

Funded Proposal

- Improve outcomes for pelvic cancer patients by reducing acute and chronic hematologic toxicity
 - Enable patients to complete a full course of chemotherapy
 - Potential for dose escalation or new combinations of chemoradiation therapies
- Use a non-invasive molecular imaging tool to predict bone marrow toxicity

Funded Proposal

• Central Hypothesis: FLT PET imaging for radiation therapy planning and response assessment can improve outcome for pelvic cancer patients by reducing both short and long term hematologic toxicity.

Funded Proposal

- Specific Aims:
 - Determine the effect of patient-specific bone marrow spatial maps measured with FLT PET on the ability to spare proliferating bone marrow using IMRT planning for pelvic radiation therapy.
 - 2. Use FLT uptake change in pelvic bone marrow during and after therapy as a biomarker to establish the relationship between radiation dose and both acute grade 2 or higher and long term systemic toxicities.

FLT

- A fluorinated thymidine analog, 3'-deoxy-3'-[18F] fluorothymidine (FLT) incorporated into DNA synthesis
- Promising PET tracer for evaluating tumorproliferation and bone marrow activity
- Less prone to false positives due to inflammation or changes in metabolism than FDG
- Shows response early in RT for both tumor and normal tissues

Funded Proposal

- Study Outline
 - Enroll 24 subjects receiving chemoRT for pelvic cancer
 - Acquire 5 FLT PET images
 - 1 Simulation scan to identify active bone marrow
 - 2 on treatment scans (after 1 and 2 weeks of chemoRT)
 - to monitor acute bone marrow radiation response
 - 2 post treatment scans (30 days and 1 year after therapy) to monitor chronic bone marrow radiaiton response

Funded Proposal

- Data endpoints
 - Change in FLT uptake in pelvic bone marrow during and after therapy from simulation
 - Change in CBCs during and after therapy from simulation
 - Spatial FLT PET pelvic bone marrow maps
 - Radiation dose to bone marrow volume tolerances to limit systemic toxicity

Assemble a Good Team

- Buy in from leadership
 - Radiation Oncology Department Chair created translational research environment
 - Medical Physics Director fostered collaborations and ideas
- Support Staff
 - Dedicated people to support the grant and clinical trial process
 - Clinical trial nurses keep the machine running

Use Available Resources

- Novel nuclear medicine imaging technique applied in a new way
 - FLT is not available many places in the US
 - FLT provides different biological information
 - Use principles developed for H&N tumor imaging at our institutions to a new site
 - Apply biological imaging to normal tissues instead of tumor tissues
- RadOnc has a PET/CT

Manage (a lot of) Failure

- · Seed grants applications were rejected
 - Scope was too large
 - Can't have a result in a short enough time frame
- R21 application was scored but not funded – Concept was not clear
 - May have had similar issues to seed grants: too much in two small a time frame (2 yrs)
 - Reapplications occurred right at the transition from 3 resubmissions to 2

Institutional Support

- Attempts to get funding for preliminary data failed
- Director of the Nuclear Medicine Dept. believed in the project and found funds for initial subjects
- Radiation Oncology Dept. supported the project and provided scanner time and worked with NucMed to design workflow

Use Feedback

- Failed applications helped illustrate how to communicate the study more effectively
- Departmental personnel helped determine a functional workflow
- Subjects helped determine what patients would be willing to tolerate
- Data helped determine what time points were extraneous or missing

Simplify

- Initially wanted to image tumor and bone marrow in same subjects with both static and dynamic PET imaging
- Difficult to combine a therapy response with a normal tissue response
- Normal tissue response offered more flexibility
- Dynamic imaging was too time consuming and did not provide much value

Broaden Scope

- Initially focused on one patient population
 - R21 feedback expressed concern about lack of significance because of smaller patient pool
 - Accrual was more difficult with smaller patient pool
- Normal tissue focus allowed for more tumor types in the pelvis
- More tumor types tests hypothesis in more situations

Get Outside Input

- Publications
 - 4 abstracts at 4 meetings within a year before submitting R01 from preliminary data
 - 2 manuscripts from H&N data used to show proof of concept
- Talked to experts in the field
 - At national meeting
 - Invited to my Institution

Write and Rewrite

- Each application was a modification of the one before
- Probably ~4 years in total
- Had multiple people read it and reread it
- Worked to be as clear as possible, but can still be blinded by your own bias

Outliers

- Luck is probably a factor
 - Right people in the right place at the right time
 - Right tool available
 - Right environment for a clinical study
- Perseverance is probably also a factor
 - Learn from failure and try again
 - Have a backup plan
 - Keep big picture focus