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1. Overview of SGRT for DIBH

DIBH Techniques
Advantages of DIBH

- Freeze organ/tumor motion
- Separate heart from target (breast, IMN)
- Increase total lung volume

Active Breathing Control (ABC) with Spirometer at William Beaumont

- Largest U.S. institutional experience:
  - 87 patients with ≤T2 disease
  - 50% treated with simple tangents only (FIF)
  - Median dose of 46Gy + 16Gy boost

- Compared to free-breathing (FB), moderate DIBH significantly decreased:
  - Mean heart dose (4.23Gy vs. 2.54Gy)
  - Mean left lung dose (9.08Gy vs. 7.86Gy)
  - All dosimetric parameters (V5, V10, V15, V20) for lung/heart

Voluntary Breath Hold vs. ABC

- 23 patients receiving 40 Gy in 15 fractions:
  - Randomized to v_DIBH or ABC_DIBH for 7 fractions & vice versa
  - Daily portal imaging & CBCT for 6 fractions
- No significant $\Delta$: setup errors, normal tissue doses
- Patients & therapists significantly preferred v_DIBH!

Real–Time Position Management (RPM) for voluntary Breath Hold

- No correlation between chestwall excursion & RPM displacement (Rong et al)
- IR surface markers to assess RPM for BH determined that target positioning accuracy was degraded because of inter-fraction baseline shifts which could be corrected using surface data (Fassi et al)

A strong relationship was found for most patients using linear regression between spirometer-derived ventilatory signal & SGRT.

“Drift [of spirometer-derived ventilatory signal] was probably due to an inadequate seal being formed by the patient’s lips around the spirometer mouthpiece allowing air to escape during breathing.”

SGRT could detect thoracic and abdominal volume changes during different modes of breathing (without baseline drift).

2. Workflow Issues

Patient Selection
Patient Selection for voluntary DIBH

- Compliance
- Reproducibility of BH
- Breast size or pendulous shape
- Dosimetric threshold?
2. Workflow Issues

CT simulation
CT Simulation: Acquire FB & DIBH Scans → DICOM Surfaces

FB

DIBH

FB & DIBH
CT Simulation: Immobilization

- Good immobilization is important to ensure reproducible position of surface relative to bony anatomy
- Passive immobilization with tattoo-less is possible with SGRT

2. Workflow Issues

Verification of Heart Position
Verification of Heart Position: MV portal

Mean heart dose reduced from 4.8Gy (FB) to 1.2Gy (vDIBH)
2. Workflow Issues

Bolus/Wedges/Mono-Isocenter/VMAT
Mono-Isocenter for Field Matching

- SGRT accuracy is better at isocenter (Wiersma et al 2013), which coincides with the matchline for plans in which tangential and supraclavicular fields have a common isocenter.
- Kügele et al showed that DIBH isocenter reproducibility with SGRT was better for patients with tangential and supraclavicular fields compared to those with tangential fields only, possibly due to the isocenter placement at the matchline rather than in deformable breast tissue.
- Xiao et al found significantly smaller setup errors in CC direction for 3-field vs 2-field DIBH treatments.

2. Workflow of SGRT for DIBH

Summary
DIBH Workflow

CT Simulation:
- Create SGRT-friendly immobilization
- Acquire scans at FB and vDIBH

Planning:
- Create accurate body contours (DICOM)
- Export plan and body contours to SGRT system

Prepare data in SGRT system:
- Import plan and body contours
- Select ROIs and settings including thresholds

Positioning for Treatment:
- Initial positioning with SGRT at FB
- Fine-tune positioning with SGRT at vDIBH
- X-ray based target localization (e.g., kV/MV ports) to confirm vDIBH position at least once per week and for troubleshooting as needed

Treatment:
- SGRT monitoring of DICOM vDIBH surface
- If motion exceeds tolerance, coach patient to vDIBH
- If motion continues to exceed tolerance, return to “Positioning for Treatment”
3. Common Pitfalls

Patient Changes:
Breathhold Pattern
Identifying Changes in Breathhold Pattern
Identifying Changes in Breathhold Pattern

Planning CT DIBH

Repeat CT DIBH2
Identifying Changes in Breathhold Pattern

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CT1</th>
<th>CT2</th>
<th>FB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean Heart Dose (Gy)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lung V20Gy</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>17.7%</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
MV Ports Pre & Post Re-simulation

Before

After
Potential Solutions

- Verify with internal x-ray imaging
- Adapt the plan as necessary
- Understand that variability exists!
3. Common Pitfalls

Patient Changes: Anatomic Changes
WBRT Example:
First Day Orthogonal kV Films
WBRT Example:
First Day Tangent MV Films
WBRT Example: First Day ‘Breast’ ROI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VRT $\text{mm}$</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LNG $\text{mm}$</td>
<td>-0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAT $\text{mm}$</td>
<td>-2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAG $\text{mm}$</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yaw $\degree$</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roll $\degree$</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pitch $\degree$</td>
<td>-0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
WBRT Example:
24th Treatment Tangent Films
WBRT Example: 24th Fraction ‘Breast’ ROI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VRT mm</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LNG mm</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAT mm</td>
<td>-5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAG mm</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yaw °</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roll °</td>
<td>-1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pitch °</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Potential Solutions

- Clinical team to investigate
3. Common Pitfalls

Learning Curve for SGRT
Potential breast swelling?
**WBRT Example:**

**AlignRT Detects **升高** Pitch & VRT on Day 7**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VRT mm</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LNG mm</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAT mm</td>
<td>-1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAG mm</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yaw °</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roll °</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pitch °</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Diagram showing beam enabled and alignment details.
WBRT Example: AlignRT No Pitch or VRT on Day 8

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VRT mm</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LNG mm</td>
<td>-1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAT mm</td>
<td>-1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAG mm</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yaw °</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roll °</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pitch °</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Breast matches DRR
Potential Solutions

• Train users to look at the surface images and not just the registration outputs
• Sufficient resources (QMP) must be devoted to implementation

Conclusions

- SGRT guidance of DIBH reduces OAR doses, improves efficiency & treatment quality
- TG-302 discusses workflow recommendations for DIBH
- Common pitfalls:
  - Patient changes: anatomic, breathhold, skin darkening
  - Learning curve
  - Lack of congruence with x-ray may be related to immobilization
- Potential solutions:
  - Good immobilization
  - Develop departmental workflows
  - Support therapists & communicate with MDs
Thank you for your attention!
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