1

Issues in Understanding Exposures to Low Doses of Ionizing Radiation

William F. Morgan Biological Sciences Division Pacific Northwest National Laboratory

wfmorgan@pnnl.gov



Why is studying low dose radiation effects important?

Environmental clean up - Hanford (>\$110 Billion to date)

Nuclear Accidents - Fukushima (160,000 evacuated, 20 mGy/yr)

Rad worker exposures

Flight Crews and Astronauts (limits to the Mars mission?)

Potential Terrorist Attacks (dirty bombs, IND) - evacuations?

Security issues (airport backscatter machines)

High natural background exposures – Radon, geographical locations in Karala (India) Yanjing (China)

Medical Diagnostics – >90 million CT scans annually 5-100 mSv each (acute exposure v protracted exposure LDRt) Pacific Motivation

So Why Do We Care About Low Dose Radiation Effects?



Approximately 90 million in the USA this year Pacific Northwest Provide Operated by Battelle Since 1965

CT over exposure to a young patient

Fell from bed and complained of neck pain the following morning Plain x-rays and then a CT scan of neck ordered by ER CT table did not index (move) and radiologic technologist manually instituted 151 slices over a period of more than 1 hour The patient was successfully rescanned by another technician

About 2-3 hours after the first CT attempt he developed a red line around his face at the level of the 151 CT scan slices



Pacific Northwest Proudly Operated by Battelle Since 1965

Sometimes things do not go as they should!

Hair loss from excessive dose of a CT angiogram



1 Pacific Northwest Proudly Operated by Battelle Since 1965

8





http://www.news.com.au/travel/news/nakedscanners-may-increase-cancer-risk

US scientists are warning that radiation from controversial fullbody airport scanners has been dangerously underestimated and could lead to an increased risk of skin cancer - particularly in children.



700 million travelers worldwide Individual dose v collective dose

Pacific Northwest



Pacific Northwest NATIONAL LABORATORY Proudly Operated by Battelle Since 1965

11

Remember - We All Have Different Perception of Risk



Pacific Northwest

Proudly Operated by Battelle Since 1965

Questions: How to design a system that limits risk? How do we assign a potential human health risk?



Proudly Operated by Battelle Since 1965

The dilemma for radiation protection: what is the scientific basis for radiation standards to protect the public from exposures to low levels of ionizing radiation (<0 100 mSv) where there are considerable uncertainties in the epidemiological data.



On one hand - complex biological systems have physiological barriers against damage and disease. Primary damage linear with dose, secondary damage not. Cellular processes block damage propagation to clinical disease.



Proudly Operated by Battelle Since 1965

Everybody knows radiation causes detrimental effects:







Provide Operated by Battelle Since 1965

Considerations when integrating molecular, cellular and organismal effects:

Tissues/organs differentially sensitive Risk varies with Age Sex Socio economic status Diet and lifestyle Genetic makeup and race Dose and dose rate Radiation quality

So how do we inform the public about potential radiation risks at low doses?





Brenner & Hall; "Computed tomography - An increasing source of radiation exposure" NEJM 357, 2277-2284 (2007)

Scott, Sanders, Mitchel & Boreham; "CT scans may reduce rather than increase the risk of cancer" J. Amer. Phys & Surg. 13, 8-11 (2008)

Pacific Northwest NATIONAL LABORATORY Proudly Operated by Battelle Since 1965

What About in the Low Dose Region?



BEIR VII cited 1386 peer reviewed publications French Academie des Sciences cited 306 publications

Overlap in publications cited = 68



Radiation Protection Considerations

Science is only one input to risk management

What are the other inputs? Tradition Not scaring people Politics Social values Economic considerations Technological considerations



We have a long legacy of mistrust to deal with!

Plus some widely diverging opinions Hormesis - tolerance - acceptance - total denial



Extrapolation from experimental systems:

Should you?

Cells \rightarrow tissues \rightarrow organs \rightarrow man



What does *in vitro* cell culture tell us about a response in humans?

What do *in vivo* models tell us about a response in humans - how do you extrapolate from an an animal model to the human population?

> Pacific Northwest NATIONAL LABORATOR Provide Operated by Battelle Since 196

A predictive, multi-cellular framework is necessary to understand potential effects of exposure to ionizing radiation

This is our multi-scale, systemslevel challenge.

Requires understanding the networks and pathways involved Developing computational modeling approaches to organize complex biological data



A System is a result of interacting parts:

An "interesting" part is one for which the consequences of interaction is non-trivial The sum of the system is greater than the sum of the parts.

Biological systems are defined by multiple redundant and interdependent signaling networks and metabolic pathways



Context cannot be accurately predicted without multiple sources of data



My hypothesis is that a predictive, multi-cellular framework is necessary to understand potential effects of exposure to low dose ionizing radiation

- Requires knowing the networks and pathways involved
- Developing the computational modeling approaches to organize complex biological data
- Interactions essential to develop testable hypotheses
- We plan to utilize resources available at PNNL
- Evolving to include new and old model systems
- Expanding the program to include new, young investigators
- PNNL complements other DOE national laboratories, DOE Low Dose and NOTE / DoReMi / MEODI and EpiRadBio investigators, and would like to work with other systems biology programs to increase the power of these investigations



26

What is the rest of the world doing?





Proudly Operated by Battelle Since 1965

7/21/2014

Comments, questions and suggestions

wfmorgan@pnnl.gov

Morgan & Bair: Issues in low dose radiation biology: The controversy continues. A perspective Radiation Research 179, 501-510 (2013)

