MAYO CLINIC

X-ray fluoroscopy imaging in the invasive cardiac laboratory: Medical physics support of a contemporary practice

Kenneth A. Fetterly, Ph.D.

2013 AAPM Spring Clinical Meeting

Outline

- 1. Introduction to the cardiac invasive laboratory
- 2. Introduction to interventional x-ray systems
- 3. System performance testing
- 4. Strategies for patient dose reduction
- 5. Novel, new, and emerging technologies



1. Introduction to the invasive cardiovascular laboratory

- Type of procedures
- Imaging equipment
- X-ray imaging tasks



Coronary artery disease Partially occluded circumflex artery





Coronary artery disease Stent deployment by balloon inflation





Coronary artery disease Post-intervention patent artery





Ablation for atrial fibrillation





Transcatheter aortic valve replacement





2. Interventional x-ray systems Major components



MAYO

Image receptor Anti-scatter grid X-ray detector, fixed target dose

Patient

X-ray attenuation X-ray scatter Radiosensitive

X-ray tube

X-ray energy (kVp) Current (ma) Frame rate (s⁻¹) Pulse duration (ms) Beam filtration (mm Cu)

System control The basics

- Automatic exposure rate control (AERC)
 - Image detector has a program-specific target dose
 - Patient attenuates primary beam
 - X-ray tube output is adjusted to achieve detector target dose
 - For fluoro, maximum air kerma rate is regulated
- Output variables
 - Tube current
 - Peak tube potential
 - Pulse duration
 - Focal spot size
 - Filter composition and thickness (fixed or dynamic)



System control Experimental setup



FIG. 1. Experimental/geometrical arrangement. Ionization chamber 1 is employed for monitoring patient air kerma rate (PAKR) while ionization chamber 2 is employed for recording the flat panel input air kerma rate (FPIAKR).



Lin, Med Phys, 2007(34)

System control A modern example



Lin, Med Phys, 2007(34)



System control A modern example



Lin, Med Phys, 2007(34)



System control Effect of field size, traditional II Normal

Mag



MAYO



System control Effect of field size, traditional II

- Traditional image intensifier (II) automatic brightness control (ABC) maintained image brightness
 - Constant light intensity from the output phosphor
 - X-ray tube output was then inversely proportional to input intensifier field area
 - Patient dose was inversely proportional to field area and increased with decreasing FOV (or increasing electronic magnification)

System control Effect of field size, FP system Normal

System control Effect of field size, FP system

- Flat panel (FP) image receptor systems control image brightness via image processing
 - There is not a technical need to change dose rate as a function of field size, or Mag mode
 - For new systems, detector target dose varies as a function of field size
 - Tube output vs FOV relationship is usually inversely proportional to FOV area or to linear FOV size.
 - As FOV decreases, displayed image Mag increases, the density of photons incident on the target anatomy increases, and the image looks better (and patient dose increases)

3. System performance testing

- Resolution
- Beam quality
- Contrast to noise ratio
- Air kerma rate and patient entrance dose rate

Resolution

- Influenced by
 - Detector resolution
 - Focal spot penumbral blur
 - Image matrix resize for display
 - Image processing (noise reduction and detail enhancement)

Resolution

- Include object plane measurements
 - Use clinically relevant range of distances
- Test small (fluoro, acquisition) and large (acquisition) focal spots
- Test relevant FOVs
 - Watch for 2x2 pixel binning for large FOVs

Resolution Geometric magnification and penumbral blur

Mag = 1

Mag = 1.5

Small focal spot

Large focal spot

Resolution Influence of focal spot, geometric magnification, and focal spot penumbral blur

Resolution Effect of image processing, Mag = 1.5

Processed

Unprocessed

Small focal spot

Large focal spot

MAYO CLINIC

Beam quality

- Combination of kVp and HVL
- Legal minimum HVL values are too low to optimize image quality vs. patient dose
- Cu filters are used to remove low energy photons from the beam
 - Routinely used for fluoroscopy
 - Should also be standard for all acquisition imaging
 - 0.1 mm Cu reduces K by 40% and CNR by 10%

HVL with Cu filtration 0.1 mm Cu increases HVL by 35% to 45%

Contrast to noise ratio

- Human observer contrast and CNR phantom assessment is imprecise
- CNR measurements are straightforward
 - Use unprocessed image data
- CNR measurements provide insight into relationship between image quality and patient entrance air kerma rate
 - As a function of patient size
 - For various imaging modes

10 cm phantom 62 kVp 0.9 mm Cu 800 ma 6.8 ms 7.3 mGy/min

CNR = 4.3

20 cm phantom 68 kVp 0.2 mm Cu 800 ma 6.8 ms 112 mGy/min

CNR = 3.9

30 cm phantom 95 kVp 0.1 mm Cu 800 ma 7.0 ms 570 mGy/min

CNR = 2

35 cm phantom 105 kVp 0.1 mm Cu 700 ma 10.1 ms 1,060 mGy/min

CNR = 1.5

Contrast to noise ratio Acquisition and fluoroscopy for 4 systems

Air kerma monitoring Important points in space

- 1. Air kerma reference point
 - fixed point in space at which patient air kerma $(K_{a,r})$ is reported
 - from isocenter •, 15 cm toward the xray tube
- 2. FDA air kerma rate limit (range)
 - variable location to compare air kerma rate to the FDA limit
 - from detector, 30 cm toward x-ray tube
- 3. Patient skin (range)
 - variable location of patient skin surface
 - minimum possible distance determined by the x-ray tube cone

NCRP 2010

FDA regulation fluoroscopy air kerma limit

- Legal maximum air kerma rate (K_{FDA}) is 10 R/min (88 mGy/min) for normal fluoroscopy
 - At a distance of 30 cm on the x-ray tube side of the image receptor (¹)

Patient dose monitoring

- On-board air kerma-area product (P_{KA}) meter (or calibrated algorithm) measures x-ray tube output
- Air kerma at the reference point ($K_{a,r}$) calculated from P_{KA} , x-ray beam size, and distances
 - Reference point defined as 15 cm on the x-ray tube side of the system isocenter (•)
 - ± 35% tolerance is allowed
 - \pm 35% tolerance allows for reported K_{a,r} and P_{KA} values that differ by 2x for the same tube output
 - Recommendation use ± 20% tolerance

Real-world skin dose rate

- Given geometry of clinical procedures, instantaneous K_{a,r} rate as reported by system can exceed K_{FDA}
 - by more than 2x!
- Dependent on patient position within the beam, patient entrance K rate can be even higher!
- Finally, multiply for back-scatter factor 1.4, and then...
- Instantaneous patient skin dose rate can be as high as 280 mGy/min
 - Skin dose of 2 Gy in 7.1 minutes!

Real-world patient entrance air kerma rate

for low dose rate System 2 managed for high image quality

Red for maximum air kerma rate

Air kerma rate

- Should be measured for a clinically relevant range of patient sizes and imaging modes
 - Not just to satisfy the 88 mGy/min legal limit!
- Know how air kerma rate responds to patient size for fluoroscopy
 - Test low, normal, and high rate modes
 - Nominal 2x change between modes should be expected for average-sized patients
 - 44 mGy/min max air kerma rate modes are of limited utility for adult patients

Air kerma rate

- Understand how air kerma rate responds to patient size for acquisition imaging modes (cine, DSA)
 - There is not a legal maximum acquisition mode air kerma rate
 - Actual maximum acquisition air kerma rate often exceeds 2,000 mGy min⁻¹.
 - Given geometry and BSF, instantaneous skin dose rate can exceed 3,000 mGy min⁻¹.
 - 2 Gy in 40 seconds.

Patient radiation air kerma rate

- Even with properly functioning interventional fluoroscopy systems, patient radiation air kerma rates can be very high
- High air kerma is associated with
 - Detector target dose
 - Frame rate
 - Lack of beam filtration
 - Large patients
 - Steep projection angles
 - Long x-ray tube to detector distance
 - Short x-ray tube to patient skin distance

4. Strategies for patient dose reduction

- Patient air kerma monitoring
- Spectral filtration
- Detector target air kerma
- Frame rate
- Low, normal, and high air kerma rate fluoroscopy
- Patient size and projection angle
- Custom settings for pediatric patients

Patient air kerma monitoring

- Record procedure cumulative air kerma (K_{a,r}) for each patient procedure
- Review K_{a,r} monthly
 - Look for temporal trends
 - Investigate outliers, especially high
 - Differences in procedure room, physician, etc.
 - Quantify effect of changes
- There are many opportunities for quality improvement related to patient dose

Spectral filtration

- Quite possibly the simplest way to reduce patient skin dose
- 0.1 mm Cu
 - reduces patient dose by 40%
 - decreases CNR by 10%
 - Use Cu for all imaging modes, not just fluoro

Detector target air kerma

- Patient K rate ~ target K
- By default, systems are configured to provide excellent image quality
- Reduce target K to reduce patient dose
- Reduce in 10% 20% increments to minimize immediate clinical impact
- Set default fluoro to very low level
- Provide a fall-back plan to the physicians to return to the 'old' settings during the procedure

Frame rate

- 30 fps
 - High patient K and/or low K per frame
 - Not necessary and should be avoided entirely for adult patients
 - Useful for small pediatric patients with very rapid heart motion

• 15 fps

- Current standard for adult cath acquisition imaging
- Standard for fluoroscopy at most sites

Frame rate

- 7.5 fps
 - Adequate for most adult fluoroscopy
 - Expect nominal ½ dose reduction compared to 15 fps
 - For large patients, allows higher K per frame within max K rate range
 - Watch for systems for which K rate is not proportional to frame rate!

Low, normal, and high dose rate fluoroscopy

- Low fluoro
 - Set lowest clinically useful fluoro dose rate as the system default
 - Frame rate 7.5 fps
 - Detector target dose as low as possible
 - Strive for low dose with adequate image quality rather than excellent image quality with high dose rate
 - Set maximum rate to 88 mGy/min to maintain utility for large patients
 - Maximum rate 44 mGy/min is of limited utility because IQ is inadequate for large patients

Low, normal, and high dose rate fluoroscopy

- Normal fluoro
 - 7.5 fps to 15 fps and/or detector increased K
 - Should have improved image quality compared to low fluoro
 - Set maximum rate to 88 mGy/min to maintain utility for large patients
 - Use when low fluoro does not provide adequate image quality
 - Never use 30 fps fluoroscopy for adult cath procedures

Low, normal, and high dose rate fluoroscopy

- High fluoro
 - 7.5 fps to 15 fps and/or increased detector K
 - Never use 30 fps
 - Should have improved image quality compared to normal fluoro
 - Set maximum rate to 174 mGy/min to provide maximum fluoro image quality (audible alert required)
 - Use very sparingly and only when excellent fluoro image quality is necessary
 - Manage systems to avoid using acquisition imaging to overcome lack of fluoro image quality

Patient thickness and projection angle Cardiac cath lab patients

Skin air kerma rate and projection angle Patient average (mGy/min)

Relative frequency of projection angles Coronary artery procedures

Custom settings for pediatric patients

- Children are more radiosensitive than adults
- Primarily structural heart disease
- Rapid heart rate and high velocity motion
- Lower scatter to primary ratio
- Cannot simply use adult programs and expect that AERC will provide optimum results
- Custom pediatric programs are required

Gislason et. al, Med. Phys. 37(10) 2010

Children 20 kg to 60 kg

- Detector target dose 20% lower than adult
- 7.5 fps low dose rate fluoro
 - Option for higher frame rate
- 15 fps acquisition
- Grid use optional
 - Removing the grid will reduce dose rate by ~35%
 - Reduction in image quality likely noticeable

Children <20 kg

- Detector target dose at least 20% lower than 20 kg to 60 kg
- 7.5 fps low dose rate fluoro
 - Option for higher frame rate
- 30 fps acquisition
 - To capture very fast motion
- Grid removal required
 - Removing the grid will reduce dose rate by ~35%
 - Image quality reduction is negligible

Detector target air kerma and frame rate

Detector target air kerma and frame rate

Patient population dose reduction

- There is opportunity for radiation dose reduction in all invasive cardiac labs
- Getting started
 - Requires active participation from physicians, technologists, vendor representatives, RSO, and medical physicist
 - Learn, teach, and implement best practices
 - Review patient dose metrics ($K_{a,r}$, P_{KA}) monthly
 - Measure air kerma rate vs patient thickness
 - Make incremental changes over time

Adult cardiac cath patient dose reduction Change in K_{a,r} over time

Patient population dose reduction

- Distribution of P_{KA} and $K_{a,r}$ is nearly Log-normal
 - Use Log(P_{KA} , $K_{a,r}$) for statistical analysis
- Variability of K_{a,r} is huge
 - 95th percentile is 15x greater than 5th percentile
 - Two-tailed t-test requires 2,200 observations per group required to detect a 10% change (p<0.05, power 80%)
 - Data stratification by procedure type or patient size may help to isolate high-radiation procedures
 - Longitudinal analysis is necessary
- Fluoro time is a poor surrogate for P_{KA} or $K_{a,r}$

5. New and emerging technologies

- Intra-vascular ultrasound (IVUS)
- Optical coherence tomography (OCT)
- Cone-beam CT
- 3D Ultrasound
 - Trans-esophageal echocardiography, intracardiac ultrasound
- RF mapping and navigation

Intra-vascular ultrasound

With virtual pathology

Optical coherence tomography

Very high resolution, very low tissue penetration

Cone-beam CT

On-going advances in motion compensation for cone-beam CT

Rohkohl 2010

4D ultrasound

For treating valve and structural heart disease

RF mapping and navigation

For treatment planning and recording during EP ablation procedures

References

- NCRP 2010. National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements Radiation Dose Management for Fluoroscopically-Guided Interventional Medical Procedures, NCRP Report No. 168 (National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements, Bethesda, MD).
- ICRP 2013. International Commission on Radiological Protection. *Radiological Protection in Cardiology*, ICRP Publication 120, Ann. ICRP 42(1) (Elsevier, New York).
- Lin P-J, The operation logic of automatic dose control of fluoroscopy system in conjunction with spectral shaping filters, Med. Phys. 34(8), 3169-3172 (2007).
- FDA 2009. U.S. Food and Drug Administration Performance standards for ionizing radiation emitting products. Fluoroscopic equipment, 21 CFR Part 1020.32 (revised April 1), http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfcfr/CFRSearch.cfm
- Gislason AJ, Davies AG, Cowen AR, Dose optimization in pediatric cardiac x-ray imaging, Med. Phys. 37(10):5258-5269 (2010).
- Rohkohl C, Lauritsch G, Biller L, Hornegger J, ECG-Gated interventional cardiac reconstruction for non-period motion, Medical Image Analysis 14(5):151-158 (2010).

NCRP 168 Acronyms and Symbols

- $K_{a,e}$ entrance surface air kerma
- K_{a,i} incident air kerma
- $K_{a,r}$ air kerma at the reference point
- $K_{FDA} U.S.$ FDA compliance air kerma rate limit
- P_{KA} air kerma-area product
- SID x-ray source-to-image-receptor distance
- SSD x-ray source-to-skin distance
- RDSR DICOM Radiation Dose Structure Report

