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Disclaimer *
My employer, Texas Health Resources, utilizes GE Dose Watch product for both CT and XA dose monitoring.

My previous employer, U of Washington, has a research agreement with GE DoseWatch.

No conflict of interest to disclose, however, my personal experiences may reflect more information/detail about GE DoseWatch product. I have not used other Radiation Dose Index Monitoring (RDIM) software products; examples included in this presentation for other RDIM products are from colleagues in the field.
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RDIM Introduction
Radiation dose index monitoring (RDIM) systems may generally be identified as
• Software that passively or actively collect radiation dose indices (RDI)
• Collect data from diagnostic or image guided interventional studies using ionizing radiation
• Store RDI in a relational database along with patient demographic and study information.
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Motivation
• Documented ionizing radiation injuries
• Recommendations from Image Gently and Image Wisely Campaigns as well as IAEA Smart Card/SmartRadTrack Project
• FDA & state dose record requirements

RDIM Introduction

Goals and Rationale
1. Enhance and expand existing facility QA and QC efforts
   • May aid a QMP in identifying outlier cases
   • Parameters outside pre-defined reference levels
   • Interventions with exposures above a deterministic effects threshold level
   • QA programs should consider the image acquisition and reconstruction parameters affecting dose and image quality performance
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Goals and Rationale
2. Identify the “frequent flyer” patients
   • An individual patient has received a large number of imaging studies (i.e. multiple CT examinations; TJC fluoroscopy sentinel event, 6-month period)
   • Patient history may allow development of a patient-specific protocol under the direction of a radiologist and QMP
   • ACR Appropriateness Criteria can provide decision support and is publicly available

RDIM Introduction

Potential Limitations and Precautions
• RDIs do not represent absorbed dose in an individual patient, rather RDIs are related to x-ray beam output or x-ray absorption at the image receptor
• Organ absorbed doses and effective dose reported by software
• Based on standardized models of the human
• Do not accurately represent the absorption characteristics of any single individual

Absorbed dose and effective dose estimates are beyond the scope of the AAPM MPPG 6.a.
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Potential Limitations and Precautions
• It is however appropriate to assess health risks due to deterministic effects from high-dose exposures
• Alert levels for interventional fluoroscopy and dynamic CT
• Estimated peak skin dose is usually desired
• Patient record should be reviewed by a QMP for deterministic effect risk assessment
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MPPG 6.a. recommends that organ doses and effective dose should
• Not be included in a patient’s medical record
• Must not be analyzed or interpreted without the direction and involvement of a Qualified Medical Physicist (QMP)

Which of the following is a primary rationale for the use of Radiation Dose Index Monitor Software system?

- 20% a) Tracking patients receiving low doses to determine risk estimates
- 20% b) Enhance and expand QA and QC efforts
- 20% c) Compute cumulative patient dose history
- 20% d) Calculation of absorbed dose specific to a patient
- 10% e) Compute cumulative patient dose history
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RDIM Software Team
- Team must include: QMP, a lead radiologist, a lead technologist, and an individual from the PACS/IT department
- Additional team members to consider:
  - Senior member of the facility administration team (e.g. CMO, Radiology Dept Administrator/Manager)
  - Physician representative from any other clinical imaging department (e.g., cardiology, EP, GI)
- Team must be responsible for selection of RDIM software
- Each member may have a variety of responsibilities in the implementation and use of the dose monitoring software

Purchasing an RDIM System
- Employ the same purchasing procedures they follow for any other major piece of software
- Basic assessment of
  - How the data will be used
  - Who will oversee the facility’s RDIM program
  - Who will have access and at what “levels”
  - Who will implement relevant aspects of the RDIM within each modality
  - How often reviews will happen and what they will entail

Additional challenges to consider upon purchasing
- Cost and labor involved in set-up
- PACS and information technology (IT) issues
- Testing the software once installed – test server vs live server
- Analyses – population, modality, individual
- Identifying the type of feedback that will be provided to ordering physicians and the mechanism for communicating that feedback

Using RDIM in a QA Review – Specific Questions to Consider
- How can/will the monitored data be used?
- Which indices are needed for these uses?
- What do these indicators mean?
  - Are they relevant to the overall goal?
  - How accurate are they?
  - How accurate do they need to be?
  - Is one indicator sufficient?
- Are the desired indices available for tracking?
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Using RDIM in a QA Review – Specific Questions to Consider

• Where will they be tracked (stored and analyzed)?
• Is the imaging system compatible with the RDIM to send/receive data? If so, then how?
• Under what conditions, if any, should either estimated derived dose quantities or RDIs be summed?
• Who should have access? How much access should they have?

RDIM Common Elements

Fundamental Functions Independent of Modality

1. Tracking of dose index – essential dose indices must be recorded automatically (preferred) or manually
   • Dose indices of each acquisition, including rejected images, must be recorded unambiguously
   • Essential acquisition parameters should be recorded together with the corresponding dose index data
   • If the information cannot be automatically recorded, the option of manual input by the user must be provided

2. Notifications for dose indices outside the defined range – thresholds that trigger notifications to a set of end users must be configurable
   • Can be based on quality/safety assurance and regulatory compliance
   • Based on a variety of criteria, including but not limited to modality, type of exam, and patient age
   • Predetermined target users must be notified in a timely manner, typically by email
   • If PHI is included, the notification must be transmitted using a secure approach

3. User management – RDIM access must be limited to a group of authorized users
   • The level of data access and system configuration must be granted according to the specific role of each user or group of users
   • Configured based on access level (all access) or limited access (e.g. by modality)

4. Dose analysis tools – should assist users in utilizing the collected information, include but not be limited to:
   • Comparing dose indices of user-selected protocols across machines
   • Analyzing the trending of dose indices as a QA tool
   • Reviewing patient history which could include exams of multiple different imaging modalities

The RDIM Software team must add a PACS/IT representative to the commonly assembled CT Protocol Committee, which consists of:

- 20% a) QMP and a facility or department administrator
- 20% b) QMP, lead radiologist, and lead technologist
- 20% c) Lead radiologist, lead technologist, and a facility or department administrator
- 20% d) QMP and a lead technologist
- 20% There is not a minimum standard for who should be on an RDIM or QC team
**RDIM Common Elements**

**Fundamental Functions Independent of Modality**

5. **User interface elements** — should provide key functionalities to review the recorded dose and imaging acquisition parameters, including but not limited to:
   - Navigating exams with customizable sorting options (e.g., chronologically, alphabetically, or by age)
   - Exploring detailed exam/scanning/dose information of any user-selected exam
   - Reviewing exam history of any user-selected patient
   - Categorizing dose data by modality, facility, individual device, date range, exam type, protocol type, ordering physician, performing physician or operating technologist

**Planar X-ray Specific Requirements**

**Dose index Monitoring for Planar X-ray**

1. **Automatic monitoring of essential dose indices**
   - DAP, AK, and AGD for mammography must be recorded if available
   - should be able to extract DAP and reference AK (if available) for general radiography exposures
   - Capture of machine technical factors and patient demographics is also desirable. For mammography the software should be capable of extracting phantom AGD and compressed breast thickness

2. **Exposure Indices**
   - IEC Standard
   - RDIM software must allow entry of protocol-specific target indices (target EI), EI and DI
   - Exposure indices, manufacturer-defined
   - RDIM software must allow capture of proprietary EIs

3. **Notification of dose indices outside of defined range**
   - IEC Standard
   - Must allow action levels to be applied to the DI
   - Exposure indices, manufacturer-defined
   - Alert values must allow for specification of both a high and low limit (must be established by QMP) and must be unique to the exam, view, and patient habitus
Fluoroscopy Specific Requirements

Dose index Monitoring for Fluoroscopy

- Given that the displayed AK may be inaccurate by up to ±35%, a QMP should assess whether a correction factor should be applied to the displayed AK.
- Software should allow for unit-specific (by system) or global fluoroscopy correction factors to be assigned for accurate reporting of cumulative AK.

1. Automatic monitoring of essential dose indices
   - Fluoroscopy time, AK and DAP must be recorded.
   - For bi-plane imaging systems, fluoroscopy RDI must be recorded separately for each tube (e.g., A/B or PA/lateral).
   - Number of irradiation events and acquisition details should be recorded. Information provided for individual event(s) should include but not be limited to: exposure time, tube position, tube angle, mode, filtration, and AK per event.

Acquisition logs can be utilized by a QMP to assess cumulative and peak skin doses.


2. Manual entry of dose index data fluoroscopy time entry option
   - Optional entry of fluoroscopy dose index data should be available for systems with no dose index information displays or which have the inability to transfer such data electronically.
   - For older fluoroscopy units, dose indices may not be available and/or the system might lack the capability to connect to RDIM server; a minimum record fluoroscopy time is sufficient to meet federal and state requirements.

3. Dose Incidence Map
   - Vendors can utilize event logs (with line-by-line history of the tube position and individual event cumulative AK) to create a 2D incidence map of AK.
   - The peak AK value as reported by the vendor can then be used by a QMP in estimating the PSD.
Fluoroscopy Specific Requirements

Dose index Monitoring for Fluoroscopy

4. Notification of dose indices outside of defined range
   - NCRP report 168 recommendations for
   - Patient radiation dose-management programs
   - Setting institutional substantial radiation dose levels (SRDL) for additional dose-management procedures (e.g., patient follow-up visits)
   - Regulatory and accrediting bodies – single incidence and longitudinal tracking of patient cumulative skin dose over specified periods of time (e.g., sentinel events).
   - Dose monitoring software must identify single events and cumulative exposures that exceed AK thresholds.

A fluoroscopy dose exposure incidence map can be used by a QMP to:

- a) Determine which critical organs may have been exposed during the procedure
- b) Assess stochastic risk following the procedure
- c) Estimate peak skin dose
- d) Calculate the dose-area product (DAP)
- e) Calculate the absorbed dose to the patient