Biological Effects, Therapeutic Ratio and Hypofractionated RT

Ellen Yorke Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center

No Conflicts of Interest



- Jean St. Germain's career spanned a period of tremendous growth in radiation therapy. This owes much to the astounding development of computers and their applications to radiology and radiation therapy technology as well as an improved understanding of the radiobiology of radiotherapy.
- Although there is still much to learn (e.g. Session TH-BC-SAN1-0) the practical aspects of radiobiology developed during those 50 years have made a favorable therapeutic ratio -durable tumor control with minimal complications- standard of care for many cancers.
- The emergence of highly effective and safe hypofractionated treatments of primary tumors and metastases-SBRT (Stereotactic Body Radiotherapy, aka SABR- Stereotactic Ablative Radiotherapy) is an important development.
- The next slides follow the timeline of radiobiology and related radiotherapy developments. Jean's work in Radiation Safety is an integral foundation for this progress.

- · Ionizing radiation causes DNA damage which, if not repaired by cellular mechanisms, will make the cell unable to proliferate at all or will prevent that cell's line after a few mitoses.
- Higher dose D-> more damage
- Simplest model is "probability of a 'death'=aD"
- Poisson death distribution—surviving fraction=SF=exp(-aD) · Sometimes it is simple, sometimes not. Depends on
 - Type of cell, type of radiation (low LET or high LET)
 - Dose rate, size of individual dose?

 - Low dose hypersensitivity?
 High (> ~ 10 Gy) fraction doses?
 Very high dose rate (FLASH)?
- In typical cell survival curves logarithmic Y axis (SF), linear X axis (dose) - exp(-aD) would be a straight line
- · For clinical x-rays and electrons (low LET), the cell survival curve is 'curvier' than linear





Linear-Quadratic (LQ) Model

- For mammalian cells given a single fraction acute dose D of low LET radiation the SF is often well fit by
 - $\textbf{SF=exp(-[\alpha D+\beta D^2])} \text{ where } \alpha \text{ and } \beta \text{ are independent of dose}$
- If n fractions of dose d are given with enough time (several hours) between (but not long enough for replication of surviving cells) the SF curve follows the vertical arrows in the figure:

Survial Factor

De Figure 6. Fractionation offi the linear quadratic model. En-

÷.

*.

¥

SF= exp(-n[$\alpha d+\beta d^2$])

- · This can be rewritten in many ways $SF = \exp(-\alpha(nd + nd^2/\frac{\alpha}{R}))$
 - $SF = \exp(-\alpha D(1 + d/\frac{\alpha}{\beta}))^{p}$ where D=nd
- $\frac{\alpha}{\beta}$ determines dose per fraction dependence; α determines radiosensitivity The LQ model can be elaborated to account for low dose rate (brachy) and cell growth between fractions

- Another way of writing the SF for the LQ model is $SF = exp(-\alpha \times BED)$ where BED=Biologically Effective Dose= $D(1 + df_B^{\alpha})$
- A word about dimensions: The exponent must be dimensionless. By convention, doses and BED are given in Gy, α in Gy⁻¹, β in Gy⁻² and $\frac{\alpha}{\beta}$ in Gy • Often $\frac{\alpha}{\beta}$ is included in the BED expression: BED10 or BED₁₀ ($\frac{\alpha}{\beta}$ =10 Gy)
- Typical values of α for mammalian cells/low LET are in the range 0.1-1.5 Gy^{-1} (radioresistant to radiosensitive)
- Values of $\frac{\alpha}{\beta}$ run from ~1 Gy to ~ 20 Gy
- BED is often very large compared to the physical delivered doses
 For 2 Gy/fx and Dose=60 Gy, if ²/_θ=2 Gy the BED₂=120 Gy. For a typical single fraction cranial SRS treatment with prescription 21 Gy and ²/_θ=2, BED₂ = 241.5 Gy !!!!
- For more comfortable numbers representing the same biological effect, we use the Equivalent Dose in 2 Gy fractions (EQD2_{α/β}): EQD2_{α/β} =BED_{α/β} /(1+2/⁴/_α)
- For the 2 examples above, EQD22 is 60 Gy and 120.75 Gy (still big but less shocking)
- BED and EQD2 are used about equally often. DON'T CONFUSE THEM!

n(SF) SF ~ 10⁻⁹; good predicted t -25

Variations on the LQ Theme

Radiation Effects

Tumor Control Probability (TCP)

 In a similarly treated population, TCP is the probability that the treated tumor will be locally controlled for the stated time
 Often papers quote TCP at 5 years, 10 years, etc

- Normal Tissue Complication Probability (NTCP)
- In a similarly treated population, NTCP is the probability that a particular normal
 - Severe esophagitis, esophageal ulcer; radiation pneumonitis, radiation lung fibrosis
- Radiation therapy aims for a high Therapeutic Ratio: a qualitative concept -maximize local control, minimize NTCPs as well as possible



- Dose limiting complication: Complication is so serious that MD will reduce prescription or reduce target coverage (reduce TCP) rather than risk it.
- Prime examples: Radiation myelitis, pneumonitis

RT effects typically have a sigmoidal dependence on dose

Two key parameters

- Location of curve on dose or BED or EQD2 axis (50% effect=D50)
- Slope of curve (usually at 50% point): Y50
 Many different functions are sigmoidal and are widely used



Isoeffective Regimens

· Isoeffective concept is more general than any model

- Let the effect of radiation damage to a specified tumor or other tissue or cells in vitro be given by a function $f(D,d, \{q\})$ • $\{q\}$ other factors; e.g. dose rate, type of radiation, extracellular environment,
- Two radiation regimens are isoeffective if they have the same effect for the same cellular system: f(D,d, {q})=f(D',d',{q'})
- For the simple LQ model, two fractionations (different doses and number of fractions) are isoeffective if $\frac{\text{BED}_{a/\beta}}{\text{BED}_{a/\beta}} = \frac{\text{BED}'_{a/\beta}}{(\text{or EQD2}_{a/\beta} = \text{EQD2}'_{a/\beta})$ • Example: if $\frac{a}{\alpha}$ =2 Gy, 60 Gy in 30 fractions is isoeffective to a single 10 Gy fraction
- A surprising observation: The LQ model works pretty well for normal tissue complications!
 - Normal tissues are composed of many cell types and their complications are not necessarily due to obvious cell killing (and which cell??)

- Early (acute) complications: during or shortly after treatment course depend more weakly on dose per fraction (dpf); higher ^a/_β
 (e.g. skin erythema, mucosa, parotids, bone marrow-low blood counts)
- · Late complications: months-years after
- treatment, depend strongly on dpf; lower $\frac{\alpha}{\beta}$ (e.g. radiation myelitis, osteomyelitis, tubular
- organ strictures)
 Intermediate: during or shortly after course
- but strong dpf dependence (e.g. radiation pneumonitis, $\frac{\alpha}{\beta} \sim 3 4$)

Graphs show isoeffective fractionations Total dose=BED_{comp} /(1+dpf/ $\frac{\alpha}{\beta}$)

• Larger $\frac{\alpha}{\beta}$ \rightarrow shallower slope

afters .	0 0	f	74	6				
	° (747)	(me	A					
tone (Eq.)-earlies	11	1	1					
	B.	-			- first married			
	e -	-						
	10 8 8	4	ż	1.	0.0			
		Daso/	Taction (ew)				
Figure 3.12. Increase safty-corporating to nerved. Stopson are through to framework the finamen surveyed has secold be are Officially factors and the disp (Adopted from The (Thurs? @5 + 1)	is to benefit asteeper for a show and near or/d ro steepe there arity betwee arity betwee arity betwee arity betwee arity betwee arity betwee	the later the later the later the later the later that the foce when an aloges R2 and P (Ch 3)	with dee sched car responde, target-co- mention is may be carroches of dashes inher and	reading reading therein it pope was a connected for this load of Honde	all bate per all bate are no, which is indexed in pred the is off faces of did faces p 1597)	pending providing the serve cure the early adued into a dashed in neadd be	Uneven for sensitive respond to yrangend affect our curves we even gree	allel te gly lag van eld der
LQ :	total iso	effecti	ve dos	e vs	dose p	er frac	tion	
					_	-	_	-
		-	_			-	_	-
-				-				_

→ all/bet+10 Gy36D+22 Gy → alla/beta+2 Gy36D+22 Gy Dose per fraction

- 1991: NCI contract in anticipation of 3D (CT-based) conformal RT
- The 'Emami paper': Consensus tolerance doses for 28 serious complications, based on literature and experience prior to CT-planning era.





1991-early 2000's

• Enormous increase in computer speed, capability

• CT scanners, CT simulation, computerized treatment planning

Three dimensional cont	tomal redictherapy and down encalation: where do we stand?		Prestate IMRT plan
Zelehky MJ, Lebel SA, I Semin Radiat Orcol. 1298 A PMID: 5516591	Kutcher GJ, Folis Z. gr:8(2) 107-14 Review.	LAMA C.	Pressue to \$1 Gy
• IMRT	Pareness, oblevers, and saids assessment of kinetice modulation addresses using downers motified contractions at address of human social meterostation for the human G, Chan GC, Markon G, Leeter K, Saidshig M, Ladasov T, Spreu S, WeiQ, Yang J, Shen J, Mana R, Feld Z, Ling GC. ex J Band Docarille Park 2 Ling GC.		

Improved knowledge of dosimetric correlates of NTCP, TCP
 Though not necessarily improved mechanistic explanation

	Logistic			Log	Logistic		Lyman	NTCP Model	TCP fr	om SF (N=	# cells)
	For NT	CP, reve	rse D and I	D ₅₀							
TCP(D)	$= exp((D - D_{20})h)h)h$	$1 + \exp(iD)$	$-D_{20} 000$	TCP(D)	$= 1/(1 + (D_{30}))$	0)5	$NTCP = \frac{1}{\sqrt{24}}$	$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} dx$	TCP	exp(-NSF)	
							min.mn.	15 11			
							t = m-FD ₁₀	$BUD = \left(\sum_{l=1}^{n} a_l D_l^n\right)$			

QUANTEC

(Quantitative Analysis of Normal Tissue Effects in Clinic) • Updated NTCP dose-volume dependence from published outcomes from the 3DCRT-early IMRT era





SBRT (SABR).....The Next Frontier

• Greatly improved image guidance during treatment make it (relatively) safe to deliver large doses (>5 Gy) in a small # of fractions (1-10)

Stereotactic Body Radiation Therapy (or Stereotactic Ablative Radiation Therapy)

- Used for increasingly many disease sites
- Superior or at least equivalent TCP, much more convenient for patients

Some unexpected complications but with caution, these are not common
 Carotid 'blowout' in H&N sbrt reirradiation, chest wall pain/rib fracture in lung sbrt



• Is SBRT's effectiveness due only to meticulous use of advanced technology or is there new radiobiology at high fraction doses?



New tools coming (protons, FLASH, MR linac, immunomodulatory drugs and effects)
 STAY TURED



Additional References

• Fractionation in Radiotherapy: H D Thames and J H Hendry

Basic Clinical Radiobiology: M C Joiner and A J van der Kogel

- Radiobiology for the Radiologist: E J $\,$ Hall and H J Giacca