

From Pre-clinical to Vet-clinical imaging and therapy: Pathways to clinical translation

SAM multi-disciplinary scientific symposium

July 13th 2022

Session outline

- 1st section: Pre-clinical technology
 - Ken Wang: Introduction of recent progress in small animal technology
 - John Wong: FLASH radiation therapy: the road to translation
 - Ken Wang: Bioluminescence tomography-guided system for pre-clinical radiation research
 - Q&A (2 mins)
- 2nd section: Veterinary science
 - <u>Parminder Basran</u>: AAPM working group on veterinary radiation oncology and medical physics
 - <u>Del Leary</u>: State of the art in veterinary radiation oncology and medical physics
 - Kim Selting: A veterinary radiation oncologist perspective on clinical translation
 - Q&A (2 mins)





Pre-clinical technology; Introduction of recent progress in small animal technology

Ken Wang Biomedical Imaging and Radiation Technology Laboratory (BIRTLab) Department of Radiation Oncology

High precision small animal irradiators – SARRP & X-RAD SmART

- The major technology developments for pre-clinical radiation research.
- Primary goal of these systems is to mimic human treatment, bridging the technological gaps of human medicine and pre-clinical research
- CBCT-guided focal irradiation
- Commercialized around 2011, > 150 units world-wide

Small animal radiation research platform (SARRP)





TT Soutilhwesterni Medical Center

EDITORIAL

Preclinical Models for Translational Research Should Maintain Pace With Modern Clinical Practice

Joshua T. Dilworth, MD, PhD, Sarah A. Krueger, PhD, George D. Wilson, PhD, and Brian Marples, PhD

Single field Image-guided 3 field 1.0 Α 0.9 С SARRP 0.8 0.7 8.0 Survival 0.3 0.2 Single plane Sham 0.1 0.0 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 Time post implant (days)

- (10Gy/week over 6 weeks); Mice with U87 tumor treated with single beam without imaging showed tumor growth and most met criteria for sacrifice before receiving 30 Gy.
- Image-guided irradiation shows significant tumor control over traditional single beam irradiation emphasizing the importance of technology development.

International Journal of Radiation Oncology biology • physics

Vol. 88, No. 3, pp. 540-544, 2014

Technology enables high precision radiobiology studies



Brown et al, ctRO, (2022) 34, 112-119

- Following commercialization of small animal irradiators in 2011, an increase in biology focused publications was observed.
- For physics research, dosimetry, planning, imaging and platform development are areas with major efforts.





Total=138

TLD mail audit system for small animal irradiators

- Dr. Rebecca Howell group from MD Anderson recently developed a mail-based, peer review system to verify dose delivery for X-RAD 225Cx irradiators (Precision X-Ray) to support study reproducibility -Gronberg *et al.* Radiat Res. 193, 4, 341, 2020.
 - A robust, user-friendly mouse phantom was constructed from high-impact polystyrene



- Dimensions similar a typical laboratory mouse
- Accommodates 3 TLD to measure dose



- Anticipated launch date spring 2023
- TLD dose calculated using TG-191 formalism
 - Required K_Q characterization for each type of irradiator and beam quality

A sparse orthogonal collimator for small animal IMRT

- Clinically used MLC is impractical for miniaturization, Dr. Ke Sheng's group proposed a simpler sparse orthogonal collimator (SOC) for delivering small animal IMRT with a rectangular aperture optimization (RAO) TPS.
- To perform clinically similar treatment techniques and increase the translatability of preclinical research.

4 pairs of double focused orthogonal leaves, SOC



Med. Phys. 46, 12, 5733 Med. Phys. 46, 12, 5703







Multi-modality image-guided system

- Dr. Xun Jia's group incorporates
 - Photon-counting multi-energy CBCT to improve material differentiation and hence dose calculation.
 - PET-based functional image guidance
 - Rectangular jaw-based IMRT
 - GPU-based treatment planning with MC dose calculations

expected to achieve high precision and efficient functional imageguided irradiation





Beyond irradiation guidance – metastasis detection

• Accurate detection of liver metastasis (~ 1 mm size) through integrated BLT/CT



Unpublished data (Dr. Yidong Yang group)

X-ray FLASH SARRP

 Xstrahl and Hopkins established a cabinet FLASH X-ray irradiator for pre-clinical studies.



R01CA262097 – Academic industrial partnership

Rezaee et al. Ultrahigh dose-rate (FLASH) x-ray irradiator for pre-clinical laboratory research. *Phys Med Biol* **66** 095006 (2021).



RADIATION ONCOLOGY & MOLECULAR RADIATION SCIENCES

FLASH radiation therapy: the road to translation

John Wong

Acknowledgment

JHU School of Medicine

Mohammad Rezaee Devin Miles Daniel Sforza Phuoc Tran Akila Viswanathan

<u>JHU Mechanical Engineering</u> Iulian Iordachita <u>NIH/NCI AIP R01 CA262097</u> (PI: Mohammad Rezaee)

University of Pennsylvania

Costas Koumenis Anastasia Velalopoulou

Xstrahl Inc.

Merle Reinhart Robert Lukasic

JW: No financial disclosure on FLASH Irradiator; Provisional Patent filed

Fast forward to the new excitement of FLASH

- Transformative FLASH RT at 100x 1000x conventional dose rate
 - lowers normal tissue toxicity and maintains tumor control
 - Uncertain required thresholds of minimal dose rate and dose
- Mechanisms complex and unresolved
 - Concentrated of ionization events in ultra-short time frame
 - Radiation chemistry implicated; "avoided" in ionization dosimetry
- Physics focuses (as usual) on the technology and measurement of FLASH
- How do we translate and prescribe FLASH?
 - --- Call for pre-clinical FLASH research



Pre-clinical FLASH Irradiation Technologies: Particle Accelerators

IBA Cyclotron, 230 MeV, 40-100 Gy/s



Oriatron Accelerator, 5.6 MeV e- beam, \rightarrow 300 Gy/s



Clinical Linear Accelerator, 9 MeV beam, 74 Gy/s



- Most irradiators (linacs, laser plasma, synchrotron, etc) are complex machines
- Not readily accessible for preclinical laboratory research.

X-Ray versus Electron Beams

Geant 4 dose distributions in water phantom under ideal conditions:

- Planar square field
- infinite SSD

Lateral (e-) and depth (xray) dose gradients confound outcome assessment



5x5 mm field

Parallel Opposing fluoro-tubes: Depth Dose Rate for Single Pulse FLASH Irradiation



FLASH Cabinet System



Dosimetry of a Prototype Single FLASH X-Ray Tube



Devin Miles, PhD







Skin Toxicity Study: X-ray FLASH Effects





FLASH --- Translation Challenges

- Non-trivial criteria of absolute dose and dose rate for FLASH
 - Organ and end-point dependence
- Challenges of FLASH for 3D conformal irradiation
 - What are FLASH effects for partial organ vs total organ irradiation?
 - Are FLASH effects from individual beams independent?
- What are the temporal and spatial factors in FLASH RT (PBS)?
 - Are FLASH and GRID complementary?
 - Explore FLASH and GRID irradiation using x-rays

Pre-clinical x-ray pencil beam ---Collimated FLASH x-ray beams



1 cm

- Array of drilled 2mm dia.
 Apertures in a 25 mm-squared,
 2.5 mm thick lead plate
- 8 mm (d) from x-ray window
- 2 mm above phantom surface with spacer







Flash-Grid beam – 150 kVp, ~ 50 Gy/s, "idealized" alignment

• Minimal dose floor (valley) for grid delivery



Parallel Opposed 2 mm FLASH beams



Conclusions and Discussions

- Platforms for Preclinical Radiation Research
 - FLASH effects in normal tissues are confirmed with x-rays
 - Mechanistic and Translational studies are needed
- Many questions remain with pre-clinical radiation research
 - Validation of TCP, NTCP; what is the "target volume" ?
 - Research with combination therapeutics
- Pressing issue
 - Is pre-clinical radiation research reproducible and generalizable
 - -- challenges of target delineation, trials, data sharing

What is the target volume? --- The case of tumor microenvironment



Irradiation with a central block (n=4): Tumor regression --- 100% at 20 Gy; 50% at 10 Gy







Pre-clinical technology; Bioluminescence tomography-guided system for pre-clinical radiation research

Ken Wang Biomedical Imaging and Radiation Technology Laboratory (BIRTLab) Department of Radiation Oncology

Limitations of CBCT/CT-guided RT



- Limit in soft tissue target localization
- Unable provide functional information



- High contrast soft tissue imaging for localization
- Bioluminescence light related to cell viability →
 Quantitative imaging for treatment assessment

→ Integrate bioluminescence (BL) imaging with small animal irradiator to improve in vivo localization

UT Southwestern Medical Center

Bioluminescence

- Cells(or bacteria/virus) are engineered with Luciferase (Luc) gene, and grow them in animals.
- After Luciferin is injected, bioluminescence from the cells is emitted.
- High sensitivity and specificity imaging.
- One popular BL reporter is firefly luciferase emitting at 450 700 nm.



The need for 3D BL tomography (BLT) - localization



- 2D surface BL image (BLI) is a function of the optical path from the light source position and can be confounding for an irregularly shaped animal.
- 2D BLI is **inadequate** to support accurate radiation guidance
- 3D target shape is fundamental need for conformal irradiation.
 - Goal: Retrieve 3D target distribution using BLT

Workflow of Quantitative BLT(QBLT)-guided RT



UTSouthwestern Medical Center

In vivo QBLT validation with GBM model

 GL261-Luc2, 2nd week after cell implantation

GTV: gross target volume

GTV: contrast labelled GBM GTV_{QBLT}: BLT reconstructed GBM volume



 $\,\circ\,$ BLT qualitatively retrieved the in vivo GBM shape.

UTSouthwestern Medical Center

Margin to account for uncertainties



- Ave. CoM deviation (n = 10) between GTV and GTV_{QBLT} is 0.62 ± 0.16 mm.
- Considering the uncertainty of GTV_{QBLT} in target positioning and volume delineation, we add a margin for radiation guidance.
- The margin size was determined by tumor and normal tissue coverage. For our data cohort,
 0.5-mm margin allows PTV_{QBLT} covering 97.9 ± 3.5% GTV and 1.2 ± 0.3% normal tissue.

QBLT-guided irradiation vs. conventional single field



Single field: Dose prescribed at 3 mm depth (yellow dot) from surgical opening where cells were implanted.

- Significant underdose is shown in the single-field irradiation at prescribed dose (5Gy).
- QBLT-guided irradiation allows clinic similar delivery and largely improves GTV coverage.

Treatment response by 2D vs. 3D-guided RT



The challenge to localize movable tumor - pancreas



- Abdominal tumor, i.e. pancreatic tumor, not only suffers low CBCT contrast but also motion.
- Challenging for fractionation study
- Large collimator is unavoidable to irradiate tumor but sacrifice organ at risk.
- Commercial single projection BLI system can confound longitudinal studies.

Molkentine et al. Sci. Rep. 9, 1949, 2019

Deng et al. Proc. of SPIE 1122409, 2020

Multi-projection BLT for orthotopic pancreatic tumor model



- BLT-guided conformal irradiation.
- 1.5 mm margin applied to GTV_{BLT.}
- Non-coplanar 6-arc conformal plan. 5 Gy was prescribed to cover 95% of PTV_{BLT.}

- Tumor is in size of 3 mm in diameter for the implantation, and Ti wire is placed inside the tumor/approximated GTV (aGTV).
- The center of mass (CoM) between the BLT volume and the wire is 1.0 mm.
- The high-dose isodose curves are conformally constrained around the PTV_{BLT}, largely reducing dose to normal tissue compared to conventional APPA irradiation.

Academic-industrial partnership- BLT MuriGlo



- AIP translates our know-how to industrial partner to disseminate our development to society.
- Mirror system + transparent bed design allows 360^o projection
- The bed is transportable and compatible with SARRP and SmART irradiators to integrate the BLT-guided system.



NIH R37 CA230341

Summary

• Quantitative bioluminescence tomography (QBLT) provides a new imaging capability to define targets for high precision conformal irradiation and support study reproducibility.

Acknowledgements

BIRTLab Zijian Deng Xiangkun Xu YuPei Tseng Lixiang Guo Banghao Zhao Zhishen Tong

Ciara Newman

Johns Hopkins University John Wong Iulian Iordachita

Xstrahl Ltd Paul Tsouchlos Merle Reinhart

Adrian Treverton

rsity University of Birmingham Hamid Dehghani

Hamid Denghani

Stanford University

Michael Lim

Grant support

NIH R01/R37 CA230341(AIP-FT/BLT) NIH R01 CA240811(QBLT) NIH R21 CA223403(BLTpancreas) CPRIT RR200042(Optics/FLASH)

