



Introduction to ionizing radiation acoustics: history, principles, and technologies

Katia Parodi, Ph.D. Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München (LMU Munich) Department of Medical Physics, Munich, Germany

Joint AAPM – COMP Virtual Annual Meeting



Introduction

In 1880, studying first optical-driven forms of communications (photophone), Bell realized that modulated light produces acoustic waves

"... sounds can be produced by the action of a variable light from substances of all kinds...". Bell, Am. J. Sci. 118, 305 (1880)

This "radiophonic" found a new revival with the laser developments in the 1960s, leading to the widely established biomedical field of opto/photoacoustics

Manohar & Razansky, Adv. Opt. Phot. 8, 586 (2016)





volume/density change, temperature rise is

Mass density

Transducer $\Delta T = \frac{H}{\rho_0 \cdot C_v}$ Heat energy density (E_H/V) ty Specific heat capacity at constant volume

Hickling et al Med Phys 45, e707 (2018), Parodi and Assmann, Mod Phys Lett A 30, 1540025 (2015)





The underlying principles of radiation acoustics

Acoustic wave propagation after radiation pulse in elastic and inertial medium

$$\nabla^2 p(\mathbf{r},t) - \frac{1}{v_s^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} p(\mathbf{r},t) = -\frac{\beta}{C_p} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} H(\mathbf{r},t)$$

Where the heat energy deposition can be assumed instantaneous if heating pulse width τ_{μ} satisfies

Thermal confinement:

$$\tau_{H} < \tau_{therm}$$
 (thermal relaxation time >> 100 ms)

Stress confinement:

$$\tau_H < \tau_{stress} = \frac{a}{v_s}$$
 (stress relaxation time, $\approx 0.7 \ \mu s$ for d = 1mm)

Otherwise
$$p(\mathbf{r},t) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dt' p_{\delta}(\mathbf{r},t-t') S(t')$$

Hickling et al Med Phys 45, e707 (2018)





The first investigations of radiation acoustics

Beam diagnostics

EXPERIMENTAL STUDIES OF THE ACOUSTIC SIGNATURE OF PROTON BEAMS TRAVERSING FLUID MEDIA* -3m-



Fig. 3. Detector arrangement for the linac experiment.

6. Conclusions

We have demonstrated that an observable acoustic signal is produced in a single transducer by charged particle depositions $\gtrsim 10^{14}$ eV ih fluid media. The source of the signal is dominantly thermal expansion Applications to beam monitor-ing, heavy ion experiments, high energy physics and cosmic ray physics are foreseeable

Sulak et al, NIM 161 (1979)





The photoacoustical radiation dosimeter has the following characteristics for diagnostic x-ray beams: (1) It has a linear response with radiation intensity at a fixed radiation quality. (2) It measures directly the energy fluence rate in the radiation beam when a totally absorbing detector is used. (3) It has an inverse frequency response for the case of an opaque thermally thin sample.

Mascarenhas et al, Med Phys 11 (1984)

In-vivo treatment monitoring

Acoustic Pulse Generated in a Patient During Treatment by Pulsed Proton Radiation Beam Pulsed p beam



Hydrophone

Hepatic cancer treatment

DISCUSSION

 $p_{\delta}(\mathbf{r},t) = \frac{1}{4\pi v_s^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left| \int d\mathbf{r}' \frac{p_0(\mathbf{r}')}{|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'|} \delta\left(t - \frac{|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'|}{v_s}\right) \right|$

(only possible for temporally varying sources!)

with S(t) temporal profile of heating source

To utilize acoustic pulse generation for non-invasive monitoring of three-dimensional dose distributions in a patient's body, a two-dimensional array of hydrophones must be created [12]. This two dimensional array of hydrophones may be applied in the echo technique allowing the three-dimensional dose distributions to be superimposed on the

Y. Hayakawa et al, Rad. Onc. Invest., 3, (1995)



The challenges of radiation acoustic detection

Ultrasonic detectors

- High sensitivity (e.g., in proton therapy ~mPa thermoacoustic pressure << ~100 kPa for US)
- Central frequency & bandwidth matched to application, depending on radiation quality and source temporal properties (e.g., for proton therapy at synchrocyclotrons ~10-50 kHz vs ~MHz for US)
- Space requirement / material budget









The workflow possibilities of radiation acoustics



Detection of radiation acoustics signal, ideally with multiple transducers enables

<text><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item>





Co-registration

20 MeV pulsed protons from tandem accelerator

Co-registration with optoacoustics (same curved transducer) and US (linear array transducer) in leg of sacrificed mouse

3D printed multimodal mouse Ionoacoustics/US co-registration with single sensor in heterogenous media



Preliminary Exp. results

Phantom CT scan (top: coronal & bottom: axial planes) Proton beam

> See also TU-A-TRACK 3-0 Lascaud ... Parodi, talk at Small Animal Precision IGRT conference; Lascaud ... Parodi, submitted to IEEE MIC 2020; Dash MSc thesis



Kellnberger, ... Parodi, Ntziachristos, Sci. Rep. 2016



Conclusions & Outlook

Radiation acoustics for beam diagnostics, dosimetry and treatment monitoring envisioned since 1980s

Current renaissance for medical application mainly due to wider availability of

- Pulsed radiation sources (LINACs, synchrocyclotrons, laser-driven accelerators, ...)
- Improved sensor technologies and computer power

Several ongoing projects for pre-clinical and clinical applications, including promise for FLASH therapy Next talks will review applications in

Photon therapy (S. Hickling)



Proton therapy (S. Avery)



Hickling et al Med Phys 45, e707 (2018)



Acknowledgement



X-ray imaging (L. Xiang)

0.2

(a)

- Issam El Naqa for organizing this session
- LMU Ionoacoustic team (J. Lascaud, H.P. Wieser, R. Kalunga, P.K. Dash, B. Wollant, W. Assmann) and collaborators at UniBWM (J. Schauer, G. Dollinger) & TUM/IBMI (Y. Huang, V. Ntziachristos)

rad

See also PO-GeP-T-80, PO-GeP-T-463, TU-A-TRACK 3-0



Further reading Ionizing radiation-induced acoustics for radiotherapy and diagnostic

Susannah Hickling ^{a)} Department of Physics & Medical Physics	Med. Phys. 45 (7), July 2018 Unit, McGill University, 1001 boul Decarie, Montreal, QC H4A 3J1, Canada
Liangzhong Xiang School of Electrical and Computer Engined	ering, University of Oklahoma, Norman, OK 73019, USA
Kevin C. Jones Department of Radiation Oncology, Rush I	University Medical Center, Chicago, IL 60612, USA
Katia Parodi and Walter Assmann Department of Medical Physics, Ludwig-M	aximilians-Universität, Garching b. München 85748, Germany
Stephen Avery Department of Radiation Oncology, Univer	sity of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia PA19104, USA
Maritza Hobson Medical Physics Unit, McGill University H Department of Oncology, Department of P	ealth Centre, Montreal, QC H4A 3J1, Canada hysics & Medical Physics Unit, McGill University, Montreal, QC H4A 3J1, Canada
Issam El Naqa Department of Radiation Oncology, Univer	rsity of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48103-4943, USA