21 CFR and IEC X-Ray Standard Comparison Project

Comparison Tables for 21 CFR Sub J and IEC 60601

Saturday, March 30th 2019 AAPM Spring Clinical Meeting Orlando, Florida





Project Summary

- FDA issues draft guidance (Aug 2016)
- RSNA meeting FDA comparison table proposal (Nov 2017)
- CRCPD, AAPM, RSNA vetting tables with user groups (2018)

Section 1

The Structure



What's Being Developed?

- MITA is creating two documents:
 - 3 template tables comparing 21 CFR 1020.30-33 to IEC 60601-1 standard series for x-ray imaging modalities (Fluoro/Rad, CT, Mammo)
 - An extract of IEC 60601-1 particular/collateral reference document
- Manufacturer Tailored Tables
 - Manufacturers can utilize the MITA template tables to develop manufacturerspecific product or product family tables
- Benefits
 - Field inspectors quickly understand the IEC requirements and test methods for applicable equipment
 - Continuous harmonization with state-of-the-art international safety standards
 - Improved operator/patient safety and quality control



The Comparison Table

| 21 CFR Subchapter J Reference | IEC Reference | Торіс | IEC/EPRC Comparison | Specifications/testing information specific to this device |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---|---|--|
| 1020.31(e)(1) | 60601-2-54: 203.8.104(a) | Center-to-center | CFR requires 2% of SID, whereas IEC does not specify any tolerance. | This system does not specify a tolerance for center-to- center measurement. |
| 1020.31(e)(1) | 60601-1-3: 8.5.2 | SID Indication | CFR requires 2% accuracy, while IEC requires 5% SID indication accuracy. | This system has an SID indication accuracy of 5%. |
| 1020.31(e)(2) | 60601-2-54: 203.8.102.2 | Limitation of X-Ray Field to Image Receptor | beam-limiting device | Display of the field size is not provided in the following circumstances (exemptions): a) Field size is preset for distances of interest and are not selectable by the operator |

- Clearly indicates corresponding 21 CFR and IEC standards containing overlapping requirements
- Clearly describes the differences



21CFR to IEC Reference Text

Topic: SID Indication

1020.31(e)(1)

Means shall be provided to indicate when the axis of the x-ray beam is perpendicular to the plane of the image receptor, to align the center of the x-ray field with respect to the center of the image receptor to within 2 percent of the SID, and to **indicate the SID to within 2 percent**;

60601-1-3:2008 Clause 8.5.2

The FOCAL SPOT TO IMAGE RECEPTOR DISTANCE shall be indicated as follows:

- the ACCOMPANYING DOCUMENTS shall contain particulars of the values or ranges of the FOCAL SPOT TO IMAGE RECEPTOR DISTANCE specified for NORMAL USE;
- if the FOCAL SPOT TO IMAGE RECEPTOR DISTANCE is adjustable and the specified applications require its value to be known to the OPERATOR prior to LOADING, the currently selected value shall be indicated on the EQUIPMENT;S
- the accuracy of indication shall be such that the FOCAL SPOT TO IMAGE RECEPTOR DISTANCE does not differ by more than 5 % from any corresponding value indicated on the EQUIPMENT or from any corresponding value stated in the ACCOMPANYING DOCUMENTS.

Compliance is checked by inspection and functional tests, by examination of the ACCOMPANYING DOCUMENTS and, where applicable, by measurement of the FOCAL SPOT TO IMAGE RECEPTOR DISTANCE in selected configurations and settings of the EQUIPMENT.

- IEC text is free to use in accordance with prior authorization (reuse must be < 10% of standard)
- IEC text selected by MITA and submitted to Central Office of the IEC for authorization
- IEC glossary of defined terms (ALL-CAPITAL LETTERS) is publically available on the web
- Describes requirements and test methods for indicated comparisons

Section 2

Examples



Example 1: "Fluoroscopic Irradiation Time Display", 1020.32(h)(2)(i)

- 1. Visit mfg specific site via mfg provided link on MITA website
- 2. Use mfg specific site to find table of applicable IEC comparison to be utilized in a particular model or family of devices
- 3. Utilize table to identify requirements where the IEC limits/ test methods are used rather than 21 CFR
- 4. Update test plan accordingly

| 21 CFR Subchapter J Reference | IEC Reference | Торіс | IEC/EPRC Comparison | Specifications/testing information specific to this device |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|---|---|---|
| 1020.32(h)(2)(i) | 60601-2-54: 203.6.4.3.101 | Fluoroscopic irradiation time display | CFR requires minutes and tenths of minutes, while IEC allows for minutes and seconds as well as minutes and tenths of minutes | This device displays fluoroscopic irradiation time in minutes and seconds in lieu of minutes and tenths of minutes. |



Example 1: "Fluoroscopic Irradiation Time Display", 1020.32(h)(2)(i)

Topic: Radiation Time Display 1020.32(h)(2)(ii)(A)

When the x-ray tube is activated, the fluoroscopic irradiation time in **minutes and tenths of minutes** shall be continuously displayed and updated at least once every 6 seconds.

60601-2-54:2009 Clause 203.6.4.3.101

The units of indication shall be as follows:

- for X-RAY TUBE VOLTAGE, kilovolts;
- for X-RAY TUBE CURRENT, milliamperes;
- for LOADING TIME, seconds and/or milliseconds;
- for CURRENT TIME PRODUCT, milliampereseconds;
- in RADIOSCOPY, the LOADING TIME may be indicated in minutes and seconds or decimally in minutes.

Compliance is checked by inspection.



Example 2: "Illuminance of Light Localizer", 1020.31(d)(2)(ii)

| 21 CFR Subchapter J Reference | IEC Reference | Торіс | IEC/EPRC Comparison | Specifications/testing information specific to this device |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------|--|--|
| 1020.31(d)(2)(ii) | 60601-2-54: 203.8.102.5 | Light localizer | CFR requiers min. 160 lux @ 1m SID, IEC requiers min. 100 lux @ 1m SID. | |



Example 2 "Illuminance of Light Localizer", 1020.31(d)(2)(ii)

Topic: Light Localizer

1020.31(d)(2)(ii)

When a light localizer is used to define the x-ray field, it shall provide an average illuminance of not less than 160 lux (15 footcandles) at 100 cm or at

the maximum SID, whichever is less. The average illuminance shall be based on measurements made in the approximate center of each quadrant of the light field. Radiation therapy simulation systems are exempt from this requirement

60601-2-54:2009 Clause 203.8.102.5

If a LIGHT FIELD-INDICATOR is provided, it shall delineate the edges of the X-RAY FIELD and it shall provide an average illumination of not less than 100 lx in a plane normal to the X-RAY BEAM AXIS at a distance of 1 m from the FOCAL SPOT.

Compliance is checked by examination of the ACCOMPANYING DOCUMENTS and by the following test:

- check that light-attenuating components as specified by the MANUFACTURER, e.g. the IONIZATION CHAMBER of a DOSE AREA PRODUCT meter, are in place.
- if the whole area of the indicated field is illuminated, determine the average illumination as the mean value from measurements in the approximate centre of each quarter of the LIGHT FIELD;
- in all other cases, determine the average illumination from at least four measurements at different points in the centres of the illuminated areas;
- measure the contrast, using a measuring aperture not larger than 1 mm. Take the contrast as I₁/I₂, where I₁ is the illumination 3 mm from the edge of the LIGHT FIELD towards the centre of the field and I₂ is the illumination 3 mm from the edge of the LIGHT FIELD away from the centre of the field;
- correct the MEASURED VALUES for ambient illumination.

Note: IEC provides more prescriptive test methods than 21 CFR in many cases

Section 3

Next Steps



Distribution & Availability

- Reference documents shared today to be made available as a Medical Imaging & Technology Alliance document
- Manufacturers will be able to use these template documents to create tailored product and product family tables
- Manufacturers will be able to provide links to tables from a central MITA location
- Dependent on final FDA guidance and product adoption





MITA Websites URLs: https://www.medicalimaging.org/ cfr-iec-comparison/

21CFR AND IEC COMPARISON TABLE TEMPLATE FOR MEDICAL X-RAY IMAGING DEVICE MANUFACTURERS

21CFR AND IEC COMPARISON TABLE TEMPLATE FOR MEDICAL X-RAY IMAGING DEVICE MANUFACTURERS

This **draft version** of the "NEMA/MITA Template for 21 CFR to IEC Performance Standard Comparison Tables" is an ongoing initiative in collaboration with FDA and stakeholders. It represents our current understanding of how to comply with the FDA draft guidance issued on August 3, 2016, titled "Medical X-Ray Imaging Devices Conformance with IEC Standards". It does not represent NEMA/MITA's final template for use by manufacturers, and is subject to change. The FDA's final guidance, NEMA/MITA's internal review procedures, and stakeholder feedback may all inform such changes. The final published version will be available for download from this website free of charge.

CURRENT DRAFT VERSION OF NEMA/MITA Comparison Table

Based on the draft guidance, manufacturers that wish to utilize the IEC conformance method can use the above table templates to create product-specific tables. These tables will be hosted by the manufacturer with links provided by MITA. https://www.medicalimaging.org/ cfr-iec-manufacturer-portal/