# Electron Beam Therapy -Current Status and Future Directions

# Qiuwen Wu, Ph.D. FAAPM

Professor, Department of Radiation Oncology Duke University Medical Center

Ultracktrice



U

Conflict of Interest Disclosure

None

# U

# Outline

- Review of basic electron beam radiotherapy
- Special clinical procedures
  - Electron Conformal Therapy (ECT)
  - Electron Arc Therapy (EAT)
- Recent research developments
  - Modulated Electron Radiotherapy (MERT)
  - Dynamic Electron Arc Radiotherapy (DEAR)

			Ų

Electron	P	hoton	Proton
-	_	_	

# **Clinical electron beam therapy**

unchanged for decades

• underutilized in radiation treatment of cancer

•

disease

cancers\*

Electron interaction with matter is

advantageous for the treatment of superficial

 Superficial tumors represent ~20% of all



\*American Cancer Society, Inc. Cancer Statistics 2013 : A presentation from the ACS.

# Electron Beam Use

- Head (Ear, Eye, Nose, Scalp ...)
- Neck Node boost (Pre-IMRT)
- Chest Wall
- Breast (Boost)
- Extremity
- Total Skin Irradiation

# History of Electron Therapy Accelerator Technology

- Manufacturers Offer Comparable Electron Beams
- > New units mostly Elekta and Varian; Siemens similar quality beams
- > Multiple electron beams: 7-8 in range 6-20 MeV
- Special modalities: High dose rate TSEI & Electron arc therapy







# Electron beam PDD - TG25



- Surface Dose D<sub>s</sub>
  - >80% · Increase with E
- Therapeutic range R<sub>90</sub> • E/3.3
- Fall-off margin R<sub>10</sub> R<sub>90</sub>
  Depth of max dose R<sub>100</sub>
  ~ constant for E >
  - 12 MeV
  - Practical Range R<sub>p</sub> • E/2 Bremsstrahlung dose D<sub>x</sub>
  - ↑ with E Non-negligible for
  - high energies

# 

Electron Beam Profiles - mostly flat



# Expansion due to scattering

- · Lower dose level
- · Lower energy

# 

# **Electron Beam MU Calculation**

- Advanced electron dose calculation algorithms (pencil beam, Monte Carlo) are available in most TPS •

  - Select proper electron energy
     Visualize relative dose distributions
- Accuracy in predicting output is limited.
   Most clinics determine MU using empirical formula based on measurement data

$$MU = \frac{Prescription \, Dose \, (cGy)}{CF_{SSD} \cdot OF_{Cone \, Cutout} \cdot IDL \cdot ISF}$$

CF<sub>SSD</sub> = 1.0 cGy/MU for reference open cone @dmax  $OF_{Cone\_Cutout}$  = output factor for the cone and cutout IDL = prescription isodose line ISF = inverse square factor

# **Electron Collimation** Syste

Machines & Do

- Applicators with Inserts
- Variable Trimmers
- Intracavitary Cones
  - Intraoperative radiotherapy cones
  - Intraoral Cones
  - Transvaginal cones



Antolak





ECT – one or a few electron beams

- · Keep PTV within the 90% isodose volume
- Minimize dose to distal/underlying critical structures and normal issues
- Counterpart in photons: 3DCRT
- Bolus electron conformal therapy

   Use tissue equivalent material to modulate the electron energy so 90% dose surface conforms to the distal edge of PTV











Perkins 2001 A custom three-dimensional electron bolus technique for optimization of postmastectomy irradiation IJROBP51



Fig. 2. The custom 3D electron bolus in treatment position. The patient is immobilized using the VAC-Fix system. The isocenter and laser markings for patient setup verification are shown. Superior (cranial), right (lateral), left (medial), and inferror (cranial) bodies are labeled to assist in setup and verification.

22



Fig. 7. Isodose distribution (Gy) using the custom 3D electron bolus technique for the same patient as in Figure 6. A dose of 50 Gy was prescribed to 100% of the given dose using 16-MeV electrons, and the bolus was designed to deliver 90% of the given dose to the target volume. The plan shows dose minimization to the ipsilateral lung and nuderlying cardiac tissues. 23



Fig. 8. Isodose distribution (Gy) using the custom 3D electron bolus technique for the same patient as in Figure 7. A dose of 50 Gy was preseribed to 100% of the given dose using 16-MeV electrons; the custom electron bolus was placed on the patient's skin and is visible in the CT image. To verify correct fibrication and positioning of the electron bolus, this dose distribution was compared to the dose distribution for the treatment plan shown in Figure 7.

# Advantages and disadvantages

- Requires no modification to the treatment machine
- Continuous energy distribution
   0.2 MeV / 1 mm

Hogstrom, 2003

- Single treatment field
- Requires greatest energy

   greater R<sub>90-10</sub>
- Higher skin dose
- Additional CT and planning for QA required

Electron Arc Therapy (EAT)





Electron Arc Therapy





\*Leavitt D D et al, 1985 Electron ARC therapy: physical measurement and treatment planning techniques *UROBP* 11 987-999





McNeely 1988 Electron arc therapy: chest wall irradiation of breast cancer patients <u>IJROBP 14(6)</u>

# EAT for Chest wall Irradiation

- High rate local regional control (LRC)
- · Minimal acute and late toxicities
- · Decreased dose to heart and lung
- · Elimination of a match line problem
- · IMN included without difficulty
- Relative ease of treatment with reproducible execution

Gaffney 2001, Electron arc irradiation of the postmastectomy chest wall with CT treatment planning: 20-year experience. <u>IJROBP 51(4)</u>

# EAT - limitations

- Modification to the Linac
   Customized cones of shortened length
- · Modification to TPS
  - Different PDDs from standard beams
  - Bolus and cutout shape
  - Forward planning for multiple energies can be cumbersome
- Patient-specific cast/shield and bolus
   Time consuming
- · Experienced treatment team
- · Difficult for wide spread use



MERT: uses multiple electron beams, each of differing energy and intensity pattern, to deliver a dose distribution that conforms the 90% dose surface to PTV

- · Energy modulation
  - Bolus and Linac energy selection
- Intensity modulation
  - Cutout
  - Scanning beam
  - MLC

Ma 2003 A comparative dosimetric study on tangential photon beams, intensity-modulated radiation therapy (IMRT) and modulated electron radiotherapy (MERT) for breast cancer treatment <u>PMB 48(7)</u>

# U

# MERT - treatment planning

- · Forward planning
  - weight optimization
- Inverse planning
  - Beamlet-based optimization
  - Monte Carlo simulation to account for actual
  - aperture or MLC leaf sequences
  - Second optimization
    - · weight optimization
  - Aperture fine tuning (DAO)
- Final dose calculation for the plan

# U

# MERT - treatment delivery

- MLC based delivery is preferred
  - No need to reenter room between segment
  - High positioning precision can be maintained through computer control
- With electron MLC (eMLC)
- Prototypes of eMLC
   Standard or short SSD (90 cm)
- With photon MLC (pMLC)
- Short SSD (70 cm) to reduce in c
- Short SSD (70 cm) to reduce in air scatterBolus may be required for some segments
- due to coarse energy selection on linac



Eldib



Eldib



Eldib



_				
_				



# **Modulated Electron Radiotherapy**

# M.K. Fix, D. Henzen, P. Manser



# Enable modulated electron radiotherapy

- Use an efficient beam shaping device
  - Multi-leaf collimator (MLC)
- · Enable highly accurate and efficient dose calculation

Photon MLC base MERT using an MC based dose calculation framework

using an MC based dose calculation tramewor

MERT – M.K. Fix, PhD





# **Patched segments**



# MERT – M.K. Fix, PhD

# Feathering









# Application: breast

Standard





MERT

γ: 6 MV

e<sup>-</sup> : 6 / 9 / 12 MeV 12 segments

MERT – M.K. Fix, PhD

Application: breast

Segments





# Application: breast





# Dynamic Electron Arc Radiotherapy (DEAR)

# DEAR

- Electron radiation is delivered in ARC mode
- Electron applicator and cut-out are kept to provide lateral beam constriction

U

- Treatment couch is in simultaneous motion with gantry rotation to prevent collision. Beam always normal and SSD = 100 cm
- Couch motion, gantry rotation, and dose rate are modulated to produce desirable dose distributions



Rodrigues







Planning comparison – Chest wall irradiation





• Photons: 6X, tangent, no wedge



This application SHALL NOT be used to treat living subjects under any circumstances.

This application is used for imaging and treatment technique development only under non clinical conditions.

















# Dosimetry , 6 MeV Cross-pla mmnn Static Delivery Y • 16x10 cm<sup>2</sup> cutout DEAR Delivery • 3x10 cm<sup>2</sup> cutout Static Delivery DEAR Delivery

Cylindrical phantom

Gantry Angle 0°

- Gantry Angle 315 45°
- Penumbra (80% -20%) Dose homogeneity

# Dosimetry – Penumbra



Cross-plane: DEAR 2x better than static delivery

# 

# **Scanning Electron Beams**



- · Pair of magnets actively deflect electron pencil beam
- Improved PDD due to reduction of Bremsstrahlung tail · Failure of scanning
- system → mistreatments

# DEAR: virtual scanning mode



Scripted in word Skeletonized in Matlab 6 MeV electron D=1cm cutout SSD=100 cm Gantry=0 CR 148 CP (7MU/CP) Beam hold (D->e)

## Rodrigues

# **DEAR Summary**

- DEAR can produce uniform dose distributions over large and curved targets while maintaining narrow penumbra
  - Treated area > cone size
- DEAR can be delivered with either fixed cone or eMLC
- DEAR delivery has high accuracy
  - Expected and delivered plans agree very well
  - Trajectory log file can be used as a QC tool
- Limitations
  - Conformal dose from single field
  - Not ready in clinical operation

Rodrigues 2014 Dynamic Electron Arc Radiotherapy (DEAR): a feasibility study PMB 59(2)

# FOX CHASE Cancer center

# Investigation of the clinical potential of scattering foil free electron beams

Ahmed Eldib Fox Chase cancer center













# Percentage depth doses











X-ray component percentage for all available energies using conventional beams compared to that excluding scattering foil and cone.







Summary FFF SFF **Technology Complexity** VMATe DEAR VMAT IMRT MERT ARC EAT 3DCRT ECT 3D Printing Photons Electrons

# 

# Acknowledgement

- John Antolak – Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN
- Kenneth Hogstrom – LSU, Baton Rouge, LA
- Michael Fix, Dominik Henzen, Peter Manser
- Bern University Hospital, Switzerland
- Ahmed Eldib, Lihui Jin, Charlie Ma – Fox Chase Cancer Center, Philadelphia
- Anna Rodrigues, Fangfang Yin – Duke University, NC

In Memoriam of Jacques Ovadia Reinvigorating Scientific Excellence Electron Beam Therapy – Past, Present and Future