

Pediatric Treatment Planning I: Overview of Planning Strategies and Challenges

Arthur J. Olch, Ph.D., FAAMP

Professor of Pediatrics and Radiation Oncology
USC Keck School of Medicine
Chief of Physics, Children's Hospital Los Angeles
Los Angeles, CA



Chia-Ho Hua, Ph.D.

Associate Member
Radiological Sciences
St. Jude Children's Research Hospital
Memphis, TN



Disclosure

No conflict of interest

Mention of certain product names do
no constitute an endorsement.

Overview of this Presentation

- Normal tissue tolerance differences between children and adults
- Secondary cancer risk from radiotherapy
- Craniospinal irradiation techniques
- Total Body irradiation techniques
- General treatment planning issues
- Immobilization techniques
- Simulation guidance
- IGRT guidance
- Proton considerations

Childhood Cancers are Different than Adult Cancers

Childhood Cancer Incidence (2% of all cancers)

- ☐ Leukemia (1/3)
- ☐ Brain/CNS
- ☐ Hodgkin's disease (other lymphoid)
- ☐ Non-Hodgkin's Lymphomas
- ☐ Bone/Joint
- ☐ Connective/soft tissue
- ☐ Urinary organs

Adult Cancer Incidence

Male

Female

- | | |
|-----------------|---------------|
| ☐ Prostate | Breast |
| ☐ Lung/Bronchus | Lung/Bronchus |
| ☐ Colon/Rectum | Colon/Rectum |
| ☐ Bladder | Uterus |
| ☐ Lymphomas | Ovary |
| ☐ Oral cavity | Skin Melanoma |
| ☐ Skin Melanoma | Cervix |
| ☐ Leukemia | Leukemia |

Childhood (0-14 y.o.) Solid Cancers (in order of prevalence)

- Central nervous system (Medulloblastoma most frequent)
- Neuroblastoma (adrenal gland and peripheral nervous system) (<4 y.o.)
- Soft Tissue Sarcomas
- Wilms' Tumor (<4 y.o.)
- Bone tumors (adolescent)
- Germ Cell Tumors (adolescent)
- Retinoblastoma (40% hereditary) (<4 y.o.)
- Hepatoblastoma (<4 y.o.)
- Other (thyroid, melanoma)

Histologies rare to not seen in adults.

Adult cancers are predominantly Carcinomas

Childhood Cancer Survival Rate

- Has steadily increased from the 1960's
- Overall 3 year survival rate = 80%, 5 year = 75%
- Many types with less than 50% 5 yr survival
- Brain Stem Gliomas nearly always fatal
- Treatment Intent nearly always for cure as opposed to palliation.

Induction of Second Cancer for Pediatric Patients Treated with RT

- Overall cumulative incidence of SM is 3.5% after 25 years.
- Bone and soft tissue sarcomas are most often seen SM after RT.
- Chemo usually used with RT, both increase risk of SM
- RR of SM after brain tumor irradiation is 3% after 20 years
- RR of SM after Hodgkin's Disease is about 12% after 25 years
- Cum incidence of SM for irradiated hereditary Retinoblastoma is 38% after 50 yrs. Its 21% for non-irradiated patients.
- Solid cancers accounted for 81% of all SM.
- The average latency period is about 15 years
- RR is 3-6 fold higher for children than adults.
- RR is a nearly linear function of dose, up to very high doses.
- 70% of SM occur in the radiation field, 20% adjacent, only 10% distant
- Risk continues to increase over time, 20, 30 years +

Evidence against the increased risk of second malignancy with IMRT

- Multi-beam treatment by itself does not increase integral dose vs. conventional treatments.
- IMRT by itself does not increase integral or peripheral dose vs. conventional treatments.
- IMRT does give 3-4 times higher leakage dose and increases the volume receiving ultra low doses.
- SM infrequently occur distant from the medium-high dose region where head leakage dose dominates.
- SM risk increases with increasing dose.
- Reducing the volume receiving moderate to high doses in trade for increasing the volume receiving <5 Gy should both reduce SM risk and better protect normal structures.

Q1: Which is **TRUE** about the risk of production of second malignancy from radiation therapy:

20% 1. The risk increases with age at time of irradiation

20% 2. Most secondary malignancies occur inside the medium to high dose region

20% 3. Most are secondary leukemias rather than solid tumors

20% 4. The risk plateaus with dose and is small for high doses

20% 5. The risk abates after about 15 years

The correct answer is:

- Answer: b - Most secondary malignancies occur inside the medium-high dose region
- Ref: Analysis of dose at the site of second tumor formation after radiotherapy to the central nervous system. Int. J. Radiation Oncology Biol. Phys., Vol. 82, No. 1, pp. 90–94, 2012.

CHLA and St. Jude Patient Population

- Treat about 12 (CHLA) - 25 (St. Jude) pts/day
- Sedation every day for < 7 y.o.
- 60% IMRT
- 40-50% Brain/CNS tumors
- Remaining 60% could be to any body site
- Wide range of body size from infant, children, to adolescents (≤ 21 years old) and weight can approach couch weight limit
- Prescribed doses range from 10Gy to 70Gy depending on disease and site.


Treatment of Medulloblastoma

Craniospinal Irradiation Plus Posterior Fossa Boost

7-8 % of intracranial tumors across all ages
but...

30% of pediatric brain tumors

$\frac{3}{4}$ of all cases occur in children,
median age 9 y.o.



Treatment of Medulloblastoma

Targets and Critical Structures

Brain (target and OAR)


Spinal cord (target and OAR)

Cochlea

Gut, throat, heart (PA spine exit doses)

Lenses

Skin (PA entrance dose)



Craniospinal Axis Treatment


Treat whole spine and brain to either 23.4 Gy (aver. risk) or 36 Gy (high risk) + Boost posterior fossa to total of 54-55.8 Gy

CSI Planning issues:

- Prone vs. Supine
- Spine-brain junction dose (avoid cord overdose), junction level, and shifts
- Extended SSD vs. abutted PA spine fields

Conventional Craniospinal Irradiation Technique

(Supine is best)




Conventional Craniospinal Irradiation Technique

Right and left lateral
whole brain fields

(Couch rotation)



Couch rotated
about 6
degrees to
compensate for
inferior
divergence of
lateral brain
fields



Split beam CSI technique

(No Couch Rotation for WB)


Problem with half-beam block- not long enough for low junction method




Medical Dosimetry, Vol. 28,
No. 1, pp. 35–38, 2003

Need Abutted Spine Fields or Ext SSD for Bigger Kids


(can use 90 deg couch to avoid divergence)



Spare lenses But Don't Block the Cribriform Plate Region



Verification of Brain/Spine Junction for Supine Position as Seen From PA Spine Field





3 junction
positions

2 mm gap

5 mm gap

No gap


Uniform dose




Dosimetry Conventional Opposed Lateral Whole Brain Plus PA Spine






VMAT (Rapid Arc) for Cranial Spinal Irradiation






CSI 36 Gy






16 MeV PA Electron Beam Spine Field

*****Requires Patient to be Prone, 2 spine flds *****



Protons for CSI




Radiation Oncology 2012, 7:116


Head to Foot Immobilization




Opposed Laterals



10 beam Conformal



6 Beam IMRT



Plan
comparison
for limited
volume
boost

Changing the Boost Treatment for Medulloblastoma

- Reduce severe cognitive and hearing losses associated with this treatment.
- Testing whether reducing the boost volume and dose (for less than 8 y.o.) to just the surgical bed + margin (vs. whole posterior fossa) will change recurrence and morbidity patterns.

Q2: Regarding CSI for Medulloblastoma, which is **TRUE**:

- | | | |
|-----|----|--|
| 20% | 1. | a common method of treatment is opposed lateral brain fields with collimator angles, couch angles, and a PA electron field |
| 20% | 2. | The main concern is protecting the lenses |
| 20% | 3. | a major concern is not overdosing the spinal cord at the junction of brain and spine fields |
| 20% | 4. | using a 5 mm gap for the junction with three junction shifts produces a fairly uniform dose across the junction. |
| 20% | 5. | Prone is preferred over supine because one cannot verify the junction if supir |

The correct answer is:

- Answer: c – not overdosing the spinal cord
- Ref: The cranial-spinal junction in medulloblastoma: Does it matter? Int. J. Radiation Oncology Biol. Phys., Vol. 44, No. 1, pp. 81–84, 1999.

Treatment of Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia (A.L.L.)

also A.M.L. and some other diseases

- Most common childhood cancer – 3000 new cases per year.
- Not usually a Radiotherapy disease
- Total Body Radiation (12-13.5 Gy, 1.5-2 Gy BID = lethal dose without bone marrow transplant that follows)

TBI Dosimetric Issues

- Treatment method driven by lung dose, kidney and brain dose
 - AP/PA
 - **Pros:** Provides better dose homogeneity due to smaller thickness differences across body. lung blocking feasible.
 - **Cons:** Patient required to stand, lung blocks hung on external tray, or lay decubitus.
 - Opposed Lats
 - **Pros:** Patient can lay supine on gurney, lung compensation with arms or external material
 - **Cons:** Larger dose inhomogeneity, more compensation needed. Lung dose much below tumor dose is not feasible.


Other Features

- Beam spoiler typically used to bring full dose to skin surface
- Dose rate kept ≤ 10 cGy/min at patient midplane
- Goal is dose uniformity within 10%
- SSD > 3 m needed

Total Body Irradiation for Leukemia



TBI stand




Not practical for
children less
than about 8 y.o.
or for any
sedated child

Physics Measurement for Commissioning and Calibration

- Setup a phantom system which simulates patient and treatment geometry
- Measure central axis PDD and OPFs, & output. 30x30x30 cm calibration phantom suitable, make corrections for **smaller** irradiated area for patient treatment
- Measure off axis ratios-across diagonal of 40x40 field, function of depth. Note differential beam hardening.
- In-vivo dosimetry system, TLD, OSLD, diodes, Mosfets, to verify patient dose.
 - Entrance and exit dose used to calculate midline dose
 - See AAPM reports #17 and #87

Total Marrow Irradiation with VMAT



Q3: Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia (ALL) is infrequently treated by radiation therapy but when it is, which is **NOT** a key consideration for total body irradiation:

20% 1. APPA vs Opposed Laterals

20% 2. How to homogenize the dose

20% 3. How to position the patient for treatment

20% 4. Extremity dose

20% 5. Lung dose

The correct answer is:

- Answer: d - Extremity dose
- Ref: AAPM report 17: The physical aspects of Total and half body photon irradiation, 1986

Overview of Planning Issues for Conformal Treatments


- Non-coplanar vs. coplanar beams: spread low dose area around to lessen mid-dose volume
- Immobilization techniques for head and body
- Targets tend to be irregularly shaped and large
- Targets are always surrounded by or near critical structures
- Ratio of safe critical structure dose to tumor dose is usually less than in adults. $<30-50\%$ vs. $>70\%$
- Avoid vertex fields that irradiate longitudinally
- Consider out of field/exit dose for testes and ovary

Comparison of Critical Structure Dose for Children vs. Adults


<u>Structure</u>	<u>Children</u>	<u>Adult</u>
Whole Brain	18 Gy	35 Gy
Bones	18 Gy	>65 Gy
Pituitary (growth hormone)	20 Gy	none
Ovary/testes (reproduction)	10 Gy	none

Cardiac toxicity may be higher for children, more years for problem to develop than in adults

Non-coplanar beams
may reduce cognitive
deficits for brain targets



**Non-coplanar vs. Coplanar DVH for
Normal Brain**




Summary

- Children get different cancers than adults.
- Treating children with radiotherapy is more challenging than adults.
- One must be aware of the different tolerance doses and increased risk for SM
- Medulloblastoma (CSI) and TBI (ALL) are common complex treatments.
- Most other cases are complex as well.
- Many children will be on a clinical trial requiring physics support.


- And now, Dr. Hua

Spine Treated by PA, RPO, and LPO





Spine Treatment

Conventional vs. 3-Field Technique




3 Field Spine Technique Reduces Heart Dose




Effect of the Couch Top

23.4 Gy single PA oblique to 5 cm depth created 29 Gy dose at skin due to decreased SSD, off axis factor, PDD, and couchttop/vacloc headrest, sometimes FinF also used superiorly



Relative Frequency of Cancers by Age



Other Physics Concerns

1. Out of field dose -testes and lens dose
2. Plan review to avoid exit dose to breast and thyroid, and horizontal vertex fields.
3. Beam penumbra and surface dose should be accurate in the TPS.

Please Stand by for Next
Presentation on Late Effects of
RT in Children and the PENTEC
Effort