

Quantitative Imaging: Techniques, Applications and Challenges -- MR

Yue Cao, Ph.D. Departments of Radiation Oncology, Radiology and Biomedical Engineering University of Michigan

Acknowledgments

- Radiation Oncology James Balter, Ph.D. Avraham Eisbruch, MD Mary Feng, M.D. Felix Feng, MD Theodore S. Lawrence, MD, Ph.D Choonik Lee, Ph.D. Randall Ten Haken, Ph.D. Matthew Stemmark, MD Matthew Schipper, Ph.D. Christina I. Tsien, MD Clinical Coordinators
- Functional imaging Lab Chris Chapman, B.S. Reza Farjam, Ph.D. Antonis Matakos, Ph.D. Mohammad Nazernzadeh, Ph.D. Priyanka Pramanik, MS Hesheng Wang, Ph.D. Peng Wang, Ph.D.

Patients

- Radiology Thomas L. Chenevert, Ph.D. Hero Hussian, M.D. Diana Gomez-Hassan, M.D., Ph.D. Suresh Mukherji, MD Pia Maly Sundgren, MD, Ph.D. Ashok Srivasan, MD Hemant Parmar, MD Radiology staffs
- STATISTICS Tim Johnson, Ph.D. Dan Normolle, Ph.D. Matt Schipper, Ph.D.
- NH grants R01 NS064973 (Cao) R01 CA132834 (Cao) R01 E8016079 (Balter) U01 CA183848 (Cao) 4 P01 CA059827 (Ten Haken) Siemens Research Grant (Balter)

QI: MRI Techniques

- > Dynamic susceptibility contrast (DSC) imaging
- > Dynamic contrast enhanced (DCE) imaging
- Diffusion weighted (DWI), diffusion tensor (DTI), intravoxel diffusion kurtosis imaging
- > Spectroscopy (e.g., 1H, 31P)
- Quantitative susceptibility and tensor imaging (QSI, QSTI)
- Hyperpolarized nuclei imaging and spectroscopy (e.g., 13C, 3He, 129Xe)

CAO AAPM 2014 3

> MR image acquisition protocols

- Optimized, harmonized, following QIBA profiles
- QA/QC
- > Physiological parameter quantification from raw image data
- > Application in clinical problems
 - Algorithms to extract meaningful "features"/metrics
 - · Models in relating quantitative image metrics with clinical endpoints Cao AARM 2014 4

MR QIB: DSC imaging (brain) » Dynamic T2*/T2-w images during a bolus of Gd injection 2 2 10. Physiological parameters, CBV, CBF, MTT, Ktrans (vascular

leakage), v_e(extravascular extracellular space)

- standard models
- CBV Rosen MRM 1991
- CBF Ostergaard MRM 1999

🔀 Challenges: CBV in GB

- > Challenges in quantitative CBV
 - Contrast effect on T1 (long T1)
 - Vascular leakage effect on CBV estimation
 - Bias and variation from different scanners, field strengths (1.5 T vs 3 T), and sequences
- Possible solutions
 - Minimizing T1 weighting by acquisition parameters, pre-loading contrast
 - Correction for contrast leakage (Weisskoff 1994, Johnson 2003, Cao 2006, Bjornerud 2011)
 - Standardized CBV (Bedekar MRM 2010)



Cao AAPM 2016 5







Challenges: DCE quantification

- > Challenges in DCE quantification
 - Reliable and reproducible arterial input function
 T2* effect, B1 field variation, inflow effect, temporal and spatial resolution, water
 spin exchange between intra- and extra-cellular molecules ...
- Possible solutions
 - Dual-echo to estimate and correct T2* effect (Bazelaire, Eur Radiol 2006)



Challenges: DCE quantification

- > Challenges in DCE quantification
- Reliable and reproducible arterial input function
- T2* effect, B1 field variation, inflow effect, temporal resolution, water spin exchange between intra- and extra-cellular molecules ...
- Possible solutions





Kenter State Anti-Contemporary Challenges: DCE quantification

- > Challenges in DCE quantification
 - Reliable and reproducible arterial input function
 - T2* effect, B1 field variation, inflow effect, temporal resolution, water spin exchange between intra- and extra-cellular molecules ...
- Possible solutions
 - Dual-echo to estimate and correct T2* effect Post-processing correction (Wang, JMRI 2012)
 - Contrast agent Phase-effect (Akbudak MRM 1997)





Challenges: DCE quantification

- > Challenges in DCE quantification
 - Reliable and reproducible arterial input function
 - T2* effect, B1 field variation, inflow effect, temporal resolution, water spin exchange between intra- and extra-cellular molecules ...
- Possible solutions
 - Dual-echo to estimate and correct T2* effect
 - Post-processing (Wang, JMRI 2012) Contrast agent Phase-effect (Akbudak MRM 1997) Slow contrast injection rate to reduce T2* effect

 - Universal AIF



Challenges: ADC quantification

- Challenges
 - Non-monoexponential -> what b values should be?
 - Separation of diffusion from perfusion
 - High noise
 - Geometric distortion and artifact from EPI acquisition
- Possible solutions
 - Intravoxel incohent motion model (Le Bihan 1988) • $S(b) = (1 - f_v)e^{-bADC} + f_v \phi(b)$
 - Using low b (100-500) values instead b=0 for twopoint fitting

Cao AAPM 2014 14

M QIB for Therapy Assessment

- > To develop a QIB for predicting tumor tx failure/progression or response/outcome
- Sensitivity and specificity
 - Clinical end points
 - Specific for tumor and therapy types
- > Repeatability and Reproducibility
 - Separation of a true change from variation
 - Barnhart, Barboriak, Trans Oncol 2009, & Stat Methods Med Res 415



 Assess true changes in WM structures of individual patients after receiving brain RT and their associations with late neurocognitive dysfunctions (Nazem-Zadeh, PMB 2013)
 Algorithms segmented corpus callosum, cingulum, fornix from DTI

9	2	_ True change
	AL0/ - D	
	∆I _t ‰+R	Cu

 Repeatability coefficient (RC) estimated from test and retest DTI data (NBIA) of 12 patients
 A true longitudinal change △I_t% in an

individual patient with 95% confidence

ΔΙ_t%+RC_u ΔΙ_t%-RC_L 0

Is a RD increase a true change?

Radial Diffusivity	Cingulum	Fornix	cc
RC%(RC _I ,RC _u)	3.4(2.4,5.6)	3.0(2.1,4.9)	5.9(4.3,9.8)

the uncertainty range 6 and 18 months after RT, respectively.

QIB for Tumor Therapy Assessment

Complexity

- Tumor biology
- Imaging techniques
- Tumor response to therapy



Vascular Permeability: Prognostic indicator for high-grade gliomas

 Large vascular leakage volume, reflecting angiogenesis, was associated with worse OS.



Vascular leakage volum

Cao, Cancer Research, 2006

Vascular Normalization Index

- Patients: recurrent GB
- Therapy: cediranib, anti-VEGF agent
- > VNI
 - Changes in K^{trans}, CBV, and plasma collagen IV 1 d after the first treatment
- Pre Post

 $VNI = -[a\Delta \log K^{trans} + b\Delta \log CBV + c\Delta \log collIV]$

Predictors for OS and TPS

Sorensen, Cancer Research 200



Non-enhanced Hypercellularity **Component in Glioblastoma**

> The non-enhanced, hypercellularity component of GB could be treated inadequately

- Surgical resection and radiation therapy could be limited to the enhanced gross tumor volume, due to the ill-differentiation of non-enhanced tumor, edema and normal tissue, and concerns of complications.
- The undisrupted blood-brain-barrier can result in a low concentration of TZM in the non-enhanced tumor region.
- > Incapable to detect non-enhanced hypercellularity components might cause mis-diagnosis of response and progression

Cao AAPM 2014 22



> To differentiate hypercellularity components of GB from high-vascular components, edema, and normal tissue using conventional MRI and ADC (b= or < 1000 s/mm²)











What we have learned

- > Tumor heterogeneity
 - The mean value of a physiological image parameter in the tumor is not very useful for treatment assessment
- Correlations between multiple physiological and metabolic image parameters (eq, BV vs BF)
 - The biological processes involved may be different
 - Additive value ?
- Predictive of physiological image parameters
 Not all image parameters have predictive values for therapy assessment or are useful to define a boost target

Cao AAPM 2014 28

Cao AAPM 2014 29

Hypotheses

- Heterogeneous therapy response of a tumor could be primarily due to biological heterogeneity in the tumor
- The most aggressive or resistant sub-volume in a tumor could predominantly determine therapy outcome of the whole tumor

What we need

> Quantitative Image Tools

- Characterize tumor heterogeneity
- Determine complementary or redundant physiological image parameters
- Create quantitative image metrics
 - Predictive for treatment failure
 - Highly reproducible
 - · Candidate to be a radiation boost target









Prediction of Local Failure













Hypoperfused and high cellularity (low ADC) subvolume -> high risk for failure?

Bias and Variation Between Imaging Systems

- Scanners, sequences, acquisition parameters...
- > DCE study in HN cancers
 - Initiate on a 3T Philips and continue on a 3T Siemens
 - Recalibration using histograms
 Cerebellum as a control region
 - + N=9,M_{phi}, SD_{phi} and N=11,M_{sie}, Sd_{sie}
 - $\frac{S_{sie} M_{sie}}{SD_{sie}} = \frac{S_{phi} M_{phi}}{SD_{phi}}$



Cao AAPM 2014 37













Total perfusion

Hepatic arterial perfusion Portal venous perfusion

Normal liver: ~20% arterial perfusion and ~80% portal venous perfusion Intrahepatic cancer: elevated arterial perfusion and decreased portal venous perfusion

Hepatic cancer: high arterial perfusion subvolume





.....

- Optimize, harmonize and standardize MR image acquisition protocols
 High quality images
- > Quantify physiological parameters
 - high repeatability
 - high sensitivity and specificity

> Apply to clinical problems

- Algorithms to extract meaningful "features"/metrics
- Statistical and ML models in relating quantitative metrics with clinical endpoints
 Con AMPR 2014 44