









| PERI | -0 | P | ERAT | IVEN | 1ORBID | ITY | AN | D LON | NG TERM |
|------------------------------------|-------|------|---------------|------------------------------------|---|-----------------------|--------|------------------------|---------|
| | | | | 00 | MPLICA | | | S | |
| | | | | TABLE | V. | | | | |
| Authora | - | | Operature Rep | Ostectoriy Distectoriy Share | ny Palated Complications. | Poor Wound Maders (%) | Faste | Total Complications | |
| Dziegielewski et al. | 2009 | 220 | PM | 65 | DIW - CMP | 7.7 | 23 | 10.5 | |
| Nam et al. ¹ | 2006 | 103 | Med, PM, Lat | Straight, 88, rokch | DWL 2 MCPs. 2 MCPs - DWL BCP MCP- BCP | 8.7 | | 18,4 | |
| Usinsic at al. ²⁶ | 2004 | 24 | Med | Strington | Lag screw. | 12.5 | | 12.6 | |
| Del et al. ¹⁹ | 2003 | 10 | Mad | Notet | 2 CMPs | 52.6 | 1.1 | 57.9 | |
| | | 23 | PM | Noteth | 2 CMPs | 388.5 | | 20.1 | |
| Genty et al. ¹⁴ | 2001 | 107 | Med, PM, Lat | Straight, oblique, 55 | DIVIC CMP | 14.9 | . 14 | 28.9 | |
| Bertrand et al. ²⁴ | 2000 | 61 | Med | Straight, notch | Plecon plate | 4.9 | 1.0 | 15.4 | |
| Deen et al. ⁴ | 2000 | 00 | Med | Straight, 525 | CMP | 16.6 | | 20 | |
| Smeele at al. ¹¹ | 1999 | - 18 | Med, PM | Straight | DNK CMP; recon plate | 12.5 | . 10,4 | 22.9 | |
| McCann et al." | 1994 | 31 | Med, PM, Lat | Straight | Miniplate.comp plate. report plate, DCDP; OFP | 36.5 | 253 | 61.3 | |
| Shah et al.14 | 1993 | 22 | P54 | Notoh | DW | 27.3 | 12.4 | 40/9 | |
| | | 34 | 1958 | Notofs | CMP | 20.6 | 1.1 | 29.4 | |
| Christopoulos el sl. ¹⁰ | 19922 | 03 | NS | SS, nokdn | OWN, CMP | 41.0 | | 23.3 | |
| Guillean et al. ¹⁷ | 1992 | - 26 | Med. PM, Lat | Med. Phil Lat | ONK DOP | 11.5 | 2.2 | 25.1 | |
| Dybner & Spiro ¹ | 1991 | 313 | Med, PM | Straight.notch | DRVK, CMP | 20 | 0 | 20 | |
| Davidson at al. ¹⁸ | 1099 | -64 | 5645 | Straight | ACL reaso | 124 | 11.4 | 36.4 | |











Dirginal Research—Head and Neck Surgery

Positive Margins by Oropharyngeal Subsite in Transoral Robotic Surgery for T1/T2 Squamous Cell Carcinoma

 Head and Nock Surgery 2018, Vol. 158(4) 660–666
 Pitchael J. Prink, MD, 'William G. Albergotti, MD², Tarya J. Rath, HD², Hark W. Kollis, HD², Sara, Abberbock, MS⁴, Hashew Gatzalier, MD², Saragene, Kim, MD², Earch MD, PDP²



COULD INTRAOPERATIVE IMAGING IMPROVE SAFETY AND EFFICACY?



- Center for Surgical Innovation (CSI)
- 2 Operating Rooms
 I Procedure Room
- Intra-operative CT and MRI systems
- Intra-operative navigation Animal and human use









Paydarfar JA, Wu X, Halter RJ. MRI- and CT-Compatible Polymer Laryngoscope: A Step toward Image-Guided Transoral Surgery. Otoloryngol – Head Neck Surg. 2016;610:1-3.



Significant improvement in target localization (21%) 12.8±9.9 versus 10±7.5 mm Significant reduction in task completion time (25%)

22 minutes down to 16 minutes

<u>Conclusions;</u> Although intraoperative imaging improves target localization, clinically surgeons were still off target by 10 mm or more

P. Kahng, X. Wu, N. Ramesh, D. Pastel, R. Halter, J. Paydarfar, "Improving target localization during trans-oral surgery with use of intraoperative imaging," IJCARS, Feb. 2019. IMAGE GUIDED SURGICAL NAVIGATION IN TORS/TLM?

 Successfully used in sinus and skull base surgery, neurosurgery, orthopedic spine

Actively researched in GI, GU, thoracic surgery, others



IMAGE GUIDED SURGICAL NAVIGATION IMPROVES SAFETY SINUS SURGERY

Spannet New Otolanyngology-Head and Neck Surgery 149(1) 17-29 Image-Guided Surgery Influences Perioperative Morbidity from Endoscopic Sinus Surgery: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis

Dustin M. Dalgorf, MD¹, Raymond Sacks, MD², Peter-John Wormald, MD², Yuresh Naidoo, MD², Ben Panizza, MD⁴, Brent Uree, MD², Chris Brown, MD⁴, John Cruotta, MD⁷, Kornklat Snidvongs, MD⁸, and Richard J. Harvey, MD¹

- Systematic Review
- IGS vs non-IGS
- Major complications significantly less in IGS group:
 - Entry into any area outside sinuses (eye, brain)
 - Post-op bleeding requiring surgical intervention
 Abort procedure for any reason

USE OF IMAGE GUIDANCE DURING ENDOSCOPIC SINUS SURGERY



Laryngoscope 118: November 2008

Transoral Robotic Surgery Using an Image Guidance System

Shaun C. Desai, BA; Chih-Kwang Sung, MD; Eric M. Genden, MD, FACS

Combined TORS and IGS to resect 3 tumors in the parapharyngeal space

Intraspersive control of resection margine in orbitated hard and neck cancer using a 3D-anrightion system based on PETCT image fusion Marginet Detection (Contanti, March Mar, Marchard, Wang, PERCH, M. D. M. C., Charder, Wang, M. K. M. Charder, J. Wang, P. Markov, M. D. Mar, Charder, Wang, M. K. M. Mark, Harf, "Similar Pharmac Method Realing Margin View MIDB, M. M. Markov, Barl," *Transmission of the Mark Realing Mark*, and the MIDB, M. Markov, Barl, "Dataset Pharmac Markov, Markov, Markov, Ganz, and Sang, Sang, Sang, Sang, "Dataset Markov, Markov, Markov, Ganz, and Sang, Sang, Sang, Sang, Sang, Sang, "Journal of Contantion, Markov, Markov, Sang, Sang,

Fused PET/CT images for IGS resection of recurrences at the base of skull





Application of a Computer-Aided Navigation Technique in Surgery for Recurrent Malignant Infratemporal Fossa Tumors Ret Gene III. No Na Zieler Feng. PAD. MD. Come III. Gene Ret MD

The Journal of Craniofacial Surgery • Volume 26, Number 2, March 2015



Application of computer-assisted navigation systems in oral and maxillofacial surgery Shintaro Sukegawa^{5,1}, Takahiro Kanno^{5,2}, Yoshihiko Furuki⁸ I I patients navigation assisted resection vs 31 non navigation infratemporal fossa tumors

Significantly higher rate of complete resection in navigation group

"...the most obvious disadvantage of the computer-aided navigation is the drifting of soft tasues, which puzzled many surgeons...As for the resection of tumors in the infratemporal focus, the principal threat is the drifting of the internal carotid artery!



Localize joint during TMJ surgery





PROOF OF CONCEPT: SURGICAL NAVIGATION WITH INTRAOPERATIVE IMAGING TO IMPROVE LOCALIZATION ACCURACY

Paydarfar JA,Wu X, Halter RJ.Initial experience with image-guided surgical navigation in transoral surgery. Head & Neck. 2018;1–10





HIGH LEVEL OF REGISTRATION ACCURACY (<= 1 MM)























Construction of a second of the deal hadded on a Comparison Associated Second Technology (Comparison Association Associat

Wen P. Liu¹⁺ Sureenst Resequencerest³ Johnshin M. Sorger³ Joffny B. Siewerdsen^{1,3} Russell H. Taylor³ Jøremy D. Richmon⁴

> TLE improved from I I.2±5.0 mm vs. 5.8±2.5 with IGS















QUANTIFY FORCES GENERATED DURING OPERATIVE LARYNGOSCOPY







F.R.A.N.K.: FUNCTIONAL REFERENCE ANATOMY KNOWLEDGE

Collaboration with University of British

Hybrid model: Combines both FEM and mult body methods

Patient-specific model created by registering template to segmented CT images

J. E. Liph, P. Santess, M. S. Yess, Prospiral: A Para resolution builtechance Moning Tools, Coloning M. Marchard, J. Koss, P. S. Santes, J. S. Markan, Heideberg: Springer Berlin Heideberg. 2012, pp. 355–394.
P. Anderson, S. Fels, N. M. Harand, A. Ho, S. Mosik, C. A. Sanchez, I. Stavress, an K. Tang, "FRAMK, A Hydra 3D Biomechanical Model of the Head and Nock," In











