CT Scanner Hardware and Image Quality Assessment

- Slice Sensitivity Profile

Speaker: Jiahua Fan

- Principal Scientist --- GE CT System Physics and Image Quality
 - Image Quality, CT Reconstruction algorithms and system calibration techniques
- Adjunct Assistant Professor --- University of Arizona
- 60+ publications and 10+ patent applications
- Education: PhD, University of Arizona Major: ECE; Minor: Optical Sciences

Funding support, disclosures, and conflicts of interest

- Employee of GE Healthcare
- No other disclosures

Outline

- Introduction
- How to measure SSP
- Examples
- Questions

Introduction: Slice Sensitivity Profile

- The cross-plane (or perpendicular to the scan plane, or Z direction, or table direction) spatial resolution is often described by the slice sensitivity profile (SSP).
- SSP: the CT system response function to a Dirac delta function in Z.
- An SSP curve is typically described by FWHM and FWTM.







FWH(T)M: The distance on the abscissa of an SSP between two points whose values are $\frac{1}{2}$ (1/10) of the maximum value.

Example of FWHM, FWTM



FWHM = 3mm * (1 - 0.5) = 1.5mm FWTM = 3mm * (1 - 0.1) = 2.7mm

How to measure SSP

Phantoms to measure SSP:

- Small bead
- Thin disc
- A shallow-angled slice ramp

Practical considerations:

- Sampling required for accuracy
- Alignment of the phantom
- Scan mode



Examples

SSP measured using a thin disc: same scan data but reconstructed using different slice thickness

2

2.5



SSP measured using a thin wire placed at a shallow angle



 $SSP=SSP_{xy}*tan(\beta)_{2.5mm thickness}$



Questions

Q1. The SSP of a CT system can be described using the function of e^{-x^2} . What is its FWHM value?



20%	1. 0.5
20%	2. $\sqrt{-\ln(0.5)}$
20%	3. 1.0
20%	4. 0.1
20%	5. $\sqrt{-\ln(0.5)}$ *2

10



Ref: Jiang Hsieh, Computed Tomography: principles, design, artifacts, and recent advances, SPIE Press, 2009. Chapter 5, Section 5.1.2.

Q2. Which of the following descriptions about SSP is not correct?

10

20%	1.	Focal spot size could affect the SSP.
20%	2.	For a helical scan, the helical pitch used may affect the SSP.
20%	3.	Increasing the slice thickness will reduce SSP.
20%	4.	SSP describes the cross-plane (Z) spatial resolution.
20%	5.	SSP can be measured by using a small bead.

Answer: 3. Increasing the slice thickness will reduce SSP.

Ref: Jiang Hsieh, Computed Tomography: principles, design, artifacts, and recent advances, SPIE Press, 2009.

CT Scanner Hardware and Image Quality Assessment

 Automatic tube current modulation (ATCM)

Outline

- Introduction
- How to evaluate ATCM
- Examples
- Questions

Introduction: ATCM

- ATCM: Automatic tube current modulation
- Adjust tube current based on patient attenuation
- Maintain consistent image quality level
- Reduce streak artifact
- Aim in protocol optimization and dose management

ATCM

- Adjust tube current as a function of patient attenuation
 - Increase current when higher attenuation
 - Reduce current when lower attenuation
- Axial and longitudinal modulation



Karla *et al.* Techniques and applications of Automatic tube current modulation for CT; Radiology (2004).



Prospective 3D dose modulation

From single low dose scout

Automatically changes mA

- Along patient
- Within a slice

How to evaluate ATCM system

Measurement using variable-sized phantoms



The ImPACT AEC phantom MHRA Report 05016: CT scanner automatic exposure control systems (2005).



The Mercury phantom Wilson *et al.* A methodology for image quality evaluation of advanced CT systems; Medical Physics (2013). ATCM evaluation using the 32cm and 16cm PMMA CTDI dosimetry phantoms (follows the upcoming IEC standard*)

- Test devices:
 - 32 cm diameter PMMA CTDI dosimetry phantoms.
 - 16 cm diameter PMMA CTDI dosimetry phantoms.
 - For pediatrics, a 10 cm diameter PMMA phantom.
- All holes in the phantoms shall be plugged.

* Works in Progress – unpublished - IEC 61223-3-5 Ed. 2: Acceptance and Constancy tests – Imaging performance of computed tomography X-ray equipment.

Size-dependent modulation evaluation

- Place phantoms: the axis of cylindrical symmetry is aligned with z-axis.
- Scan 32cm CTDI phantom using the adult body protocol.
- Scan 16cm CTDI phantom using the same protocol.
- Record the post-scan CTDI_{vol} values reported by the scanner.





Longitudinal modulation evaluation

- Place 32cm CTDI phantom: the axis of cylindrical symmetry is aligned with y-axis.
- Scan the whole 32cm CTDI phantom using the Helical ATCM protocol defined for this test.
- Ensure tube current modulation is clearly evident and is not truncated.
- Record the metric used for the tube current as displayed in images at ~ 6.4 cm (20%), 16 cm (50%), and 25.6 cm (80%) from the leading edge of the 32cm CTDI phantom.





Questions

Q3. Using ATCM on a CT scanner can help reduce:

20%	1.	Beam hardening artifact
20%	2.	Scatter artifact
20%	3.	Ring artifact
20%	4.	Streak artifact
20%	5.	Patient motion artifact



Answer: 4. Streak artifact.

Ref: Jiang Hsieh, Computed Tomography: principles, design, artifacts, and recent advances, SPIE Press, 2009.

Q4. Please select the correct statement about ATCM from the following:

20%	1.	ATCM technique may largely reduce radiation exposure while enabling uniform image quality across different patient body regions.
20%	2.	ATCM is applied based on the geometric size of the scanning object.
20%	3.	ATCM can always reduce dose.
20%	4.	ATCM performance can be completely characterized using the 32cm and 16cm PMMA CTDI dosimetry phantoms.
20%	5.	Using ATCM will always improve image quality.



Please select the correct statement about ATCM from the following:

- 1. ATCM technique may largely reduce radiation exposure while enabling uniform image quality across different patient body regions.
- 2. ATCM is applied based on the geometric size of the scanning object.
- 3. ATCM can always reduce dose.
- 4. ATCM performance can be completely characterized using the 32cm and 16cm PMMA CTDI dosimetry phantoms.
- 5. Using ATCM will always improve image quality.

Answer: 1. ATCM technique may largely reduce radiation exposure while enabling uniform image quality across different patient body regions.

Ref: Jiang Hsieh, Computed Tomography: principles, design, artifacts, and recent advances, SPIE Press, 2009.

References

- Jiang Hsieh, Computed Tomography: principles, design, artifacts, and recent advances, SPIE Press, 2009.
- IEC 61223-3-5 Ed. 1 (2004): Acceptance tests Imaging performance of computed tomography X-ray equipment.
- Works in Progress unpublished IEC 61223-3-5 Ed. 2: Acceptance and Constancy tests – Imaging performance of computed tomography X-ray equipment.

Thank you!