

Image-Guided Radiation Therapy Based On X-Ray Induced Acoustic Computed Tomography

Xueding Wang ^{1,2}, Wei Zhang ¹, Ibrahim Oraiqat ³, Hao Lei ², Paul Carson ⁴, and Issam El Naqa ³


¹ Department of Biomedical Engineering, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA

² Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA

³ Department of Radiation Oncology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA

⁴ Department of Radiology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA

Image-guided Radiation Therapy





Sterling et al. Oncol Acoust Ultr. 108(16), 2011

Disadvantages of offline therapy

- ☐ **Positioning:** limited accuracy and reproducibility in positioning make it difficult to place the patient in exactly the same position as in planning.
- ☐ **Organ motion:** even with perfect positioning, the body movement and the respiratory motion can shift the organ by up to several centimeters.
- ☐ **Anatomic variations:** the difference in tissue density/geometry/property can affect the attenuation of X-ray beams before reaching the target.

Need: an imaging technology that can monitor the **position** and **dose** of the X-ray beam delivered to the target tissue **during** treatment.

X-ray induced Acoustic Computed Tomography (XACT)



Theory

- X-ray induced acoustic wave equation:

$$\nabla^2 p(r,t) - \frac{1}{v_s^2} \frac{\partial^2 p(r,t)}{\partial t^2} = -\frac{\beta}{C_p} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} H(r,t)$$

- Initial pressure


$$p_0(r) = \Gamma(r) \cdot H(r) \quad \text{Where} \quad \Gamma = \frac{v_s^2 \beta}{C_p}$$

- X-ray dose to initial pressure


$$p_0(r) = \Gamma(r) \cdot \eta(r) \cdot D(r) \cdot \rho(r)$$

p = Acoustic Pressure
 v_s = Speed of sound
 β = Coeff of thermal expansion
 C_p = Specific heat capacity
 H = X-ray energy deposition
 Γ = Grueneisen parameter
 η = Mass attenuation coefficient
 D = X-ray fluence
 ρ = Physical density

XACT System based on A Single Transducer



Photograph of the setup



Experimental parameters

X-ray source:


- 6 MV photon beam delivered by clinical LINAC (Varian TrueBeam™)
- 4 μ s pulse duration
- 330 pulse repetition rate

Detection parameters:


- Immersion transducer, 0.5 MHz, 1.0 in. Element diameter (V301, Olympus)
- X-ray induced acoustic signals acquired at 120 steps for tomographic imaging
- At each step, signal averaged over 660 times, 10 MHz sampling rate

Experiment I – Detect beam/target misalignment

- **Aim:**
 - Examine the feasibility of XACT in monitoring the misalignment of the beam against the target
- Field #1 (on-target): align with the target
- Field #2 (off-target): away from the target



Experiment I – Beam / target misalignment






The XACT image (Pseudo color) super-imposed on the US image (Gray scale).

Experiment II – Difference in dose delivery

Aim:

- Evaluate whether XACT can measure the difference in delivered dose at the target due to the different attenuations in the covering tissues.




Conclusion:

- XACT images show the differences of the dose delivered to the targets passing through different materials

Experiment III – Quantitative dose estimation

Aim:


- Evaluate the sensitivity of dose measurement using XACT




- Wedges: metal wedges with different angles (15, 30, 45 degrees) were used for attenuating the X-ray beam to induce different **gradients** in delivered dose.

Experiment III – Quantitative dose estimation

#1 #2 #3 #4 #5




- Ten XACT images of the phantom were acquired with each physical wedge (15°, 30° and 45°).
- Sensitivity of XACT: **2.9%** (the smallest detectable difference in delivered X-ray dose)



Experiment III – Quantitative dose estimation

- Radiochromic films were applied to measure the dose distributions under each wedge.
- For each wedge, the X-ray doses in lard cylinders measured by XACT match well with the profile extracted from the film result, *demonstrating that XACT can quantify the delivered X-ray dose with good sensitivity and accuracy.*




Limitations of XACT System based on a single transducer

- Time consuming
20 minutes for each 2D image, due to the stepping of the transducer and the time for signal averaging
- Coupling medium
Water was used as the coupling material
- Separated XACT system and B-mode ultrasound system
Needs co-registration of XACT image and US image

XACT System based on a B-mode Ultrasound Unit

- Acquire the US image and the XACT image at the same time using an integrated system built on a commercial US unit (Vintage 256, Verasonics).
- Theoretically, each X-ray pulse can produce a 2D XACT image.



Experimental parameters


X-ray source:

- 6 MV photon beam delivered by clinical LINAC (Varian TrueBeam™)
- 4 μ s pulse duration
- 330 pulse repetition rate

Detection parameters:



- Commercial ultrasound system (Vantage 256-channel, Verasonics)
- Phased array, 1-4 MHz, 96 elements (P4-1, Philips ATL)
- 300 times average for XACT (quasi real-time with frame rate of 1.1 Hz), no average for US (real-time with frame rate of 18 Hz)

Experiment IV: Dual-modality Imaging



Experiment V: Examine the resolution of XACT system

- **Aim:** Quantify the line spread function and edge response by imaging a lead block





By quantified full width at half maximum (FWHM) of the line spread function and the 10%-90% distance of edge response, the spatial resolution is 1.1mm.

- **Conclusion:**
 - the spatial resolution of the current XACT system is better than 1.1 mm.


Experiment VI: Measure the sizes of delivered beam

- Aim:** To verify the performance of the system in measuring the beam sizes of the X-ray beam.

Beam size: lateral 10mm,
axial 10-20mm(1mm per step)



XACT image
Yellow dashed box indicates delivered beam.




- Conclusion:**

- XACT imaging is able to measure the beam size of Truebeam system with high accuracy. The minimum size variable of **1mm** of a clinical linear accelerator can be detected.

Experiment VII: Tracking the movement of target

- Aim:** Test the dual-modality imaging system in monitoring the misalignment between the X-ray beam and the target (e.g., tumor).




- Conclusion:**

- This dual-modality (XACT and US) imaging system is capable of monitoring the misalignment between the target and the X-ray beam.

Experiment VIII: Real-time monitoring


- Aim:**


- Test the feasibility of the dual-modality imaging system for real-time monitoring of the misalignment between the X-ray beam and the target due to the sample motion




Beam Size: 15mm X 15mm

- Sample (gel phantom containing a rabbit liver) was moved along the Y-axis, driving by a linear translation stage.
- Moving speed: 2.5 mm/s
- Move distance 2.75 cm







Conclusions

- XACT systems were established and tested for their performance in guiding radiotherapy via the experiments on soft-tissue phantoms and an animal model.
- The delivered X-ray dose in local target tissue can be measured by the XACT systems with an accuracy of better than 2.9%.
- The spatial resolution of the XACT system is 1.1 mm (mainly limited by the X-ray pulse duration).
- An XACT and US dual-modality system can examine the misalignment between the X-ray beam and the target in a quasi real-time manner in vivo (US frame rate of 18 Hz and XACT frame rate of 0.09 Hz).

Thank you!

Sponsored by NCI under the grant number of R37CA222215.
