

SESSION LEARNING OBJECTIVES
 Gain familiarity with the workflow of modern treatment planning process.
 Understand the scope and challenges of managing modern treatment planning processes.





NJLIJI I.	
- Louis Potters, MD	- Ajay Kapur, PhD
- Beatrice Bloom, MD	- Yijian Cao, PhD
- Lucille Lee, MD	- Anurag Sharma, MS
- Brett Cox, MD	- Gina Goode, CMD
- Rajiv Sharma, MD	- Jeffrey Antone, CMD
- Regina Stanzione (ADMIN)	- Lili Vijeh, CMD
- Carol Morgenstern, RN	- Petrina Zuvic, RTT
- Elaine Montchal, RN	- Nilda Adair, RTT
 Jacob Pinsky (IT) 	- Sherin Joseph, RTT
- James Mogavero (IT)	- Catherine Riehl, RTT
- Henry Chou, PhD (IT)	- Michael Interrante, RTT

Comme dange	
COON may	
A blend of Academic, Private and Community Based Practice	
• 8 Locations, 16 Radoncs, 7 dosimetrists, 18 physicists, >100 st	aff;
2800 consults/yr; 200 patients/day	
 Various treatment platforms Trubeams, EX series, Gamma Knife, Cyberknife, Tomotherapy, Zeiss, HDF Xofigo 	R, PSI, SIRT,
Paperless and Quality Checklist (QCL) Driven since 2007	
North 11	15

A Head and Neck Problem (2011)	
 AVERAGE INTERVAL (CT TO TX START HEAD AND NECK CASES)	Wait Time to Treatment

Q2 2011

Q3 2011

•Simple High Impact Solutions?

6

1

A Rising Caseload O Q1 2011

•Can we reduce wait time while absorbing increased volume? •Shore LIJ









































MEASURE Baseline High Risk Tasks
 Metadata for QCL^H at baseline:
– 40% of QCL ^H were delayed
 70% of contours and plan tasks were delayed
 Majority of patients had some QCL^H delayed, yet staff rushed to 'get it done'
 Large variability in staff performance on QCL^H
We were at higher risk than perceived

17

ANALYZE Why defects?

Shore LIJ

Three Main Causes for Failures

14

1. Timeliness & accuracy of high-risk-process steps

- 40% variances germinated from issues clustered around tasks
- Requisite information at the right time from the right source
 Ineffective handoffs/communications, coordination
- Not just staff delinquencies
- Not just stan delinquencies

2. Cultural pathogens

- Delay Rushed Processes (>75% of pts with QCL^H delays not delayed)
 Experience based rather than evidence based directives
- 3. Variability
 - Handful of staff: ++ high-risk task delays/issues >> pt volume/complexity
 More patient effects –delays, safety events

Call for Better Standards, process interlocks, peer review, coordination

NITIATIVE	SEVERITY	LIKELIHOOD	DETECTABILITY	METRIC
Care Pathway Standardization	x		x	Compliance Rate
Foxicity Scale Standardization	х		x	Inter-rater reliability kappa
Pre Tx Planning Peer Review [SMART Rounds]	х	x	x	MD GPA on Peer Review
No Fly Policy	х	х	x	Delay Rates
Electronic Whiteboard		х	х	Incident Reporting Rates
Monitoring High Risk Task Operation			x	Z-scores















SUMMARY

- Gσ tools led to workflow and safety culture improvements
 Provided a structured framework to guide quality management & report regularly
 - Sustained improvements over the past 5 years of implementation in our department.
- Driving initiatives has challenged traditional norms of operations
 such as expediting treatment initiation in delay-rushed environments
 sustaining care pathways that are more experience based than evidence-based
- Implementation has met with substantial cultural barriers

North Shore LIJ

- Working practices evolve over decades, and changing them creates uncertainty
 The inertia of sustaining past cultures and arguments for not changing tend to perseverate
 Direct persuasion only goes so far.
- Other centers could institute these initiatives without replicating formative effort, yet for others there may be value in validating this work

23

10

