PARTNERS IN SOLUTION IMAGING/DIAGNOSTIC QA SOFTWARE

Tuesday July 14, 3:30 pm - 5:30 pm

Yu Liu Medical College of Wisconsin, Milwaukee, WI

Steve Dyer: "AutoQA Plus - Catphan QA" QA Benchmark, LLC, Frederick, MD

Kenneth Ruchala: "RapidCHECK Software for Diagnostic" Sun Nuclear Corporation, Madison, WI

Brian Cote: "Necessity of monitor quality control and total management with QA software" EIZO, Inc., Cypress, CA

PARTNERS IN SOLUTION IMAGING/DIAGNOSTIC QA SOFTWARE

Thursday July 16, 1:00 pm-3:00 pm

Yu Liu Medical College of Wisconsin, Milwaukee, WI

Erik Wikstrom "Ocean – RTI's QA Software – How can it improve Workflow?" **RTI Group North America**

Felix Schofer "QA solutions for cone beam and computed tomography QA" QUART Gmbh

Matt Whitaker "Interfacility and machine image quality analysis using a cloudbased system" Image Owl, Inc.

Learning Objectives

- Understand various accreditation organizations' imaging physics QA requirements
- Learn commercially available QA software analysis tools



Introduction

- Importance of Quality Assurance (QA)
- OA requirements for Diagnostic Medical Physics
- QA Tasks: Diagnostic Medical Physics
- Solutions From Vendors (Tue & Thurs)
- Questions and Answers



Importance of Quality Assurance for Diagnostic Medical Physics

- Quality and safety improvement for patient care and safety
- Mammography Quality Standards Act (MQSA)(1992)
- Medicare Improvements for Patients and Providers Act (MIPPA)(2008)



QA requirements for Diagnostic Medical Physics

The Joint Commission (TJC)

- American College of Radiology Accreditation (ACR)
- Intersocietal Accreditation Commission (IAC)
- ACR-AAPM-SIIM Technical Standard for Electronic Practice of Medical Imaging



Diagnostic Imaging Modalities Requiring Physics QA-TJC

- X-ray radiography/Fluoroscopy
- Computed Tomography

- Magnetic Resonance Imaging
- Nuclear Medicine/Positron Emission Tomography (PET)



Diagnostic Imaging Modalities Requiring Physics QA- ACR

- Computed Tomography
- Magnetic Resonance Imaging
- Nuclear Medicine/SPECT/Positron Emission Tomography (PET)
- Digital mammography
- Ultrasound



Diagnostic Imaging Modalities Requiring Physics QA-IAC

Computed Tomography

- Magnetic Resonance Imaging
- Nuclear Medicine/Positron Emission Tomography (PET)



X-ray Radiography/Fluoroscopy QA

- kVp accuracy and consistency
- Exposure vs. kVp and mAs
- HVL

- Timer accuracy
- Light field vs. radiation field
- Dose rate
- Maximum dose rate



Computed Tomography/CBCT QA

- ACR Computed Tomography Quality Control Manual 2017
- AAPM TG-233 Report: Performance Evaluation of Computed Tomography Systems (2019)
- AAPM TG-200 Report: The Design and Use of the ICRU/AAPM CT Radiation Dosimetry Phantom: An Implementation of AAPM Report 111 (2020)
- AAPMTG-111 Report: Comprehensive Methodology for the Evaluation of Radiation Dose in X-Ray Computed Tomography (2010)



ACR Computed Tomography QA

CTDIvol measurements

- CT number (HU) uniformity
- CT number (HU) accuracy
- Image slice thickness
- High contrast resolution
- Distance measurement accuracy
- Low contrast performance and Contrast-to-Noise Ratio (CNR)
- Laser alignment accuracy/scan localizer accuracy
- Artifact evaluation
- Acquisition display monitor

This report summarizes the results	of tests pe	formed in accordance with the Americ	tan conege of
Radiology CT QC Manual.			
Facility Name		Unit ID	
Address 1		Manufacturer	
Address 2		Model	
City, State, ZIP		Serial Number	
1912 - 19 10		Date of Manufacture	
CTAP # (if applicable)		the second se	
	-	Survey Date	
Medical Physicist		Report Date	
Signature			
Medical Physicist Tests	Pass/Fail	Technologist QC Evaluation	Pass/Fail/NA
Review of CT Protocols		Water CT Number and SD (Daily)	
Scout Prescription Accuracy		Artifact Evaluation (Daily)	
Alignment Light Accuracy		Wet Laser QC (Weekly)	
Table Travel Accuracy		Visual Checklist (Monthly)	
Radiation Beam Width		Dry Laser QC (Monthly)	
Low-Contrast Performance		Acquisition Display QC (Monthly)	
Spatial Resolution	-	enterne stations ensuite s	2
CT Number Accuracy			
Artifact Evaluation			
Dosimetry			
CT Number Uniformity	3		
Acquisition Display Calibration			

Medical Physicist CT Survey Report

Magnetic Resonance Imaging Physics QA

- ACR Magnetic Resonance Imaging Quality Control Manual (2015)
- AAPM Report No.100: Acceptance Testing and Quality Assurance Procedures for Magnetic Resonance Imaging Facilities (2010)



ACR Magnetic Resonance Imaging QA

- Image uniformity
- Geometric accuracy
- High contrast spatial resolution
- Low contrast detectability
- Slice position accuracy
- Slice thickness accuracy
- Laser alignment accuracy/scan localizer accuracy
- Artifact evaluation
- Display monitor
- RF coil and other testing

Site	10000	Report D	ate:
Syst		Survey D	ale.
Syst	Contem Manufacturer	Madah	14 <u></u>
Mad	licel Deminist/MDI Scientist	Model.	
Ned	ncai Physicist/WRI Scientist.		
Sign	lature.		
	Equipment Evaluation Test	5	Pass/Fail/N
1	Setup and Table Position Accuracy	a.	T door dinne
2	Center Frequency		
3	Transmitter Gain or Attenuation		
4	Geometric Accuracy Measurements*		
5	High-Contrast Spatial Resolution*		-
R.	Low Contract Detectability*		0
7	Adifact Evaluation		8
	Film Printer Quality Control (if applicable)		
a.	Viewal Checklist		1
10	Magnetic Eield Homogeneity		
10.	Magnetic Field Homogeneity		-
	Slice Residen Accuracy		-
12	Slice Thiskness Assured		-
12.	Silce-Thickness Accuracy		
13.	Marco ell alla la		_
	were all clinically used colls evaluated	(Yes/NO)	-
	Was the breast coil evaluated? (1	res/No/NA)	
	a. SNR		-
	 b. Volume Coil Percent Image Uniform 	ity (PIU)	
	c. Percent Signal Ghosting (PSG)		
14.	Soft-Copy (Monitor) Quality Control		
15.	MR Safety Program Assessment	2	
	* tests that can be performed by scanning the ACR MRI	Phantom	
	Evaluation of Site's Technologist QC	Program	Pass/ Fai
1	Setup and Table Position Accuracy (weekly)	Contraction of Contract	
2.	Center Frequency (weekly)		
3.	Transmitter Gain or Attenuation (weekly)		
4.	Geometric Accuracy Measurements (weekly)		
5.	High-Contrast Spatial Resolution (weekly)		
6.	Low-Contrast Detectability (week/y)		
7.	Artifact Evaluation (week/v)		
в.	Film Printer Quality Control (if applicable) (weekly)		-
9.	Visual Checklist (weekly)		
			S.
	Medical Physicist's or MRI Scientist's Recomm	endations for Quality I	mprovement

Nuclear Medicine/SPECT Physics QA

 AAPMTG-177 Report: Acceptance Testing and Annual Physics Survey Recommendations for Gamma Camera, SPECT, and SPECT/CT Systems (2019)



ACR Nuclear Medicine/SPECT QA

- Energy resolution
- Count rate parameters
- Intrinsic/System image uniformity
- Intrinsic/System spatial resolution
- High contrast spatial resolution
- Low contrast detectability
- Image uniformity
- Artifact evaluation
- Display monitor
- Misc.

Add	ress:	
System NMAP# - Unit #: System Manufacturer		Model: Medical Physicist:
Phy	sicist Signiture:	
	Equipment Evaluation 1	ests
	18 D	Deee (Fellik)
1	Intrinsic uniformity	Pass/Fail/N
2	System Uniformity with all commonly used collin	mators
3	Intrinsic or System Spatial Resolution	
4	System Sensitivity (count rate/unit activity)	2
5	Relative Sensitivity	
6	Energy Resolution	
7	Count Rate Parameters	
8	Image Uniformity	
9	Monitor/Formatter Evaluation	
10	System Interlocks	
11	Monitor Evaluation	
12	Overall System Performance for SPECT Syste	ms
	a. Uniformity	
	b. Resolution	8
	Contrast	5
13	System interlocks	
Eva	luation of Technologist QC Program	Pass/Fail
1.	Daily Uniformity Check	
2.	Daily CT check (SPECT/CT systems	
3	Weekly Bar Phantom	tana and
		Date
4	Semi-annual (quarterly preferred) SPECT ACR	phantom
5	Uniformity Calibration	
6	Center-of-Rotation/Head Alignment (SPECT Sy	stems)
7	Dose Calibrator Tests	States 1
	a. Accuracy	
	b. Linearity	
	c. Constancy	
Me	dical Physicist's Recommendations for Qualit	ly Improvement and Comments on Testin
	Procedu	res
_	1020000	

Positron Emission Tomography Physics QA

• AAPMTG-126 Report: PET/CT Acceptance Testing and Quality Assurance (2019)



ACR Positron Emission Tomography QA

- Spatial resolution
- Phantom image quality
- Image uniformity
- Accuracy of CT#
- Monitor evaluation
- Sensitivity

- Count rate performance
- Image co-registration
- Accuracy standard uptake value (SUV)

Sys	tem:	Report Dat	e:
Add	ress:	6	2
Sys	tem PETAP# - Unit #:	Survey Dat	e:
Mag	System Manufacturer.	Model.	
Sign	acai Physicist.		
Sigi	aure.		
	Equipment Evalu	uation Tests	
	* Optional ** Not required for PET/MR	R systems	
8	N20 50 2 15 15 15 16 16 16 17 17 1		Pass/Fail/N/
1.	Spatial Resolution	arous activity), lookuding	2
2.	Count Rate Penormance (count rate ve	ersus activity), including	
2	Count loss correction		8
э. 4	Sensitivity		8
4. E	Image Quality Phontom		8
Э. 6	Accuracy of CT#		3
7	Accuracy of standard untake value (SI	IV) measurement	8
8	Image Co-registration	st) meddalement	8
9	Monitor Evaluation		
10.	Safety Evaluation		
0.04	Mechanical		
	Electrical		
	Evaluation of Site's Techn	nologist QC Program	Pass/ Fail
1.	Daily PET Detector Check		
2.	Daily CT Check		8
3.	Semi-annual (quarterly preferred) PET	ACR Phantom	
4.	Dose Calibrator Tests	Date	-
	a. Accuracy		
	b. Geometry	2 <u></u>	
	c. Linearity	8	-
	d. Constancy		

Procedures

Digital Mammography/Digital Breast Tomosynthesis (DBT)

- Mammography Quality Standard Act (MQSA)
- ACR Mammography Quality Control Manual (1999)
- 2018 ACR Digital Mammography Quality Control Manual, Rev. 2 (May 2020)



ACR Digital Mammography/DBT QA

- Phantom image quality
- DBT Z resolution
- Spatial Resolution
- DBT volume coverage
- Automatic exposure control system performance
- Average Glandular Dose
- Acquisition workstation monitor
- Radiologist workstation monitor

wedical Physicist's	ACR		est S	un	imary
Facility Name		M	AP ID-Unit#		
Address					Room ID
				R	leport Date
				s	urvey Date
X-Ray Unit Manufacturer			Nodel		
Control Panel Serial #		Manufacture Date		1	Installation Date
DM Unit Type: Digital radiography (DR)	Computed	radiography (CR)	Digit	al Bres	ast Tomosynthesis (DBT)
Unit Use: Diagnostic and screening mamm	ography	Diagnostic only	<u> </u>	Scree	ening only
	tion (MEE)		Destin	ŧ	
mannogaphy equipment evalua	DOIT (MEE)		- Parta	Tana	Additional Scive
Equipment Tested: LM unit Aiv monitor	Hew	nonitor view		Philo	er Uther.
Oversight Level: Medical physicist on-site	Medi	cal physicist oversight			
Quality Control Manual Used for Survey and Facility QC:	2018	ACR Digital Mammog	aphy QC M	lanual	(with 2D and DBT QC)
Medical Physicist		Signature			
QC	Test	Results			
	2011	Pass/Fail*			
Test Medical Physicist Tests	20**	20 Add-on UBT	081	CA	
1. Mammography Equipment Evaluation - MOSA Reos	1				
2. ACR DM Phantom Image Quality					
3. DBT Z Resolution					Results - 2D
4. Spatial Resolution					Fiber (2.2.0)
5. DBT Volume Coverage					Speck grp (≥ 3.0)
6. Automatic Exposure Control System Performance					Mass (2 2.0)
7. Average Glandular Dose					AGD (s 3.0 mGy)
8. Unit Checklist					
Computed Radiography (if applicable)					Your Phantom
10. Acquisition Workstation Monitor QC					Results - DBT
11. Radiologist Workstation Monitor QC					Fiber (≥ 2.0)
12. Film Printer QC (if applicable)					Speck grp (≥ 3.0)
13. Evaluation of Site's Technologist QC Program					Mass (2 2.0)
14. Evaluation of Display Device Technologist QC Program					AGD (s 3.0 mGy)
15. Manufacturer Galorations (if applicable) 16. Collimation Assessment					
MEE/Troubleshooting - Beam Quality (HM) Accessment					
MEE/Troubleshooting - kVo Accuracy and Recentuality					
Troubleshooting - Ghost Image Evaluation					
Troubleshooting - Viewbox Luminance					
Technologist QC Evaluation				-	
1. ACR DM Phantom Image Quality					1
2. Computed Radiography Cassette Erasure (If applicable)					1
3. Compression Thickness Indicator					
4. Visual Checklist					
5. Acquisition Workstation Monitor QC					" "Pass" means all
 Radiologist Workstation Monitor QC 					components of tes passes: "Fail" man
7. Film Printer QC (If applicable)					any or all compone
 Viewbox Cleanliness (if applicable) 					fail; if "CA" checker
9. Facility QC Review					Summary
10. Compression Force					** or DBT aquistitio



ACR Ultrasound Physics QA

- Image uniformity
- Geometric accuracy
- System sensitivity
- Contrast resolution
- Spatial resolution
- Artifact evaluation
- Display monitor
- Misc.

Ultrasound/Breast	Ultrasound	Equipment	Annual Survey	Summar
-------------------	------------	-----------	---------------	--------

Facility Name:			
UAP/BUAP #:	Unit #:	Report Date:	
Serial Number:		Survey Date:	
System Manufacturer	•	Model:	
Medical Physicist (or	designee):	00	
Signature:			

Test	Pass/Fail	Comments
1. Physical and Mechanical Inspection	NAME OF COMPANY OF STREET	
2. Image Uniformity and Artifact Survey		
3. Geometric Accuracy (Optional)		
4. System Sensitivity	3 0	
5. Scanner Electronic Image Display Performance	1	
 Primary Interpretation Display Performance (Optional) 		
7. Contrast Resolution (Optional)		
8. Spatial Resolution (Optional)		
Were all clinically used transducers tested?)

Overall comments:

You must submit either this summary form, a similar form containing the same date, or the entire, most recent Annual System Performance Evaluation report.



Imaging Modalities Requiring Display Monitor QA

- Digital Mammography/DBT (MQSA)
- Computed Tomography
- Magnetic Resonance Imaging
- Nuclear Medicine/SPECT/PET
- Ultrasound



Display Monitor QA

- AAPM TG-18 report: Assessment of Display Performance for Medical Imaging Systems (2005)
- ACR-AAPM-SIIM Technical Standard for Electronic Practice of Medical Imaging (2017)
- AAPMTG-270 report: Display Quality Assurance (2019)



Display Monitor QA

- Ambient lighting
- Display Luminance and Grayscale Display Function (GSDF)
- Display color

- Luminance uniformity
- Display noise
- Temporal performance
- Spatial resolution
- SMPTE, TG-18, TG-270 and test patterns



Imaging/Diagnostic QA Software

- Some QA procedures are labor extensive without QA software
- Performance trend analysis may not be possible without QA software
- Other workflow and efficiency limitations without QA software

