## Why else?

- QC program structured for modern facilities
  - Multiple units... multiple RWS... multiple facilities
- New, better phantom
- More efficient artifact detection
- Team approach w/QA Committee
- Radiologist feedback incorporated

### RWS

Monitor manufacturer:	Model:	Left*	Right*
	Monitor serial number		
	Monitor date of manufacture		
Ambient Light	Are ambient light conditions adequate for DM?		
Monitor Condition	Significant findings P/F		
	Artifacts P/F		
tion OM	Fiber score		
ant alua	Speck group score		
РАС	Mass score		
	Phantom P/F		
Distance Measurement	Parallel to A-C axis (mm)		
Distance Measurement	Meas = 70.0 ±14.0 mm (P/F)		
	Test pattern centered appropriately?		
	0%-5% contrast boxes visible?		
- <b>2</b>	95%-100% contrast boxes visible?		
ualit	Alphanumerics sharp and legible?		
e Q al	3 "Quality Control" patches visible (TG18)?		
nag	Line-pair images distinct (center)?		
<b>5</b>	Line-pair images distinct (corners)?		
	Grayscale ramps smooth?		
	Test pattern P/F		



RWS
-----

# Monitor Left Right Center Upper L Upper R Lower L Lower R Max

Luminance Matching

Luminance Uniformity

	Measured Luminance minimum (cd/m <sup>2</sup> )	 
	Mfr recommendation for L <sub>min</sub> (if avail)	
	L <sub>min</sub> meets mfr recommendation ±30%?	
	Measured Luminance maximum (cd/m <sup>2</sup> )	
	Mfr recommendation for L <sub>max</sub> (if avail)	
	L <sub>max</sub> meets mfr recommendation ±10%?	
	Luminance check P/F	
DICOM GSDF (if avail)	W/in ±10% of targeted contrast response P/F	
Mfr Automated Test	Most recent set of mfr automated tests P/F	
	Overall Pass/Fail	

### 11. Radiologist Workstation (RW) Monitor QC

Workstation ID		rvey Date						
Medical F	hysicist		1	Signature				
Procedure	Equipment: Note: Some o ACR DM Phan Test Pattern in Luminance: To	ACR DM Phantom image, luminance meter of these OC tests way or may not be possible to perform depending on the monitor QC capabilities ntom: use phantom acquired from any DM etithin facility nethoric, preferably one MP has acquired image Quality. Use TG16-QC, SMPTE or other relevant platterns 1051 LN8-1, LNS-18 & TG16 URLIGH test patterns or other relevant test patterns						
Monitor manuf	facturer:	Model	Left'	Pight*	1			
Monitor manu	lacturer.	Monitor serial number	Leit	Right				
		Monitor date of manufacture			1			
Ambie	ent Light A	Are ambient light conditions adequate for DM?			Significant findings indicated or			
Monitor C	ondition	Significant findings P/F			figures below			
		Artifacts P/F						
Lon		Fiber score			1 1			
anto		Speck group score						
Eva		Mass score						
		Phantom P/F						
Distance Meas	urement	Parallel to A-C axis (mm)						
		Meas = 70.0 ±14.0 mm (P/F)						
		Test pattern centered appropriately?						
		0%-5% contrast boxes visible?			If off and right manifest			
A.		95%-100% contrast boxes visible?			Complete additional forms if			
9		Alphanumerics sharp and legible /			more than 2 monitors used			
8		3 Quality Control patches visible (1018)/			Luminance Uniformity			
Jami		Line-pair images distinct (certer)?			Monitor Left Right			
		Gravscale ramos smooth?			Center			
		Test pattern P/F			Upper L			
		Measured Luminance minimum (cd/m <sup>2</sup> )			Upper R			
		Mfr recommendation for Lein (if avail)			Lower L			
		L <sub>min</sub> meets mfr recommendation ±30%?			Lower R			
		Measured Luminance maximum (cd/m <sup>2</sup> )			Max			
		Mfr recommendation for Lmax (if avail)			Min			
		L <sub>max</sub> meets mfr recommendation ±10%?			% Diff			
		Luminance check P/F			P/F			
DICOM GSDF	(if avail)	W/in ±10% of targeted contrast response P/F			Luminance Matching			
Mfr Automa	ted Test	Most recent set of mfr automated tests P/F			P/F			
		Overall Pass/Fail						
Action Limits	Required: Recommended: Timeframe:	Any identified montor bernsh that could interfere with circuit information must be removed. ACR DM Phantom image must be the of circuits) significant attriftets. Fiber score must be $\gtrsim 2.0$ , speck group score must be $\simeq 3.0$ , mass score must be $\simeq 2.0$ . Measured distance of wax inset must be $\approx 7.0 \pm 1.0$ mm. Test pattern image quality must pass all visual tests. Law must be within $\simeq 30\%$ or frir specifications (or, if not available $\simeq 4.20$ cdm <sup>2</sup> ). Luminance uniformity must be $\simeq 3.0\%$ , instance matching must be $\simeq 2.0\%$ . BGDF massared contrast response must be within $\simeq 10\%$ of targeted contrast response. Mt/s automated tests must pass inf specifications (or 1 test tails, indicate "F"). Anterient light contrast response must be within $\simeq 4.0\%$ of targeted contrast response. Mt/s automated tests must pass mt specifications (1 test tails, indicate "F"). Phateent light conditions should be appropriate for mamorgraphy must of 4.5 to is recommended. Phantom must pass and significant monitor cleariness defects must be corrected before clinical use, all other researed tests must be corrected with 2.0 dars.						

Luminance Check

American College of Radiology

% Diff P/F

P/F

## Tech RWS QC

#### 6. Radiologist Workstation (RW) Monitor QC

**RW Location and ID** MAP ID# (00000) Monitor Mfr Model SN: Right Left Year Jan Feb Mar May Jul Oct Dec Month Apr Jun Aug Sep Nov Date **Tech Initials** Monitor R\* L\* R L R L R L R R L R R L R L R L R L R L L L Monitor Condition P/ (significant findings) Artifacts P/F Fiber score ACR DM Phantom Speck group score Mass score Phantom P/F 0%-5% contras boxes visible 95%-100% contrast ŝ Line-pair images Qua distinct (center) Line-pair images distinct (corners) Test pattern P/F Monthly Check - Mfr Automated Test P/F (if avail) **Overall Pass/Fai** P = Pass F = Fail Required: Any identified monitor blemish that could interfere with clinical information must be removed. \* R and L - right and left monitors; if ACR DM Phantom image must be free of clinically significant artifacts. only 1 monitor, use "R" column Fiber score must be  $\geq$  2.0; speck group score must be  $\geq$  3.0; mass score must be  $\geq$  2.0. Action Limits Test pattern image quality must pass all visual tests. Manufacturer's automated tests, if available, must pass mfr specifications (if 1 test fails, indicate F). Timeframe: Phantom must pass and significant monitor cleanliness defects must be corrected before clinical use; all other tests must be corrected within 30 days.

American College of Radiology

Monthly

### 14. Evaluation of Display Device Technologist QC Program

Facility Name Medical Physicist Signature				MAP ID-Unit# (00000-00) Display Device Location Survey Date					
Display Device ID & Room	Display Device Description (RW, Printer, Viewbox)	Test Performed, Analyzed & Documented Incorrectly	Missing Data	Incorrect Scoring or Calculations	Missing Corrective Action Documentation	Mfr Automated Tests (if Applicable)	Other	Comments	P/F
Example: Mammography reading room	RW	1	~	1	1			Discussed with manager	Р
Corrective Action Log documentation adequate?									

Additional Comments:

## Applicability

- Adoption is a choice
- Each unit is a choice
- Manufacturers now also have a choice

## Applicability



 Units with CEM can use the ACR manual for 2D and DBT applications, but must use manufacturer QC for CEM applications

## Transitioning

- Full MEE <u>not required</u>
- Annual survey required
  - "transition survey"
- MEE QC test data (HVL, kVp, 2D collimation) <u>must be available</u> for baseline and troubleshooting purposes
- Display devices

## **Ideas for transitioning**

- Read the manual
- Read the manual again
- Have a meeting with lead tech, mgr, & LIP to plan
- Schedule time to train technologist(s)
- Be the expert consider this an opportunity

### **Do I need to call my inspector?**

- No.
- But documentation of transition dates for all devices is essential

### **Do I need to call ACR?**

- No.
- Unless of course you have questions ③
- ACR is accepting (re)submissions using new DM QC Manual

## What's happening now?

- Outreach (hi!)
- CRCPD
- FDA  $\rightarrow$  inspectors
- MITA / mfr

### Resources

- <u>ACR Digital Mammography QC Manual</u> <u>Resources</u>
- Accreditation web page
- 1-800-227-6440
- mamm-accred@acr.org

### **DM QC Manual Resources**

- Up-to-date FAQs
- Phantom scoring key (good for teaching!)
- Excel forms for QC tests (tech and med phys!)
- Webinars by Eric (tech and med phys!)
- Approved phantom vendors

### Acknowledgements

- Eric Berns, PhD
  - Chair, ACR Subcommittee on Breast Imaging X-ray Physics
  - Chair, ACR Subcommittee on QA in Mammography
- Priscilla F. Butler, MS, FAAPM, FACR