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- Consult with Diagnostic Radiologist and Physicist
- Work collaboratively and develop synergies
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Siting Considerations

New Comprehensive Cancer Center
- December 2014 Opening
- 275 private in-patient beds
- Radiation Oncology located on 2nd floor
- 7 LINACS with OBI and CBCT
- CT Simulator
- PET/CT Simulator
- Brachytherapy Suite
- MR Simulator
Siting Considerations
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Siting Considerations
MRI Zones

Zone 1: free accessible
Zone 2: interface
Zone 3: restricted area
Zone 4: MR magnet room

MR Personnel
Non-MR personnel: patients, visitors, staff
Level 1: passed minimal safety and education training, Zone 3-4
Level 2: extensively trained, gatekeeper of Zone 4
## Siting Requirements – Interfere with Magnet

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of Interference</th>
<th>1.5T X/Y and Z Axis</th>
<th>3.0T X/Y and Z Axis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Steel reinforcement</td>
<td>4’-2”</td>
<td>4’-2”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water cooling unit, chiller</td>
<td>13’-1”</td>
<td>13’-1”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport devices up to 440 lbs</td>
<td>17’-5” / 21’-40”</td>
<td>19’-8” / 22’-11”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicles up to 2,000 lbs</td>
<td>18’-5” / 24’-8”</td>
<td>21’-3” / 26”-2”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elevators, trucks up to 10,000 lbs</td>
<td>20’-5” / 29’-7”</td>
<td>22’-11” / 31’-2”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC transformers less than 100 KVA</td>
<td>39’-5” / 26’-2”</td>
<td>39’-4” / 26’-2”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC cables, motors less than 100 AMPS</td>
<td>9’-10” / 6’-6”</td>
<td>9’-10” / 6’-6”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Example specifications applicable to Siemens Skyra (3T) and Aera (1.5T), Siemens Healthcare, Erlangen, Germany
### Siting Considerations (Magnetic Fringe Fields) – Interfere with Object

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Devices</th>
<th>Field Strength</th>
<th>1.5T X/Y and Z axis</th>
<th>3.0T X/Y and Z axis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Small motors, watches, cameras, credit card</td>
<td>3.0 mT</td>
<td>6’-1” / 9’-2”</td>
<td>6’-11” / 10’-6”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computers, magnetic disk, processors</td>
<td>1.0 mT</td>
<td>7”-3” / 11’-6”</td>
<td>7’-7” / 13’-2”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiac pacemakers, x-ray tubes, insulin pumps</td>
<td>0.5 mT</td>
<td>8’-3” / 13’-2”</td>
<td>8’-7” / 15’-2”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Color monitors, CT scanner</td>
<td>0.15 mT</td>
<td>9’-9” / 16’-1”</td>
<td>11’-2” / 20’-1”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LINAC</td>
<td>0.1 mT</td>
<td>10”-4” / 17’-1’</td>
<td>12’-6” / 22’-4”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-ray image intensifier, gamma camera, PET/cyclotron</td>
<td>0.05 mT</td>
<td>13’-1” / 22’-3”</td>
<td>16’1” / 26’-11”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Example specifications applicable to Siemens Skyra (3T) and Aera (1.5T), Siemens Healthcare, Erlangen, Germany
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Devices</th>
<th>Field Strength</th>
<th>1.5T X/Y and Z axis</th>
<th>3.0T X/Y and Z axis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Small motors, watches, cameras, credit card</td>
<td>3.0 mT</td>
<td>6’-1” / 9’-2”</td>
<td>6’-11” / 10’-6”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computers, magnetic disk, processors</td>
<td>1.0 mT</td>
<td>7”-3” / 11’-6”</td>
<td>7’-7” / 13’-2”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cardiac pacemakers, x-ray tubes, insulin pumps</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.5 mT</strong></td>
<td><strong>8’-3” / 13’-2”</strong></td>
<td><strong>8’-7” / 15’-2”</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Color monitors, CT scanner</td>
<td>0.15 mT</td>
<td>9’-9” / 16’-1”</td>
<td>11’-2” / 20’-1”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LINAC</td>
<td>0.1 mT</td>
<td>10”-4” / 17’-1”</td>
<td>12’-6” / 22’-4”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-ray image intensifier, gamma camera, PET/cyclotron</td>
<td>0.05 mT</td>
<td>13’-1” / 22’-3”</td>
<td>16’1” / 26’-11”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Example specifications applicable to Siemens Skyra (3T) and Aera (1.5T), Siemens Healthcare, Erlangen, Germany
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Updates and Changes to ACR MRI QC Manual

- Interslice RF interference removed
- Magnetic homogeneity and percent image uniformity procedure changes
  - ≥87.5% for systems up to 1.5T
  - ≥82% for 3T systems
- Signal ghosting added
  - ≤2.5%
- Low-contrast detection
  - 9 rows total for systems up to 1.5T
  - 37 rows for 3T systems
- Assessment of MR safety program
ACR MRI Phantom

- Filled with nickel chloride and sodium chloride solution (10 mM NiCl$_2$ and 75 mM NaCl)
- Length 148 mm
- Diameter 190 mm
- J.M. Specialty Parts Inc

ACR MRI Phantom

- Must be capable of providing tests substantially equivalent to the ACR phantoms and after they have been approved by a QMP or MR scientist.
QMP Responsibilities

- Commission testing
QMP Responsibilities

- Commission testing
- **Annual testing**
  - ACR, 12 months not to exceed 14 months
  - JC, 12 months not to exceed 13 months
- Repeat appropriate testing after major repair or upgrade
QMP Responsibilities

- Commission testing
- Annual testing
  - ACR, 12 months not to exceed 14 months
  - JC, 12 months not to exceed 13 months
- Repeat appropriate testing after major repair or upgrade
- Establish Quality Control program
  - Baseline measurements and action limits
  - Establishment of new baseline values if needed after major repair
- Review QC records at least annually
QMP Responsibilities

- Commission testing
- Annual testing
  - ACR, 12 months not to exceed 14 months
  - JC, 12 months not to exceed 13 months
- Repeat appropriate testing after major repair or upgrade
- Establish Quality Control program
  - Baseline measurements and action limits
  - Establishment of new baseline values if needed after major repair
- Review QC records at least annually
- **Assessment of MR safety program**
QMP Annual Tests

- Setup and table position accuracy
- Center frequency
- Transmitter gain or attenuation
- Geometric accuracy
- High-contrast spatial resolution
- Low-contrast detectability
- Artifact evaluation
- Film printer QC (if applicable)
- Visual checklist
- Magnetic field homogeneity
- Slice position and thickness accuracy
- Performance testing for coils used clinically
  - SNR
  - Percent image uniformity
  - Percent signal ghosting
- Soft-copy (monitor) QC
MRI QC Technologist’s Responsibilities

- Setup and table position accuracy
- Center frequency
- Transmitter gain or attenuation
- Geometric accuracy measurements
- High-contrast spatial resolution
- Low-contrast detectability
- Artifact evaluation
- Film printer QC (if applicable)
- Visual checklist

All Performed Weekly
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MR Simulation Specific Tests

Daily

- Gantry lasers with center of image plane
MR Simulation Specific Tests

Daily
- Gantry lasers with center of image plane

Weekly
- Gantry lasers with respect to imaging plane
- Lateral wall lasers with respect to gantry lasers and scan plane
- Wall lasers with respect to the imaging plane
- Ceiling laser with respect to the imaging plane
- Orientation of MR tabletop with respect to imaging plane
- Table vertical and longitudinal motion
  - RT tolerance is typically ±1mm while most manufacturer’s specify ±2mm
- Table indexing and position
- Scan localization
MR Simulator Acceptance Testing Results

A5. LAP Laser

Geometry
- LAP Laser to MR Laser: 310 mm
- LAP Laser to MR Isocenter: 1132 mm

Calibration
- Calibration Accuracy: ±4 mm

Pulse Sequence (High FA)
- SPGR, FA 20°, 340mm FOV, 256x256, 5mm slice, 1 slice, 11 slices, TR/TE = 31/MIN ms, NEX 4, BW 250 kHz, Auto Shim.

Image Quality

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Laser</th>
<th>SNR</th>
<th>Ghosting Ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ON</td>
<td>174.6</td>
<td>0.03%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OFF</td>
<td>268.9</td>
<td>0.01%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Any Noticeable Imaging Artifact? No
If yes, Type of Artifact: N/A

Comment: The vendor LAP laser calibration phantom is not available. Calibration was done with Vitamin E capsules with ~9 mm diameter.
MR Distortion Analysis

- Commercially available head phantom (body phantom prototype)
- Spatial resolution of 0.1 mm

Acquisition Technique

- 3D OEM gradient distortion correction is turned ON
- 3D T1 weighted sequence with 1 mm³ isotropic voxels (GE-FLASH, Philips-Fast Field Echo, Siemens-VIBE)
  - 2 NEX
  - TE ~ 4 ms
  - TR ~ 9 ms
  - Flip angle ~ 10°
  - Pixel BW ~ 120 Hz
  - Percent sampling 100%
  - Percent phase FOV 100%
MR Head Distortion Phantom Testing

- Dimension 14x13x11 cm³
- Spatial resolution 0.1 mm

Images courtesy of Lanchun Lu, PhD
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MR Body Distortion Phantom Testing

- 37 cm diameter
- 32 cm length
- 1562 mineral oil points
- Spatial resolution <1 mm$^3$ isotropic voxel

Images courtesy of Lanchun Lu, PhD
The James
Future Considerations

- New phantom design with improved tolerances
- Deformable co-registration
- Multi-modal fusion and co-registration
Which of the following items is least likely to impact the performance of the MR system?

A. C-arm in an adjacent room
B. External lasers
C. Forklift operated in area behind the MR
D. Power injector
E. Patient monitoring device within the MR room
Which of the following items is least likely to impact the performance of the MR system?

ANSWER: A  C-arm in an adjacent room

The performance of the c-arm could be impacted by the MRI but the distance of the c-arm would be too great to cause any interference with the MR system

SAMS Question

Based on the current technologies available for evaluation and equipment performance, what is the achievable MR laser accuracy?

A. 4.0 mm
B. 3.0 mm
C. 2.0 mm
D. 1.0 mm
E. <1.0 mm
Based on the current technologies available for evaluation and equipment performance, what is the achievable MR laser accuracy?

Answer: C  2.0 mm

The manufacturer tolerance is 2.0 mm accuracy.

Reference: OEM equipment manuals, ECRI, MD Buyline
Additional References

- ACR website (www.acr.org)
  - MRI Accreditation Program Requirements
  - Breast MRI Accreditation Program Requirements
  - Phantom Test Guidance for the ACR MRI Accreditation Program