

ACR Required QC Tests

Zaiyang Long, Ph.D. Department of Radiology, Mayo Clinic, MN AAPM Annual Meeting Jul. 28th

ACR Required Tests

Annual survey

- Physical and mechanical inspection
- Image uniformity and artifact survey
- System sensitivity
- Ultrasound scanner electronic image display performance
- Geometric accuracy (optional)
- Contrast resolution (optional)
- Spatial resolution (optional)
- Primary interpretation display performance (optional)
- Evaluation of QC program (if applicable)



Before getting started with testing...

 ACR recommends QC performed under supervision of a qualified medical physicist

Properly trained designee

Inventory establishment and verification

Phantoms and testing methods
Material acoustic properties



CIRS ATS 539



Physical and Mechanical Inspection

 Scanner and monitor: console cracks, damaged buttons/transducer holders, dysfunctional lights/switches/locks, dirty air filter, damaged power cords, contamination, etc.

 Transducer/cable: delamination, holes, air bubbles, separation, cable roll-over damage, exposed wiring, connector damage/bent pins, excessive dust, etc.



Physical and Mechanical Inspection







Promised dirty air filter















Image Uniformity and Artifact Survey

Multi-purpose or uniformity phantom

- Shallower imaging depth, lower dynamic range, turn off spatial compounding, max frame averaging
- Factors to consider when artifact(s) identified
 - Location, number, and severity (could use computerized tool)
 - Check impact of cable and port
 - Clinical practice reality





System Sensitivity (Depth of Penetration, DOP)

Subjective assessment

- Consistent imaging mode and transmit frequency, 100% power output, higher dynamic range, one focal zone (if relevant) deeper than expected DOP, turn off speckle reduction/smoothing
- Visually define the deepest depth with consistent presentation of speckles during live scan and measure this depth
- Compare with acceptable range or previous data
- Objective measurement (optional)
 - A pair of uniform-gel image and in-air image w/ same acquisition parameters (IEC 61391-2)





Scanner Display Performance - Qualitative

Clean display

MAYO

• Use test patterns to visually check luminance, contrast, resolution, pixel defect and other non-uniformities, etc.



Scanner Display Performance - Quantitative (optional)

- Measure luminance response
 - Maximum and minimum luminance
- If necessary and available, adjust monitor output and remeasure





Summary Form

Ultrasound/Breast Ultrasound Equipment Annual Survey Summary

Facility Name:		
UAP/BUAP #:	Unit #:	Report Date:
Serial Number:		Survey Date:
System Manufacturer:		Model:
Medical Physicist or designee (Print name):	
Medical Physicist or designee (Signature):		

Equipment Evaluation Tests

Required	Pass/Fail *	Comments
1. Physical and Mechanical Inspection		
2. Image Uniformity and Artifact Survey		
3. System Sensitivity		
4. Scanner Electronic Image Display Performance		
Were all clinically used transducers tested?	YES	NO
Optional		
1. Primary Interpretation Display Performance		
2. Contrast Resolution		
3 Spatial Resolution		
4 Geometric Accuracy		
Overall comments:	CR	
		nentation of tive action
L /		
*If any Fail result is indicated above, documentation	of corrective	action is required.

CLINIC

Medical Physics Annual Eq	uipment Performance Summary
Services for:	
Modality: Ultrasound	TMS Asset #:
Manufacturer:	Model:
Equipment ID:	Serial Number:
UAP ID #:	
WARS Location:	Room ID:
Survey Date:	Report Date:
Service Provider:	Service Provider Number:
Service Provider:	Service Provider Number:
Test Description	P = Pass F=Fail NA=Not Applicable
1. US System Inventory	
Transducer Inventory Verification	
2. US Scanner Evaluation	
Basic connectivity	
Physical & Mechanical Inspection Saf	
Image Uniformity and Artifact Survey, f	or each port
3. Electronic Image Display Performance	
Ultrasound Scanner	
Displays for primary interpretation of ult	
4. US Transducer Evaluation (Summary For	
Physical & Mechanical Inspection Saf	ety Evaluation
Image Uniformity and Artifact Survey	、
System Sensitivity (Depth of Penetration 5. Evaluation of QC Program	.)
Review of routine US QC program	
Comments and Recommendations (Additiona A Mayo C Roches	

Signature

Revised 10/27/2020

Acknowledgements

Donald Tradup, Scott Stekel, Dr. Nicholas Hangiandreou

Thank you for your attention!

