# MAYO CLINIC

# MR Basics II: MR imaging for treatment planning

American Association of Physicists in Medicine 2015 Annual Meeting

Kiaran P. M<sup>c</sup>Gee Ph.D. Associate Professor of Radiologic Physics Mayo Clinic and Foundation



# Imaging in Radiation Therapy: MR or CT?



# MR Imaging: Structure, Morphology and Function Relative cerebral blood







volume

### **4D Flow Quantification**



# <image>

### **First Pass Perfusion Imaging**





# To answer the question:

# How do I start, develop, and maintain a program for MR imaging in radiation therapy?

# Outline

- Choosing an MR scanner
- MR Imaging techniques and pulse sequences
- Diagnostic vs. radiation planning MR Imaging
- Radiation therapy MR planning protocols
- MR imaging in the treatment position
- Sources of error in MR and corrective methods
- QA & QC
- Conclusions

# **Choosing an MR Scanner**

# **Choosing an MR Scanner**

- Field strength
- RF coils
- Bore Diameter
- Software
  - 2D vs. 3D pulse sequences
  - Advanced imaging (diffusion, perfusion, MRE...)
  - Post processing tools
- Vendor relationships

# Choosing an MR Scanner: Field Strength

1.5T vs. 3.0T

• 3.0T Pros:



- MR signal scales with field strength (B<sub>0</sub>)
- Potentially faster or higher resolution imaging
- 3.0T Cons:
  - Cost:  $\$ \cong B_0$
  - Artifacts are worse at 3T
  - RF power deposition (SAR) scales with B<sub>0</sub><sup>2</sup>

# **Field Strength Considerations**

### **Magnetic Field Strength**

$$P = \frac{1 - e^{\left(-\mu B/k_B T\right)}}{1 + e^{\left(-\mu B/k_B T\right)}} \approx \frac{\mu B}{2k_B T}$$

**RF** Heating (Specific Absorption Rate - SAR)

SAR  $\alpha B_0^2 \theta^2 \Delta f$ 



Magnetic Field Strength (B<sub>0</sub>)



# **Choosing an MR Scanner: RF Coils**

- Consider how the scanner will be used clinically:
  - Will it be used for both diagnostic and therapy MR imaging or therapy only?
- What coils are provided for diagnostic imaging?
- What suite of surface/flexible coils are available and what is their interconnectivity?

# **Choosing an MR Scanner: RF Coils**

- Diagnostic RF coils:
  - Uniform sensitivity within imaging volume
  - Form factors tailored to specific anatomic sites
  - Close proximity to patient
  - Assumes patients are in neutral position



# **Choosing an MR Scanner: RF Coils**

# Therapy planning RF coils:

- Generic surface array coils
- Incomplete coverage of imaging volume
- Challenging to place coils close to patient due to immobilization devices



Flexible surface array coils



Non uniform volumetric coverage

# Choosing an MR Scanner: Bore Diameter & Gradient Performance

60 cm Bore	70 cm Bore
------------	------------

Max. gradient amplitude	50 mT/m	44 mT/m
Max. slew rate	200 T/m/s	200 T/m/s
Echo Planar Imaging		
Min. Echo Spacing - 25 cm FOV (64x64)	0.376 msec	0.456 msec
Min. Echo Spacing - 48 cm FOV (64x64)	0.256 msec	0.328 msec
Minimum TR (256 x 256)	5.0 ms	6.0 ms
Minimum TE (256 x 256)	1.5 ms	1.6 ms

# **Choosing an MR Scanner: MR Software & Vendor**

# • Software:

- Does the vendor have the pulse sequences needed for radiation planning?
- What are the post processing options available?
  - Reformatting
  - Advanced post processing
- Vendor:
  - What type of relationship do you expect with your vendor
  - What is the vendor's long term product roadmap



# 2D vs. 3D Imaging

- 3D Imaging
  - Pros:
    - Increase in SNR  $\alpha \sqrt{Slices}$
    - Potential for isotropic resolution (improved reformatted data)
  - Cons:
    - More susceptible to motion corruption
    - Insufficient tissue contrasts
    - Artifacts
- Does the vendor provide sufficient range of pulse sequence types for 2D and 3D imaging?

# 2D vs. 3D Data

# 2D Sagittal T<sub>1</sub> FLAIR (5 mm) **Coronal Reformat Axial Reformat**

# 2D vs. 3D Data

## **3D Sagittal MPRAGE (1 mm) Coronal Reformat Axial Reformat**



# Planning MR-CT Fusion & Registration2D Ax T2 FS3D Coronal CUBE T2 FS



### **CT-MR Fusion – Axial**

### **CT-MR Fusion – Coronal**



ap-sclay - Blended with registered image: Cor CUBE T2 FS

# **Target Volume Accuracy**



# **Advanced MR Techniques for Radiation Planning**

- MR provides variety of both structural and functional information
- Tempting to rely on new methods (perfusion, fMRI, DTI, MRE, etc) for high precision radiation therapy planning
- Need to understand methods, reproducibility/accuracy of data, what is being measured before using information

### **First pass perfusion**



Relative cerebral blood volume



### **Diffusion Tensor Tractography**



fMRI

MR Elastography





# MR Imaging Techniques & Pulse Sequences



# **Pulse Sequence Basics**



# **Free induction Decay**

- Apply RF pulse to create transverse magnetization
- Signal will rapidly decay due to T<sub>2</sub>\* dephasing



# **Pulse Sequence Basics**

Gradient Recalled Echo (GRE)



# **Gradient Recalled Echo (GRE) Imaging**



# **Pulse Sequence Basics**



Gradient Recalled Echo (GRE)

> Balanced SSFP

# **Balanced SSFP vs Spoiled GRE**

### Spoiled GRE

### **Balanced SSFP GRE**



# **Pulse Sequence Basics**



### Spin Echo



# **The Pulse Sequence Diagram**



# **The Pulse Sequence Diagram**



# **The Pulse Sequence Diagram**

### **Repetition Time (TR)**



# **MR Image Contrast**



# **Sagittal Spine**





TE = 13 msec TR = 450 msec

# **Sagittal Spine**





# TE = 114 msec TR = 3267 msec
## **T<sub>1</sub>-Weighted**

## T<sub>2</sub>-Weighted



TE = 13 msec TR = 450 msec TE = 114 msec TR = 3267 msec

# **MR Protocol**

- Collection of imaging sequences
- Executed for a given indication/disease site
- Provide variety of contrasts and functional information

S 1.				
nt (RF)	Imaging		Acquisition Timing	
Head+Neck 48	Scan plane	3-PLANE	Frequency	384
Timing	Mode	2D	Phase	160
	Pulse sequence	Spin Echo	phase field of view	1.00
00.0	Imaging Options	Seq, EDR, Fast, SS, ARC	phase correct	No
Minimum 83.33	33 Additional Parameter	rs	swap phase & frequency	Unswap
	User CVs		autosnim	Auto
	CV1	1.00	Range / Prescription	
	CV2	240.00	field of view	44.0
	CV13	1.00	slice thickness	10.0
	CV15	0.00	slice spacing	15.0
	S 1. Head+Neck 48 Timing 80.0 Minimum 83.33	S 1.   Imaging   Head+Neck 48 Scan plane   Timing Mode   80.0 Imaging Options   Minimum Additional Parameter   83.33 User CVs   CV1 CV2   CV13 CV15	S 1.   Imaging   Head+Neck 48 Scan plane 3-PLANE   Timing Mode 2D   80.0 Pulse sequence Spin Echo   Minimum Additional Parameters   83.33 User CVs   CV1 1.00   CV2 240.00   CV13 1.00   CV15 0.00	S 1.   Imaging   Acquisition Timing     Head+Neck 48   Scan plane   3-PLANE   Frequency     Timing   Mode   2D   Phase     80.0   Mode   Spin Echo   phase field of view     Minimum   Moditional Parameters   seq, EDR, Fast, SS, ARC   phase correct     83.33   User CVs   autoshim     CV1   1.00   Range / Prescription     CV13   1.00   silce thickness     CV13   1.00   silce thickness     CV15   0.00   silce spacing

ERIE	\$ 2				
atie	nt (RF)	Imaging		Acquisition Timing	
oli	Head+Neck 48	Scan plane	CORONAL	Frequency	384
Scan	Timing	Mode	3D	Phase	256
TE TR ETL	Minimum 650.0 24	Pulse sequence Imaging Options Additional Paramete	Cube NPW, EDR, Fast, ZIP2, FR, ARC	NEX phase correct swap phase & frequency autoshim	1.00 No S/I Auto
W1 02.00	CV22	3.00	Range / Prescription		
				silces per slab	180
				field of view	34.0
				slice thickness	1.4

SERI	ES 3.	;			
Patie	nt (RF)	Imaging		Acquisition Timing	
Coll	Head+Neck 48	Scan plane	CORONAL 3D	Frequency	320
Scan	Timing	Pulse sequence	Cube	NEX	2.00
TE	100.0	Imaging Options	FC, NPW, EDR, Fast, ZIP2, FR, ARC	phase correct	No
ETL 110		Additional Parameters		Flow comp direction F	Freq
BW1	62.50	User CVs		autoshim	Auto
		CV5 CV20	0.85	Range / Prescription	
		CV22	2.00	slices per slab	170
				field of view	34.0
				slice thickness	1.2
				number of silces	1

S

# **Diagnostic vs. Radiation Planning MRI**

## **Diagnostic MRI:**

- What is the problem?
- High conspicuity
- Dedicated/customized RF coils
- Multiple sequences:
  - Varying contrast
  - Functional information
  - Often qualitative



## **Planning MRI:**

- What is the spatial extent of the problem?
- Where are the adjacent radiosensitive organs?
- High resolution 3D
- Image in treatment position
- Non ideal (surface coils)
- Relatively limited imaging sequences
- Requires large FOV data

# **Radiation Planning Protocols: Tissue Contrasts**

• Pre Contrast T<sub>1</sub>:

 Identification of tumor volume, lymph node involvement and organs at risk (OAR)

- Pre Contrast T<sub>2</sub>:
  - Visualization of fat/fluid infiltration
- Post Contrast T<sub>1</sub>:
  - Differentiate between tumor (enhancement) and fat/edema
  - Often compare to pre contrast T<sub>2</sub> to improve differentiation

#### The Potential for an Enhanced Role For MRI in Radiation-therapy Treatment Planning

#### www.tcrt.org DOI: 10.7785/tcrt.2012.500342

The exquisite soft-tissue contrast of magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) has meant that the technique is having an increasing role in contouring the gross tumor volume (GTV) and organs at risk (OAR) in radiation therapy treatment planning systems (TPS). MRI-planning scans from diagnostic MRI scanners are currently incorporated into the planning process by being registered to CT data. The soft-tissue data from the MRI provides target outline guidance and the CT provides a solid geometric and electron density map for accurate dose calculation on the TPS computer. There is increasing interest in MRI machine placement in radiotherapy clinics as an adjunct to CT simulators. Most vendors now offer 70 cm bores with flat couch inserts and specialised RF coil designs. We would refer to these devices as MR-simulators. There is also research into the future application of MR-simulators independent of CT and as in-room image-guidance devices. It is within the background of this increased interest in the utility of MRI in radiotherapy treatment planning that this paper is couched. The paper outlines publications that deal with standard MRI sequences used in current clinical practice. It then discusses the potential for using processed functional diffusion maps (fDM) derived from diffusion weighted image sequences in tracking tumor activity and tumor recurrence. Next, this paper reviews publications that describe the use of MRI in patient-management applications that may, in turn, be relevant to radiotherapy treatment planning. The review briefly discusses the concepts behind functional techniques such as dynamic contrast enhanced (DCE), diffusion-weighted (DW) MRI sequences and magnetic resonance spectroscopic imaging (MRSI). Significant applications of MR are discussed in terms of the following treatment sites: brain, head and neck, breast, lung, prostate and cervix. While not yet routine, the use of apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) map analysis indicates an exciting future application for functional MRI. Although DW-MRI has not yet been routinely used in boost adaptive techniques, it is being assessed in cohort studies for sub-volume boosting in prostate tumors.

Key words: MRI; Radiation therapy; Radiation; Therapy treatment planning.

Abbreviations: ADC: Apparent Diffusion Coefficient; AVM: Arteriovenous Malformation; BOLD: Blood Oxygen Level Dependent; CC: Corpus Carvernosum; CT: Computed Tomography; CTV: Clinical Tarset Volume; 4DCT: 4 Dimensional Computed Tomography; DW: Diffusion Weighted; DCE: Dynamic Contrast Enhanced; DNP: Dynamic Nuclear Polarization; EPI: Echo Planar Imaging; fDM: Functional Diffusion Map; fV: Functional Lung Volume; FFE-EPI: Fast Field Echo Planar Imaging; FIESTA: Fast Image Employing Steady State Acquisition; FLASH: Fast Low Angle Shot; FLAIR: Fast Fluid-attenuated Inversion Recovery; fMRI: Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging; FSRT: Fractionated Stereotactic Radiation Therapy; GTV: Gross Tumor Volume: HNSCC: Head and Neck Squamous Cell Carcinioma; IGBT: Image Guided Brachytherapy; IPA: Internal Pudental Artery; MRA: Magnetic Resonance Angiography; MRI: Magnetic Resonance Imaging; MRSI: Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopic Imaging; NSCLC: Non Small Cell Lung Cancer; PET: Positron Emission Tomography; PHIP: Parahydrogen Induced Polarization; PTV: Planning Target Volume; SPGR: Spoiled Gradient; SSFSE: Single Shot Fast Spin Echo; SRS: Stereotactic Radiosurgery; T1: Longitudinal Relaxation Time; T2: Transverse Relaxation Time; TB: Tumor Bed; TPS: Treatment Planning System; TR: Repetition Time; TrueFIST: True Fast Imaging with Steady State Precession; VMAT: Volumetric Modulated Arc Therapy.

P. Metcalfe, Ph.D.<sup>1\*</sup> G. P. Liney, Ph.D.<sup>2,3</sup> L. Holloway, Ph.D.<sup>1,3,4,5</sup> A. Walker, B.Sc.(hons)<sup>1</sup> M. Barton, M.D.<sup>3,4</sup> G. P. Delaney, M.D.<sup>3,4</sup> S. Vinod, M.D.<sup>3,4</sup> W. Tomé, Ph.D.<sup>6,7</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Centre for Medical Radiation Physics, University of Wollongong, NSW, Australia

#### <sup>2</sup>Queen's Centre for Oncology, Hull & East Yorkshire Hospitals NHS Trust, Hull, UK

<sup>3</sup>Liverpool Cancer Therapy Centre and Ingham Institute, Liverpool Hospital, Liverpool, NSW, Australia <sup>4</sup>South West Clinical School, University of New South Wales, NSW <sup>5</sup>Institute of Medical Physics, University of Sydney, NSW <sup>6</sup>Departments of Human Oncology and Medical Physics, University of Wisconsin Medical School, Madison, Wisconsin, USA

<sup>7</sup>Institute of Oncophysics, Albert Einstein College of Medicine of Yeshiva University, Bronx, NY, USA

nt \*Corresponding author: n; P. Metcalfe, Ph.D. E-mail: metcalfe@uow.edu.au

# **Tissue Contrasts**

"T<sub>1</sub>-weighted images are considered best for gross structural information (anatomy) and T<sub>2</sub>-weighted images for ..... pathology information."

# **MR Protocols for Radiation Planning**

Brain

**1.** T<sub>1</sub>-weighed

**2.**  $T_2$ -weighted with fat saturation

**Soft Tissue** 

-----CONTRAST------

**1.**  $T_1$ -weighed

2. T<sub>2</sub>-weighted with fat saturation

**1.** T<sub>1</sub>-weighted

2. T<sub>2</sub>-weighted with fat saturation

Bone

-----CONTRAST------

**3.** T<sub>1</sub>-weighted with fat saturation

3. T<sub>1</sub>-weighted

**3.** T<sub>1</sub>-weighted with fat saturation

-----CONTRAST------

# **Soft Tissue Treatment Planning**



## **Pre Contrast**

## **Post Contrast**

# Soft Tissue Treatment Planning

### Fat Saturated T<sub>2</sub>-Weighted

T<sub>1</sub>-Weighted

T<sub>1</sub>-Weighted



## **Pre Contrast**

**Post Contrast** 

# **Bone Treatment Planning**

## Fat Saturated T<sub>2</sub>-Weighted

T<sub>1</sub>-Weighted

T<sub>1</sub>-Weighted



## **Pre Contrast**

**Post Contrast** 

# **MR Imaging in Treatment Position**

- **RF Coils and Immobilization**
- Set up Instructions:
  - Coils and immobilization
  - Set up and imaging
  - Protocol Instructions

# **MRI in Treatment Position: Coils and Immobilization**









# MRI in Treatment Position: Setup & Imaging Instructions

## **Details and pictures highlight:**

- Fabrication of immobilization
- Placement of immobilization
- Coil configuration
- Anatomy wrt coils

## **Typical coverage listed:**

- tumor + edema + closest joint (prefer scan range to cover 4-5cm beyond extent)
- Typical coverage for proximal femur – include distal pelvis

#### Photons - Hand with Moldcare or Klarity and Mask, with Arm Above Head: •

- PLACEMENT: Index the middle locking bar to H5 (Figure 1)
- COIL: Use RT HEAD (posterior array and 6 channel flex coils, Figure 2)
- ANATOMY: Confirm posterior array spans the entire region of interest (Figure 2, arrow)
- Secure with tape or strap (Figure 3, arrow)





#### Photons – Axilla, arm at side akimbo in vacloc:

- PLACEMENT: Position Vacloc superiorly on the couch overlay (Figure 4)
- COIL: Use RT CHEST (posterior array and large flex coil, using foam pads to keep flex coil off of chin (Figure 4,5)
- ANATOMY: Confirm posterior array spans the entire region of interest (Figure 5, arrow)



#### Photons – Feet First / VacLoc / Contralateral leg on Styrofoam blocks: IF COVERAGE DOES NOT EXTEND SUPERIORITY BEYOND KNEF

- PLACEMENT: Position knee joint around H2/H1 (Figure 1)
- COIL: Use RT CHEST (posterior array and large flex coil, Figure 1)
- ANATOMY: Confirm posterior array spans the entire region of interest (Figure 1, arrow)



#### IF COVERAGE DOES EXTEND SUPERIORLY BEYOND KNEE

- PLACEMENT: Position Vacloc so inferior extent of region of interest is around H2/H3 (Figure 2)
- COIL: Use spine coil and anterior torso array Figure 2)
- ANATOMY: Confirm spine coil spans the entire region of interest (Figure 2, arrow)
- If anterior torso array is not stable, place the one side of it under the contralateral leg



#### Photons - Scapula SBRT, arm at side in blue BodyFix bag:

- FABRICATION: Build up at foot end so bag will fit on MR couch (Figure 6)
- PLACEMENT: Index the middle locking bar to F1 (Figure 7)
- ANATOMY: Confirm spine coil spans the entire region of interest (Figure 8)
- COIL: Use spine coil in table and anterior torso array supported on rainbow bridges) (Figure 9)





#### FOV / Scanning Range:

- Per Radiation Oncologist
- Typical coverage: want to see the tumor and edema and closest joint would prefer to scan 4-Scm beyond extent
- Typical coverage if proximal femur: for very proximal femur cases, imaging the distal pelvis is required, i.e. scan proximally to 4cm rostral to the roof of the acetabulum

#### Data Transfer:

- Send to ONC2 (x-ray) or ONC1 (proton)
- Archive all series

# **MRI in Treatment Position: Protocol Instructions**

- Each protocol has a document
- Indications help the dosimetrist know which protocol table to review with the radiation oncologist
- Table filled out by the dosimetrist with the area to be scanned, with any edits per radiation oncologist

### RO Tx Pln – MSK Lower Extremity – MR50

### Indications:

• Bone or soft tissue sarcoma in lower extremity (femur, calf, foot)

### **Current Protocol on MR50:**

RO Tx Plan – MSK Rad Onc Lower Extremity Switch patient to 1.5T if any metal present in volume of interest (including clips)				
make sure 3D Distortion correction on 3D sequences before acquisition				
FOV and RF coil choice based on Radiation Therapy planning requirements and patient immobilization device				
AREA TO BE SCANNED:				
Sequence:	Reformat:	DEFAULT (required) vs. DEVELOPMENT (optional)	Comment	
Cor Cube T1	2mm axials	DEFAULT	NO FS; Acquire per Radiology	
	AND 3mm axials		Switch to sag instead of cor if needed per positioning	
Cor Cube T2 FS	2mm axials AND 3mm axials	DEFAULT	Switch to sag instead of cor if needed per positioning	
POST-CONTRAST				
Cor Cube T1 FS	2mm axials AND	DEFAULT	RadOnc may decide they do not need a T1 gad series	
	3mm axials		Switch to sag instead of cor if needed per positioning	

# **Sources of Error in MRI**

# Sources of error in MR

- Spatial distortion:
  - B<sub>0</sub> inhomogeneity
  - Gradient non linearity
- RF non uniformity
- Susceptibility induced distortion
- Motion and organ filling

# **Spatial Distortion in MRI**

## Superconducting coils

Magnetic field inhomogeneity at edge of imaging volume

**NR Scanner Main Magnetic Field** 

# **Spatial Distortion in MRI**

## Superior

## Inferior





# **B**<sub>0</sub> Inhomogeneity: Shoulder Imaging







# **Spatial Encoding Gradient Fields**

**Gradient** Coils



Jacobs M A et al. Radiographics 2007; 27: 1213-1229

## **1.5T MR Scanner Spatial Distortion**



Wang et al. Magnetic Resonance Imaging, Volume 22, Issue 9, 2004, 1211 - 1221

# **Acceptable Spatial Distortion Limits**

# Characterization, prediction, and correction of geometric distortion in 3 T MR images

### Lesley N. Baldwin<sup>a)</sup>

Division of Medical Physics, Departments of Physics and Oncolog Department of Medical Physics, Cross Cancer Institute, 11560 Un Alberta T6G 1Z2, Canada

### Keith Wachowicz

Division of Medical Physics, Department of Oncology, University Physics, Cross Cancer Institute, 11560 University Avenue, Edmont

Steven D. Thomas, Ryan Rivest, and B. Gino Fallone Division of Medical Physics, Departments of Physics and Oncolog Department of Medical Physics, Cross Cancer Institute, 11560 Uni Alberta T6G 1Z2, Canada

(Received 9 July 2006; revised 4 October 2006; accepted fo published 8 January 2007)



 Baldwin et al reported on spatial distortion of ~ 5mm within 20 cm radius centered at isocenter for a 60 cm 3T scanner (Med. Phys. 34(2), 2007)

# **Spatial Distortion: Correction Methods**

## • B<sub>0</sub> Corrections:

Passive and active shimming techniques

## • Gradient Field Corrections:

- Distortion can be modeled and corrected
- Correction algorithms applied for all 3 gradient axes
- <u>Check to make sure that gradient distortion</u> <u>correction is on!</u>

# **Gradient Non Linearity: Corrections**

### **Gradient Distortion**

### Original

### Corrected

Difference



# **RF Non Uniformity**

- RF field (coil sensitivity) falls off nonlinearly with depth from coil
- Surface (receive-only) coils create non uniform MR images
- Typically require some type of post processing to correct for non uniform image intensity

# RF ( B<sub>1</sub><sup>-</sup>) Field Inhomogeneities

## 8 Channel Head Coil



# **Uniformity Correction**

## Original

## **Intensity Corrected**

Difference









# Magnetic Susceptibility (X)

## Magnetization of a material M is given by:

 $M = M_0 + XH$ 

## M<sub>0</sub> = Inherent magnetization of material

XH = Magnetization induced by externally applied magnetic field

## Susceptibility Induced Field Distortion Object





Joakim H. et al., Internal Fiducial Markers and Susceptibility Effects in MRI: Simulation and Measurement of Spatial Accuracy, IJROBP, Vol. 82, # 5, 2012, pp 1612 - 1618

# **Challenges: Foreign Metal Implants**



# **Field Strength Dependency**

## 1.5T



 Susceptibility induced distortion scales with field strength

 3.0T will greater artifacts compared to 1.5T

## **3.0T**

# **Foreign Metal Implants**

- Foreign metal implants pose significant image quality problems in MR
- Differences in magnetic susceptibility, geometry and orientation with respect to B<sub>0</sub> make artifacts difficult to eliminate
- Metal artifact reduction sequences designed to reduce these effects but don't eliminate them



# **Metal Reduction Techniques**

### **T<sub>1</sub>-weighted 3D Spin Echo**

### T<sub>1</sub>-weighted 2D Metal Reduction Technique



# **Metal Reduction Techniques**

### T<sub>2</sub>-weighted 3D Spin Echo

### T<sub>2</sub>-weighted 2D Metal Reduction Technique



# **Organ Filling and Motion**

# **Organ Filling for OAR**

- Organ filling, most notably the bladder can cause shifts in OAR and other structures.
- Result in over/under dose of tissue and result in sub optimal treatment.
- For external beam treatment of the prostate Pinkawa et al. have reported and almost doubling of dose when bladder is empty compared to full.


Int. J. Radiation Oncology Biol. Phys., Vol. 64, No. 3, pp. 856–861, 2006 Copyright © 2006 Elsevier Inc. Printed in the USA. All rights reserved 0360-3016/06/\$–see front matter

doi:10.1016/j.ijrobp.2005.08.016

#### CLINICAL INVESTIGATION

Prostate

#### PROSTATE POSITION VARIABILITY AND DOSE-VOLUME HISTOGRAMS IN RADIOTHERAPY FOR PROSTATE CANCER WITH FULL AND EMPTY BLADDER

Results: Compared with the primary scan, FB volume varied more than EB volume (standard deviation, 106 cm<sup>3</sup> vs. 47 cm<sup>3</sup>), but the prostate/seminal vesicle center of mass position variability was the same (>3 mm deviation in right–left, anterior–posterior, and superior–inferior directions in 0, 41%, and 33%, respectively, with FB vs. 0, 44%, and 33% with EB). The bladder volume treated with 90% of the prescription dose was significantly larger with EB (39% ± 14% vs. 22% ± 10%; p < 0.01). Bowel loops received ≥90% of prescription dose in 37% (3% with FB; p < 0.01).

with FB and EB before and after 4 and 8 weeks of radiation therapy. The scans were matched by alignment of pelvic bones. Displacements of the prostate/seminal vesicle organ borders and center of mass were determined. Treatment plans (FB vs. EB) were compared.

<u>Results</u>: Compared with the primary scan, FB volume varied more than EB volume (standard deviation, 106 cm<sup>3</sup> vs. 47 cm<sup>3</sup>), but the prostate/seminal vesicle center of mass position variability was the same (>3 mm deviation in right–left, anterior–posterior, and superior–inferior directions in 0, 41%, and 33%, respectively, with FB vs. 0, 44%, and 33% with EB). The bladder volume treated with 90% of the prescription dose was significantly larger with EB (39% ± 14% vs. 22% ± 10%; p < 0.01). Bowel loops received ≥90% of prescription dose in 37% (3% with FB; p < 0.01).

Conclusion: Despite the larger variability of bladder filling, prostate position stability was the same with FB compared with EB. An increased amount of bladder volume in the high-dose region and a higher dose to bowel loops result from treatment plans with EB. © 2006 Elsevier Inc.

Prostate neoplasm, Radiotherapy, Organ motion, Treatment planning.

### **Organ Filling: Full vs Empty Bladder**

**ΔT = 39:23 (min : sec)** 

### Full Bladder Volume = 245 ml

**Empty Bladder Volume = 134 ml** 



## **Organ and Bulk Motion**

- Motion during imaging results in blurring and signal loss
- Motion sources:
  - Respiration and peristalsis
  - Bulk patient motion
- Volumetric (long) acquisitions more susceptible to these effects





### **Motion Example: L Spine Imaging**

#### T<sub>2</sub> Coronal Volumetric

T<sub>2</sub> Coronal Volumetric – Axial Reformat Blurring



# Motion vs. No Motion

### Source Data Reformatted



**No Motion** 

### Motion

### **Motion Tracking Techniques: Navigator Echoes**



### **Retrospective Motion Correction: Navigator Echoes**



### **Prospective Motion Correction: Navigator Echoes**

#### Coronal MR Image



'Pencil beam' Navigator

### 'Pencil beam' Navigator profile



### **4D-MRI**



- 3D FLASH with DC navigator:
  - Self-navigated
- Time-locked temporal reshuffling of k-Space:
  - Retrospective rebinning

#### Paulson ES, 2<sup>nd</sup> MRI in RT Symposium, 2014



**Courtesy Eric Paulson Ph.D., Medical College of Wisconsin** 

## **Quality Assurance & Quality Control**

## QA & QC

- Establish a QA/QC program that is traceable to established standards and tolerances
  - American College of Radiology (ACR) MR QC program
  - AAPM TG reports for other parameters
- Check with your service team/provider regarding preventative maintenance
- QA/QC program should test all aspects of process with known and measurable tolerances/limits
- Will likely involve development of additional phantoms & testing protocols

## ACR MRI Weekly QC Standards

• Parameters derived from T<sub>1</sub>-weighted sagittal and axial images of 'ACR phantom':

*Center frequency* **Transmit Gain/Attenuation** Geometric accuracy High-contrast spatial resolution Slice thickness Slice position *Image intensity uniformity* Percent-signal ghosting *Low-contrast object detectability* Signal-to-noise

- Phantom images are reviewed and free of artifacts
- MR Table and operator console are fully functional
- Visual inspection of specified items



### **3D Large FOV Distortion Phantom**

**CAD** Phantom

**Physical Phantom** 

Paintball inserts

Hwang KP, Illerstam F, Torfeh T, Maier J, Shave S, Hoang M. Spatial Accuracy QA of an MR System. AAPM 2014.

### **3D Distortion Phantom**



## End-to-End QA / QC testing

	Item/Procedure	Reference	Tolerance
Siting	Vibration	AAPM Report 100	?
	Proximity to conventional linac	Earth	0.5G fringe at linac
Imaging	B0 homogeneity	AAPM Report 100	<0.5 ppm RMS
	B0 drift	AAPM Report 100	<0.25 ppm/day
	B1+ Gains	AAPM Report 100	<5% manual vs auto
	Geometric accuracy (ACR Phantom)	ACR	2 mm
	Percent image uniformity (volume coils)	ACR	>87% 1.5T, >82% 3T
	Percent signal ghosting	ACR	<2.5%
RT	External lasers/MR isocenter	TG-66	2 mm
	Couch indexing and repositioning	TG-66	1 mm

#### **Courtesy Eric Paulson Ph.D., Medical College of Wisconsin**

### Conclusions

- Consider both the strengths and limitations when choosing your MR scanner:
  - Field strength
  - Bore diameter vs. gradient performance
  - RF coils
  - Software (pulse sequences and post processing options)
- Diagnostic MR ≠ Therapy planning MRI

### Conclusions

- Beware of artifacts:
  - Foreign metal implants
  - Gradient and B<sub>0</sub> field distortion
  - RF coil uniformity
  - Motion
- When at all possible collaborate with your diagnostic colleagues
- Establish and maintain a QA/QC program