Pre-treatment 4D-MRI at Johns Hopkins: methods development using an external surrogate



Collaborators:

Teboh Roland, Ph.D. Russell Hales, M.D. Todd McNutt, Ph.D Joseph Herman, M.D. John Wong, Ph.D. Project has received personnel support from Siemens:

Steven Shea, Ph.D. Aaron Flammang, Ph.D.

SIEMENS



Erik Tryggestad, Ph.D. The Johns Hopkins University S.O.M. Dept. of Radiation Oncology and Mol. Rad. Sci. (No conflicts)

4D-MRI developments at Johns Hopkins:



Motivation: "Breathing Motion" is highly subject to variation.

<u>Hypothesis</u>: Optimally for RT, "breathing motion" requires a more complete, statistically robust description. Existing techniques are deficient.

Overall Goal: Pre-treatment motion characterization for treatment planning and optimization

<u>Method 1</u>: 2D-to-4D sorting of dynamic MRI (dMRI) to derive a representation of the subjects' average breathing cycle

<u>Method 2</u>: 4D tumor tracking using orthogonal 2D dMRI slice planes

IRB-approved methods development study in volunteers nearing completion.

JHU method 1 goals:



•With clinical workflow and practicality in mind, develop methods to derive a robust, representative "4D-MRI" for direct application to RTP similar to present utility of 4D-CT

Derived motion bins must be *probabalistic* so as to be employed for the various flavors of motion management *E.g., phase-binned 4D-CT provides motion bins* with equal probability

Surrogate respiratory signal is used to provide a correlative link between external and internal motion, as in the application of 4D-CT with gating

•This "4D-MRI" method should, in particular, better address problems associated with variable breathing

JHU method 1: image acquisition





e 2D, multi-Coronal) dy AGNETOM E



stepped acquisition (slice 1,2,3...1,2,3)
2x2 mm² pixels in plane
5 mm slice profile
acquisition speed: 4 to 5 frames/sec (coronal and sagittal, respectively)
strong blood signal w/o contrast on board



HASTE (Half-Fourier Single-Shot Turbo Spin Echo):
•interleaved acquisition (slice 2,4,6...1,3,5...)
•2x2 mm² pixels in plane
•5 mm slice profile
•acquisition speed: 2.85 – 3.33 frames/sec.

 acquisition speed: 2.85 – 3.33 frames/sec. (coronal and sagittal respectively)
 strong fat signal; good abdominal contrast

JHU method 1: simultaneous respiratory monitoring



Acquire external respiratory signal (Physiological Monitoring Unit -- PMU)



Time (seconds)

PMU logging:

synchronized with the image acquisition computer (well, in principle, anyway)
auto started/stopped within sequence run
sampled at ~50 Hz

JHU method 1: post-processing





AAPM 54th Annual Meeting, Charlotte, NC, July 2012

6

4D-MRI. E. Tryggestad

JHU method 1:



Analysis of PMU surrogate to determine respiratory intervals



•The "Moving Average" [Lu et al., Med Phys. 2006; 33 (10)] is quantified to aid in identification of maxima/minima.

•Amplitude binning is based on "Amplitude Probability" which is being explored to potentially improve the first-pass sorting.

Note: this method is an adaptation of that presented by Olsen et al. [IJROBP 2008; 70 (1): 243]

4D-MRI. E. Tryggestad

JHU method 1: Example results for 1st-pass reconstruction





10-slice bSSFP-sagittal in lung volunteer (dark blood pulse on)
10-phase-bin reconstruction
average frames/bin/slice = 17

JHU method 1: Example results for 1st-pass reconstruction

Slice 4/9



Ave 4D-MRI

MIP 4D-MRI

St. Dev. 4D-MRI



80

60

40

20

Slice 5/9



Slice 6/9

9-slice HASTE-coronal in lung volunteer
10-phase-bin reconstruction
average frames/bin/slice = 26

100

80

60

40

20

AAPM 54th Annual Meeting, Charlotte, NC, July 2012

40

20

JHU method 1: Example results for 1st-pass reconstruction

60

40

20



Ave 4D-MRI

MIP 4D-MRI









Slice 8/10

St. Dev. 4D-MRI



10-slice bSSFP-sagittal in lung volunteer – <u>highly variable breather</u>
10-phase-bin reconstruction
average frames/bin/slice = 32

AAPM 54th Annual Meeting, Charlotte, NC, July 2012

100

80

60

40

20

JHU method 1: Example 1st pass result for amplitude-probability vs. phase binning





•Example lung volunteer exhibiting highly regular breathing

JHU method 1:



Normalized cross-correlation based scoring to determine best matching frames for 2nd pass reconstruction



JHU method 1: Example results for 2nd-pass reconstruction



Ave 4D-MRI (ROI for NormXCorr) Ave 4D-MRI (Zoomed)

De-Blurred 4D-MRI (Zoomed; N=3)

Slice 17/20







Slice 19/20

•bSSFP in lung volunteer (dark blood pulse on) - 30 min. acquisition •10-phase-bin reconstruction •average frames/slice/bin = 30

JHU method 1: Example results for 2nd-pass reconstruction



Ave 4D-MRI (ROI for NormXCorr)

Ave 4D-MRI (Zoomed)

De-Blurred 4D-MRI (Zoomed; N=3)

Slice 6/10















•bSSFP in lung volunteer •10-phase-bin reconstruction •average frames/slice/bin = 32

Conclusions for JHU method 1:



•We have successfully demonstrated feasibility of this method in volunteers using two readily-available sequences

•Diaphragm signal or other similar image-based surrogates can be used as surrogate for sorting

•Technique will be ready for prime-time when PMU issues (not discussed) are resolved

If we assert: 50 sagittal slices covering patient w/ 10 bins ; 15 frames/bin/slice
 → 7500 raw 2D frames, <u>about 30 minutes required</u>
 Hence, increased frame rates would be beneficial for scanning commensurate anatomical volumes (as 4D-CT), potentially in multiple orientations, in a realistic MRI simulation appointment (30-60 minutes)

JHU method 2 goals/applications:



Goal:

Pre-treatment, perform "true" 4D tracking of the tumor (center of mass) using dMRI over a sufficiently long duration to adequately characterize "breathing motion" (variability)

Example Applications (not exhaustive list!): •Derive the "dynamic internal margin" Coolens et al. [PMB 53(16) 2008]

•Derive the spatial-3D probability density function for tumor motion

•Study of spatial-temporal correlations of traditional target motion surrogates such as an external respiratory trace or skin/surface markers

•Determine which potential breathing management strategy is best suited for the given patient, e.g., motion-encompassing (ITV), 4D-probabalistic, gating, breath-holding or tracking

JHU Method 2: image acquisition



Acquire orthogonal 2D (Sagittal + Coronal) dMRI Siemens MAGNETOM Espree 1.5T





TrueFISP (bSSFP):

•interleaved acquisition (sag, coronal, sag...)

•2x2 mm² pixels in plane

•slice thickness adjusted for motion out-of-plane

•Acquisition speed: ≈4 frames/sec.

JHU method 2: simultaneous respiratory monitoring



Acquire external respiratory signal (Physiological Monitoring Unit -- PMU)



Time (seconds)

PMU logging:

synchronized with the image acquisition computer
auto started/stopped within sequence run
sampled at 50 Hz

JHU Method 2: post processing











JHU method 2:



Example lung volunteer tracking result, cont'd.

•Tracking over last 4.5 minutes of 9.1 minute study

•Volunteer instructed to relax and breathe normally – breathing motion is highly variable

•Two discernable breathing modes detected

•In the "quiescent" state, the PMU correspondence is essentially lost





Here in same volunteer we investigate the correlation between the PMU amplitude and the internal motion of the tracked vessels:



•Each frame compares data/fit from 1 min. portion of tracking data to fit over full 9.1 min.

JHU method 2:



Example lung volunteer tracking result, cont'd.

Tracking over last 4.5 minutes of 9.1 minute study
A typical 4D-CT scan may scan through the tumor in ~10 seconds
Suppose we compare the full-duration PDF vs. 10-second trajectories:



JHU method 2:



Example lung volunteer tracking result, cont'd.

A typical 4D-CT scan may scan through the tumor in ~10 seconds
Suppose we compare the full motion ITV vs. 10-second snapshot ITVs for a 1.5 and 3.0 cm (diameter) hypothetical GTV





•Numerical 4D dose accumulation simulations

Suppose we "scan through" the tumor at times t=130-140 sec. and generate an ITV plan:

•GTV diameter = 1.5 cm

•PTV = ITV + 1 mm uniform expansion

•D_{Rx, PTV} = 18 Gy

•Quasi-realistic dose cloud: uniform in PTV; dose fall-off given by Gaussian tail with 3.5 mm sigma

•Assume "shift invariance"





•Numerical 4D dose accumulation simulations to illustrate utility









Suppose we now move our 10-second snapshot ITV target definition time window iteratively across the entire tracking duration
 For each iteration perform a 4D dose accumulation for the dose cloud derived from the

trial ITV (1.5 cm GTV) using the motion information from the entire duration



Conclusions for JHU method 2:



•We have successfully demonstrated feasibility of this method in volunteers.

•Current focus is on finding practical ways to incorporate this information into clinical workflow.

•Truly 3D dMRI is highly desirable : e.g., tumor deformation can also be tracked and characterized; more complete study of potential internal/external surrogates can be performed. Tradeoffs between image quality and speed currently challenge this approach.

General concluding remarks:



•Dynamic MRI has matured and is ripe for more widespread application in radiotherapy

•More investigation is needed to dosimetrically (and perhaps clinically) demonstrate that "4D-MRI" provides advantages over our present 4D imaging (4D-CT) so as to justify the required investments

•Realistic phantom studies are needed to evaluate the geometrical robustness of the dMRI sequences